

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LUPIN, LTD. and LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,  
Petitioners,

v.

AMGEN, INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

U.S. Patent No. 9,856,287  
Issue Date: January 2, 2018  
Title: REFOLDING PROTEINS USING A  
CHEMICALLY CONTROLLED REDOX STATE

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. 2021-00326

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,856,287 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319  
and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42 *ET SEQ.***

## Table of Contents

I.	OVERVIEW .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	2
	A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) .....	2
	B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....	2
	C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....	4
	D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	4
III.	GROUND FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	4
IV.	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS THEREFOR (37 C.F.R. § 42.22).....	5
V.	THRESHOLD REQUIREMENT FOR IPR.....	5
VI.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)).....	10
	A. The Challenged Claims and Grounds (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(1) and (2)) .....	11
VII.	TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND.....	11
	A. Protein Structure.....	12
	B. Recombinant Protein Synthesis.....	12
	1. Unfolding and Refolding of Recombinant Proteins .....	13
	2. Optimizing Redox Conditions .....	13
II.	THE '287 PATENT AND ITS PROSECUTION HISTORY.....	16
	A. The '287 Patent .....	17
	B. The '287 Patent Prosecution History .....	19
	C. The Board Invalidated Analogous Claims of the Parent '138 Patent.....	21

III.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	21
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3)).....	22
	A. “thiol-pair ratio” .....	22
	B. “thiol-pair buffer strength” .....	23
	C. “wherein the thiol-pair ratio is calculated according to the following equation” in claims 8, 14-15, 23, 25, and 30.....	24
	D. “complex protein” .....	26
V.	STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(4) and (5)).....	26
	A. The Prior Art .....	26
	B. Ground 1: Vallejo Anticipates Claims 1-4, 7-19, and 22-30 of the '287 Patent.....	28
	1. Claims 1, 10, 16, and 26 .....	28
	a. The Preamble .....	28
	b. Making a mixture of protein and non-redox refolding components .....	29
	c. Redox components .....	32
	d. Incubating and proper refolding .....	37
	2. Claims 2-3, 11, 13, 17-18, and 27-28 .....	38
	3. Claims 4, 12, 19, and 29 .....	38
	4. Claims 7 and 22.....	40
	5. Claims 8-9, 14-15, 23-25, and 30 .....	41
	C. Ground 2: Schlegl Anticipates Claims 1-4, 8-19, and 23-30 of the '287 Patent.....	41
	1. Claims 1, 10, 16 and 26 .....	42

a.	The Preamble .....	42
b.	Making a mixture of protein and non-redox refolding components .....	43
c.	Redox components .....	45
d.	Incubating and proper refolding .....	49
2.	Claims 2-3, 11, 13, 17-18, and 27-28 .....	49
3.	Claims 4, 12, 19, and 29 .....	50
4.	Claims 8-9, 14-15, 23-25, and 30 .....	51
D.	Ground 3: Vallejo Renders Claims 1-30 Obvious Alone or in Combination with Hevehan.....	52
1.	Claims 1-4, 7-19, and 22-30 .....	52
2.	Claims 5-6 and 21-22.....	54
E.	Ground 4: Schlegl Renders Claims 1-6, 8-21, and 23-30 Obvious Alone or in Combination with Hevehan.....	56
1.	Claims 1-4, 8-19, and 23-30 .....	57
2.	Claims 5-6 and 21-22.....	58
F.	Grounds 5: Vallejo Renders Claims 8-9, 14-15, 23-25, and 30 Obvious Alone or in Combination with Ruddon and/or Schafer.....	59
G.	Ground 6: Schlegl Renders Claims 8-9, 14-15, 23-25, and 30 Obvious Alone or in Combination with Ruddon and/or Schafer.....	63
1.	Claims 8-9, 14-15, 23-25, and 30 .....	63
H.	No Secondary Considerations of Non-Obviousness .....	65
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	65

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Page (s)</b>
<i>Advanced Bionics, LLC v. MED-EL Elektromedizinische Geräte GmbH</i> , IPR2019-01469 (Feb. 13, 2020) .....	5
<i>Becton, Dickinson &amp; Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG</i> , IPR2017-01586 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 15, 2017) .....	6
<i>Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC et al. v. Amgen, Inc.</i> , IPR2019-01183 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 10, 2019) .....	8, 10
<i>General Plastic Indus. Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , IPR2016-01357 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 6, 2017).....	6
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	21
<i>LG Electronics, Inc. v. Bell Northern Research, LLC</i> , IPR2020-00319 (P.T.A.B. June 23, 2020).....	8
<i>Lowes Cos. Inc., et al. v. Nichia Corp.</i> , IPR2017-02011 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 12, 2018) .....	10
<i>Pfizer Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.</i> , 812 F. App’x 979 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	10
<i>Valve Corp. v. Elec. Scripting Prods., Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00062 (April 2, 2019).....	7
<b>Statutes</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....	5
<b>Other Authorities</b>	
Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide November 2019.....	2

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.