

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent of: Seung Jin Kim  
U.S. Patent No.: 10,455,066 Attorney Docket No.: 39521-0092IP2  
Issue Date: Oct. 22, 2019  
Appl. Serial No.: 15/563,937  
Filing Date: Oct. 2, 2017  
Title: MOBILE SYSTEM WITH WIRELESS EARBUD

**Mail Stop Patent Board**

Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT  
NO. 10,455,066 PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR .....	1
	A. Grounds for Standing .....	1
	B. Challenge and Relief Requested.....	1
II.	DISTRICT COURT LITIGATION.....	2
III.	THE '066 PATENT.....	6
	A. Brief Summary .....	6
	B. All Challenged Claims are not entitled to the filing date of the '978 Provisional .....	6
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	12
V.	UNPATENTABILITY GROUNDS.....	14
	A. GROUND 1A: Claims 1, 4, 6, and 8 are obvious over Watson-350 and Hankey-Rabu .....	14
	1. Overview of Watson-350 .....	14
	2. Overview of Hankey-Rabu.....	16
	3. Motivation to Combine Watson-350 and Hankey-Rabu.....	18
	4. Claim 1 .....	22
	5. Claim 4 .....	31
	6. Claim 6 .....	32
	B. GROUND 1B: Claims 9, 10, 14, 21, 26, 28, 30, 34, 36, and 38 are obvious over Watson-350, Hankey-Rabu, and Kalayjian.....	33
	1. Overview of Kalayjian .....	33
	2. Motivation to Combine Watson-350, Hankey-Rabu, and Kalayjian..	33

3. Claim 9 .....	34
4. Claim 10 .....	42
5. Claim 14 .....	43
6. Claim 21 .....	43
7. Claim 30 .....	46
8. Claim 34 .....	47
9. Claim 36 .....	48
10.Claim 38 .....	49
C. GROUND 1C: Claim 21 is obvious over Watson-350, Hankey-Rabu, and Lydon.....	50
D. GROUND 2A: Claims 1, 4, 6, and 8 are obvious over Watson-510 and Hankey-Rabu.....	54
1. Overview of Watson-510 .....	54
2. Motivation to Combine Watson-510 and Hankey-Rabu.....	56
3. Claim 1 .....	57
4. Claim 4 .....	64
5. Claim 6 .....	65
E. GROUND 2B: Claims 9, 10, 14, 21, 26, 28, 30, 34, 36, and 38 are obvious over Watson-510, Hankey-Rabu, and Kalayjian.....	66
1. Motivation to Combine Watson-510, Hankey-Rabu, and Kalayjian..	66
2. Claim 9 .....	66
3. Claim 10 .....	67
4. Claim 14 .....	68
5. Claim 30 .....	68

6. Claim 34 .....	69
7. Claim 36 .....	70
8. Claim 38 .....	70
F. Ground 2C: Claim 21 is obvious over Watson-510, Hankey-Rabu, Kalayjian, and Watson-350 .....	71
1. Motivation to Combine Watson-510, Hankey-Rabu, Kalayjian, and Watson-350 .....	71
2. Claim 21 .....	74
G. GROUND 2D: Claim 21 is obvious over Watson-510, Hankey-Rabu, and Lydon.....	74
VI. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL OF THE INSTANT PETITION IS NEITHER APPROPRIATE NOR EQUITABLE .....	75
A. District Court Proceeding.....	75
B. Previous Petition.....	78
VII. FEES .....	79
VIII. CONCLUSION.....	80
IX. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1).....	80
A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) .....	80
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	80
C. Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....	81
D. Service Information .....	81

**EXHIBITS**

APPLE-1001	U.S. Patent No. 10,455,066 to Kim (“the ’066 Patent”)
APPLE-1002	Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ’066 Patent
APPLE-1003	Reserved
APPLE-1004	U.S. Patent No. 8,401,219 to Hankey et al. (“Hankey”)
APPLE-1005	U.S. Patent No. 8,086,281 to Rabu et al. (“Rabu”)
APPLE-1006	Reserved
APPLE-1007	U.S. Patent No. 8,078,787 to Lydon et al. (“Lydon”)
APPLE-1008	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2008/0125040 to Kalayjian (“Kalayjian”)
APPLE-1009-1016	Reserved
APPLE-1017	U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/142,978 (“the ’978 Provisional”)
APPLE-1018-1021	Reserved
APPLE-1022	Complaint, <i>Pinn, Inc. v Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 8:19-cv-1805, C.D. Cal, Sept. 20, 2019.
APPLE-1023-1029	Reserved
APPLE-1030	First Amended Complaint, <i>Pinn, Inc. v Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 8:19-cv-1805, C.D. Cal, Nov. 21, 2019
APPLE-1031	Reserved

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.