```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                    CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
                     SOUTHERN DIVISION - SANTA ANA
 3
    PINN, INC.,
 4
                                ) Case No. SACV 19-1805-DOC (JDEx)
 5
         Plaintiff,
                                ) Santa Ana, California
                                ) Thursday, June 11, 2020
                                   10:02 A.M. to 11:54 A.M.
 6
               V.
 7
    APPLE INC., GOOGLE LLC,
    et al.,
 8
         Defendants.
 9
10
11
12
                       TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
1.3
                 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN D. EARLY,
                   UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.
14
15
16
   Appearances:
                             See Page 2
17
    Deputy Clerk:
                               Maria Barr
18
    Court Reporter:
                             Recorded; CourtSmart
19
    Transcription Service:
                                JAMS Certified Transcription
                                16000 Ventura Boulevard #1010
20
                                Encino, California 91436
                                 (661) 609-4528
21
22
23
24
    Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;
25
    transcript produced by transcription service.
```



1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Disintiff	In Office of December 18 Hately D.C.
3	For the Plaintiff:	Law Office of Ryan E. Hatch, P.C. By: RYAN E. HATCH 13323 Washington Boulevard, Suite 100
4		Los Angeles, California 90066 (310) 279-5076
5		ryan@ryanehatch.com
6 7		Connor Kudlac Lee PLLC By: CABRACH J. CONNOR JENNIFER A. TATUM LEE
8		609 Castle Ridge Road, Suite 450 Austin, Texas 78746
9		(512) 777-1254 <u>cab@connorkudlaclee.com</u> jennifer@connorkudlaclee.com
10		Whitaker, Chalk, Swindle & Schwartz
11		By: DAVID A. SKEELS
12		301 Commerce Street, Suite 3500 Fort Worth, TX 76102 (817) 878-0500
13		dskeels@whitakerchalk.com
14	For the Defendants:	Fish & Richardson PC
15	ror the belendants.	By: SETH M. SPROUL TUCKER NEPHI TERHUFEN
16		12390 El Camino Real San Diego, California 92130
17 18		(858) 678-5070 <pre>sproul@fr.com terhufen@fr.com</pre>
19 20		Barnes & Thornburg LLP By: JEFFREY M. BARRON TODD G. VARE
21		11 South Meridian Street
22		Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-3535 (317) 231-7751
		<pre>jeff.barron@btlaw.com todd.vare@btlaw.com</pre>
23		Barnes & Thornburg LLP By: ROYA RAHMANPOUR
25		2029 Century Park East, Suite 300 Los Angeles, California 90067-2904 (310) 284-3880 roya.rahmanpour@btlaw.com



SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, JUNE 11, 2020, 10:02 A.M.

(Call to Order of the Court.)

THE COURT: -- going to see if I can expedite things -- Maria, do you have the list? -- based on the appearances that have been made. It takes too long to try to go through a role over the phone.

Here's what I have as far as people on the call:

On behalf of plaintiff, I have Ryan Hatch,

Cabrach Connor, David Skeels, and Jennifer Tatum.

Let me direct this to Mr. Hatch: On behalf of plaintiff, is that the extent of the folks present on this call this morning?

RICHARD E. HATCH: Yes, I believe so. And it was -- Mrs. Tatum -- it's actually Mrs. Tatum-Lee, I believe.

THE COURT: Okay. And who's going to be speaking on behalf of plaintiffs? Designate one person so that we -- so that I know and so that the record is clear since we don't have a court reporter that can identify people.

MR. HATCH: Sure. If I can defer that question to Cabrach Connor, and he can identify that person.

DAVID E. SKEELS: Thank you. Your Honor, this is
David Skeels on behalf of Pinn. I'll be handling a number of
issues. We had -- depending on what the questions are, the
issues that come up, Jennifer Lee or Cabrach Connor may
address the question, if that's okay, but if you need one



1.3

lead designated attorney, that would be me, David Skeels.

THE COURT: You're going to be the lead attorney.

If you want to defer to someone, you have to state that

person's name so that we have a record of who's speaking.

MR. SKEELS: Absolutely. Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Turning to Apple, I have present Mr. Seth Sproul, Tucker Nephi Terhufen, and also Joy B. Kete, who -- Kete -- who has a pro hac vice motion pending apparently, and then Mr. James Wiley on behalf of Apple.

I'll take -- before I ask if that's all, Ms. Kete,
I can't rule on your pro hac vice motion. I'm a discovery
referral judge here. So you're certainly welcome on the
call, but you won't be able to make any appearances and if -Mr. Wiley is also welcome but as -- if he hasn't made an
appearance in the case, he won't be able to argue.

So let me ask Mr. Sproul: Who on behalf of Apple is going to be designated as counsel in charge of argument this morning?

SETH M. SPROUL: Good morning, Your Honor.

Seth Sproul. I will be handling the argument. I had intended to allow Ms. Kete to argue as well and was going to ask if there was some special dispensation that we could -- or some agreement we could reach whereby you could allow her



because we don't believe those will be produced within ten 1 days, and we don't think that we will have the ability to fully review them within ten days to meet the supplementation deadline that Your Honor has ordered. Specifically --4

THE COURT: All right. Can you remind me -- or verify something for me. The request for productions were served late January; is that right?

MR. SPROUL: Well, Pinn has asserted that, but in fact, discovery didn't open, and so they weren't deemed served until late February when we completed the 26(f) conference.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure I agree with that.

MR. SPROUL: And so --

THE COURT: I'm not sure agree with your interpretation. 26 -- Rule 26 and Rule 34 allow document requests to be served before the parties' conference, and it doesn't say "completed." It says when they "first start." That's when the date runs from.

MR. SPROUL: Your Honor, my understanding is that -- and I don't have the rule in front of me -- is that the RFPs may be served earlier, but they aren't deemed served until that 26(f) conference and the date --

THE COURT: Until the Rule 26 conference first starts. So, if it goes over multiple days, it's the date the first -- it first starts.



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

