Δ

Paper No. 31 Entered: April 5, 2022

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

MASIMO CORPORATION, Patent Owner.

IPR2021-00193 (Patent 10,299,708 B1) IPR2021-00195 (Patent 10,376,190 B1) IPR2021-00208 (Patent 10,258,266 B1) IPR2021-00209 (Patent 10,376,191 B1)

> Record of Oral Hearing Held: March 15, 2022

Before JOSIAH C. COCKS, ROBERT L. KINDER, and AMANDA F. WIEKER, Administrative Patent Judges.

IPR2021-00193 (Patent 10,299,708 B1) IPR2021-00195 (Patent 10,376,190 B1) IPR2021-00208 (Patent 10,258,266 B1) IPR2021-00209 (Patent 10,376,191 B1)

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

W. KARL RENNER, ESQ. ANDREW B. PATRICK, ESQ. HYUN JIN IN, Ph.D, ESQ. Fish & Richardson, P.C. 1000 Maine Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20024 (202) 626-6447 (Renner) (202) 626-7735 (Patrick) (202) 626-7765 (In) renner@fr.com patrick@fr.com in@fr.com

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JAROM D. KESLER, ESQ. STEPHEN W. LARSON, ESQ. Knobbe Martens 2040 Main Street 14th Floor Irvine, California 92614 (949) 760-0404 (Kesler) (949) 721-5301 (Larson) jarom.kesler@knobbe.com stephen.larson@knobbe.com

JACOB PETERSON, ESQ. Knobbe Martens 925 4th Avenue Suite 2500 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 405-2000 jacob.peterson@knobbe.com

DOCKE

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on March 15, 2022, commencing at 12:59 p.m. EDT, via videoconference.

IPR2021-00193 (Patent 10,299,708 B1) IPR2021-00195 (Patent 10,376,190 B1) IPR2021-00208 (Patent 10,258,266 B1) IPR2021-00209 (Patent 10,376,191 B1) 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 - - -3 12:59 p.m. 4 JUDGE WIEKER: Good afternoon, everyone. We will hear 5 argument today in four proceedings, specifically IPR2021-00193, 6 -195, -208, and -209 between Petitioner, Apple Inc., and Patent Owner, Masimo Corporation. These proceedings concern U.S. Patent 7 No. 10,299,708; Patent No. 10,376,190; Patent No. 10,258,266; and 8 9 Patent No. 10,376,191 respectively. I'm Judge Wieker and I'm joined 10 today by Judge Cocks and Judge Kinder. There are a few housekeeping matters we would like to address briefly before asking 11 for appearances. First, thank you for your flexibility in 12 accommodating this video hearing. If any technical difficulties arise 13 during the hearing, please call or email the hearing staff. 14 15 Our primary concern is your right to be heard so if at anytime you have technical problems that undermine your ability to represent 16 17 your client effectively, please let us know immediately. If we become 18 aware that someone gets disconnected or is experiencing technical difficulties, we will pause the hearing while the issue is resolved. 19 20 For clarity of the record, please mute yourself when you are not speaking. When you do speak, please identify yourself at the start 21 22 of your remarks so that the court reporter can make an accurate 23 transcript. At the conclusion of the hearing please remain on the line 24 in case the court reporter has any questions. With that, let's turn to appearances, please. Counsel for 25

R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

IPR2021-00193 (Patent 10,299,708 B1) IPR2021-00195 (Patent 10,376,190 B1) IPR2021-00208 (Patent 10,258,266 B1) IPR2021-00209 (Patent 10,376,191 B1) Petitioner. would you please introduce yourself. MR. PATRICK: Thank you, Your Honors. I'm Andrew Patrick, counsel for Petitioner. I'm joined today by my colleagues H. J. In and Karl Renner. JUDGE WIEKER: Thank you very much. And for Patent Owner? MR. KESLER: Good morning. Jarom Kesler on behalf of Patent Owner Masimo. With me today is Jacob Peterson and Stephen Larson. JUDGE WIEKER: Great. Thank you. As discussed in our January 28th order, the four proceedings before us today will be argued together and will share a single transcript. Each side will have a total of 90 minutes of argument time with which to address all four proceedings. That 90-minute time allotment includes the parties' principle argument and any rebuttal. Petitioner will begin first and then Patent Owner will follow. If requested, we will then hear Petitioner's rebuttal and Patent Owner sur-rebuttal. The panel will keep time and will aim to give you a warning a few minutes before the expiration of each party's principal argument time. As a reminder, Petitioner bears the burden of proving any proposition of unpatentability by a preponderance of the evidence. Does either party have any questions regarding the procedure

23 for today's argument before we get started?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 24 MR. PATRICK: No, Your Honors.
- 25 MR. KESLER: No, Your Honor.

IPR2021-00193 (Patent 10,299,708 B1) IPR2021-00195 (Patent 10,376,190 B1) IPR2021-00208 (Patent 10,258,266 B1) IPR2021-00209 (Patent 10,376,191 B1)

JUDGE WIEKER: Thank you. 1 2 As a reminder, the hearing is open to the public and a full 3 transcript of the hearing will become part of the record. Finally, 4 please bear in mind that because this hearing is being held virtually, 5 we cannot see your demonstrative exhibits, but we do have a copy of 6 the papers and the demonstratives with us so if you can please identify 7 each demonstrative slide number as you refer to it, that would be 8 great. 9 With that, we will begin the argument. Mr. Patrick, would 10 you like to reserve time for rebuttal? 11 MR. PATRICK: Thank you, Your Honor. Because the issues 12 addressed in today's hearing overlap so strongly with those already addressed with respect to later patents and recent hearings, we are 13 planning for a relatively short direct of about 20 minutes. In that 14 15 regard, we would like to reserve the remainder of our time, although 16 we're not sure that we'd use it. 17 JUDGE WIEKER: Okay, Petitioner. You may begin your argument when you're ready. 18 19 MR. PATRICK: Okay. Thank you, Your Honors. May it please the Board, my name is Andrew Patrick and I represent 20 21 Petitioner Apple. I would like Your Honors to turn to slide 2 of the 22 deck which provides an overview of our demonstratives. 23 Similar to the issues address in recent hearings, the dispute 24 between the parties in the proceedings before Your Honors today 25 turns on the question of combinability. Indeed, similar to those

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.