UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
APPLE INC., Petitioner,
v.
PINN, INC.,
Patent Owner.
Case IPR2021-00220 Patent No. 10,455,066

PATENT OWNER PINN, INC.'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107



## TABLE OF CONTENTS


I.	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	2
II.	BACKGROUND	5
A	The '066 Patent	5
В.	. Patent Owner's Patent Infringement Action	.16
C.	. Petitioner's Grounds of Challenge	.17
	1. Watson-350 and Watson-510	.19
	2. Hankey	.20
	3. Rabu	.20
	4. Kalayjian	.21
	5. Lydon	.21
III.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	.21
IV.	THE DIRECTOR SHOULD EXERCISE DISRECTION UNDER 35 U.S.	C.
§ 31	14(a) AND DENY INSTITUTION OF APPLE'S FOLLOW-ON PETITION	
UNI	DER GENERAL PLASTICS.	.22
1.	Apple Previously Filed a Petition Directed to the Same Claims of the Same	<b>e</b>
Pa	atent	.23



2.	Apple Knew of the Prior Art Asserted in the Second Petition at the Time of	
Fi	ling the First Petition.	24
3.	Apple had Already Received Patent Owner's Preliminary Response to its	
Fi	rst Petition at the Time of Filing its Second Petition.	25
4.	Apple has Known of the Prior Art Asserted in the Second Petition Since	
20	)15	25
5.	Apple Provides No Explanation for the Time Elapsed Between the Filings of	of
its	First Petition and its Second Petition.	26
6.	The Board's Resources Would Be Better Spent Elsewhere	27
7.	The Final Determination Will Not be Made until 2022, Likely a Year After	
the	e Underlying District Court Proceeding is Decided	28
V.	THE DIRECTOR SHOULD EXERCISE DISCRECTION UNDER 35 U.S.	٥.
§ 31	4(a) AND DENY INSTITUTION UNDER FINTIV	29
1.	The Court Denied Apple's Motion to Stay	30
2.	The Court's Trial Date is Set for Almost a Year Before the Board's Projector	ed
St	atutory Deadline	30
3.	Significant Investment Has Been Made in the Parallel Proceeding by the	
C	ourt and the Parties	32



4.	There is Complete Overlap Between Issues Raised in the Second Petition and
in t	he Parallel Proceeding33
5.	The Petitioner Here and the Defendant in the Parallel Proceeding are the
Sar	ne Party34
6.	Other Circumstances, Including the Lack of Merit in Petitioner's Arguments,
Fav	vor Denial of Institution34
VI.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE NO GROUND
ESTA	ABLISHES A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS35
A.	Wireless Pairing Between a Wireless Earbud and a Smartphone is
Sup	oported by the '978 Provisional
B.	Initiating Pairing Between the Smartphone and the Wireless Earbud by
Pre	essing a User Input Button is Supported by the '978 Provisional43
VII.	THE DIRECTOR SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §
325(0	d) BECAUSE SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME PRIOIR ART WAS
PRE	VIOUSLY PRESENTED TO THE OFFICE44
1.	Substantially the Same Art was Previously Presented to the Office45
2.	Petitioner has Failed to Demonstrate that the Office Committed Material
Err	or50
VIII	CONCLUSION51



# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

Advanced Bionics, LLC v. Med-El Elektromedizinische Geräte GmbH, IPR2019-
01469passim
Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., IPR2020-00019passim
Apple Inc. v. Pinn, Inc., IPR2021-0022124
Apple Inc. v. Pinn, Inc., PGR2020-00066
Ariad Pharm., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co., 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (en banc)42
General Plastic Industrial Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kubushiki Kaisha, IPR2016-01357
passim
NHK Spring Co., Ltd. v. Intri-Plex Technologies, Inc., IPR2018-007524, 29
Statutes
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)
25 11 0 0 9 225 (1)
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

