

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

Kakadu R&D Pty Ltd. and Kakadu Software Pty Ltd.,

Petitioners,

v.

intoPIX SA,

Patent Owner.

---

U.S. Patent No. 9,332,258

---

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW**

**OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,332,258**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. THE CHALLENGED PATENT .....	2
A. The '258 specification .....	2
B. The Recent Reissue Application .....	5
C. Prosecution History .....	6
D. Priority date .....	6
III. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE .....	6
A. Statutory Grounds.....	6
B. Relied-Upon Prior Art .....	7
1. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2007/0019876 A1 (“Cai”) (Ex-1005) .....	7
2. U.S. Patent No. 7,701,365 (“Fukuhara”) (Ex-1006).....	11
C. Standing.....	14
IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL .....	14
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	15
A. “words of m bits” .....	15
B. “GCLI” .....	16
C. “GCLI bits” .....	17
D. “output data”.....	18
E. “de-correlative transform”.....	19
F. “entropic encoding” .....	19
G. “means for grouping,” “means for detecting,” and “means for producing” .....	19
VI. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE GROUNDS.....	20
A. Grounds 1 and 2: Claims 1-16 are Anticipated by Cai, and/or rendered obvious by Cai in view of the knowledge of a POSITA .....	20

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
1. Independent Claim 1 .....	21
2. Dependent Claim 2 .....	38
3. Dependent Claim 3 .....	40
4. Dependent Claim 4 .....	42
5. Dependent Claim 5 .....	43
6. Dependent Claim 6 .....	44
7. Dependent Claim 7 .....	45
8. Dependent Claim 8 .....	47
9. Dependent Claim 9 .....	52
10. Dependent Claim 10 .....	53
11. Dependent Claim 11 .....	54
12. Dependent Claim 12 .....	55
13. Independent Claim 13 .....	58
14. Dependent Claim 14 .....	61
15. Dependent Claim 15 .....	61
16. Dependent Claim 16 .....	61
<b>B. Ground 3: Claims 1-16 Are Rendered Obvious by Cai in View of Fukuhara.....</b>	<b>62</b>
1. A POSITA would be motivated to combine the teachings of Cai and Fukuhara and would have a reasonable expectation of success in doing so .....	62
2. Independent Claim 1 .....	64
3. Dependent Claim 2 .....	71
4. Dependent Claim 3 .....	72
5. Dependent Claim 4 .....	73
6. Dependent Claim 5 .....	74

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
7. Dependent Claim 6 .....	75
8. Dependent Claim 7 .....	76
9. Dependent Claim 8 .....	77
10. Dependent Claim 9 .....	79
11. Dependent Claim 10 .....	81
12. Dependent Claim 11 .....	85
13. Dependent Claim 12 .....	87
14. Independent Claim 13 .....	87
15. Dependent Claim 14 .....	89
16. Dependent Claim 15 .....	90
17. Dependent Claim 16 .....	91
C. Ground 4: Claim 11 is Rendered Obvious by Cai in View of Chen.....	91
VII. CONCLUSION.....	94
VIII. MANDATORY NOTICES AND FEES .....	95

**EXHIBIT LIST**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>1001</b>	U.S. Patent No. 9,332,258 (“the ’258 patent”)
<b>1002</b>	Prosecution File History for U.S. Patent No. 9,332,258
<b>1003</b>	Prosecution File History for U.S. Reissue Application No. 16/950,863 (“the ’258 reissue”)
<b>1004</b>	Declaration of Dr. Kenneth A. Zeger, Ph.D.
<b>1005</b>	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2007/0019876 A1 (“Cai”)
<b>1006</b>	U.S. Patent No. 7,701,365 (“Fukuhara”)
<b>1007</b>	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0084921 A1 (“Chen”)
<b>1008</b>	Information Technology-JPEG 2000 Image coding System-JPEG 2000 Committee Draft version 1.0, Dec. 9, 1999 (“JPEG2000 December Committee Draft”)
<b>1009</b>	Information Technology-Digital Compression and Coding of Continuous-Tone Still Images-Requirements and Guidelines, Recommendation T.81, ISO/IEC 10918-1: 1993(E), September 1992 (“JPEG Standard”)
<b>1010</b>	Excerpt from Taubman David S. and Marcellin, Michael W., JPEG2000 Image Compression Fundamentals, Standard and Practice , Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands (2002)
<b>1011</b>	IPX HTJ2K - Patent Statement and Licensing Declaration Form (06/19/2020)
<b>1012</b>	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Kenneth A. Zeger, Ph.D.
<b>1013</b>	Xiong et al., <i>Low complexity reconfigurable architecture for the 5/3 and 9/7 discrete wavelet transform</i> , Journal of Systems Engineering and Electronics, 17(2):303-308 (2006)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.