Filed on behalf of: Elastic N.V.

By: Matthew A. Argenti (margenti@wsgr.com)

Michael T. Rosato (mrosato@wsgr.com)
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ELASTIC N.V., Petitioner,

v.

GUADA TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2021-00875 Patent No. 7,231,379

\_\_\_\_\_

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,231,379



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

			<u>Page</u>		
I.	INTRODUCTION				
	A.	The '379 Patent	2		
	В.	The Prosecution History	4		
	C. '	The Prior Reviews of the '379 patent	5		
	D.	The Relevant Field and Art	7		
	1.	Wesemann	8		
	2.	Fratkina	8		
	3.	Rajaraman	9		
	E. '	The Level of Skill in the Art	9		
II.	GROU	UNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)	9		
III.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8(A)(1)1				
	<b>A.</b> ]	Real Party-In-Interest (§42.8(b)(1)):	10		
	B. ]	Related Matters (§42.8(b)(2))	10		
	C. ]	Lead and Back-Up Counsel (§42.8(b)(3))	11		
	D. 3	Service Information (§42.8(b)(4)):	11		
IV.	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED12				
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.104(b)(3)				
	1.	"node"	13		
	2.	"vertex"	13		
	3.	"keyword"	14		
	4.	"jumping"	15		

		5.	"verbal description"	15	
VI.	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '379 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE				
	A.	(	Ground 1: Wesemann renders claims 1, 2, and 7 obvious	16	
		1.	Claim 1	19	
		2.	Claim 2	30	
		3.	Claim 7	32	
	В.		Ground 2: Wesemann in view of Rajaraman renders Claims 3-6 obvious	38	
		1.	Claims 3-4	40	
		2.	Claims 5-6	45	
	C.	(	Ground 3: Fratkina renders claims 1, 2, and 7 obvious	50	
		1.	Claim 1	51	
		2.	Claim 2	59	
		3.	Claim 7	60	
	D.		Ground 4: Fratkina in view of Rajaraman renders Claims 3-6 bvious	65	
VII.	THE BOARD SHOULD NOT DENY INSTITUTION UNDER ITS SECTION 314(a) DISCRETION				
	A.	F	Factors 1 & 2: Elastic is unrelated to prior petitioners	68	
	B.	F	Factors 3-5: This petition poses no unfairness to Patent Owner	69	
	C.	F	Factors 6 & 7: This petition <i>increases</i> the efficiency of the Board	70	
	D.	A	Additional Factors: Discretionary denial would be unfair to Elastic.	71	
VIII.	СО	NC]	LUSION	72	
IX.	CE	RTI	FICATE OF COMPLIANCE	73	



X.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§42.15(A) AND 42.10374	1
XI.	APPENDIX – LIST OF EXHIBITS75	5



### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Petitioner Elastic N.V. ("Elastic" or "Petitioner") respectfully requests *inter* partes review of claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent 7,231,379 ("the '379 patent").

The '379 patent is directed to keywords for searching a hierarchical network.

The keywords correspond to points on that network, called "nodes" or "vertices."

The claims involve two obvious concepts.

First, when a user inputs a keyword, the system "jumps" the user to the node or vertex for that keyword, without requiring the user to traverse intervening points. The '379 patent was allowed largely on this "jumping." However, as shown in the prior art references Wesemann and Fratkina, "jumping" was well-known by 2002. See EX1004 Abstract; EX1007 ¶¶36-40, 49, 55-56, 84. Neither reference was cited during prosecution.

*Second*, the '379 patent includes four claims related to using and updating a thesaurus for synonyms to user inputs. However, these thesaurus steps had already been developed by the 1990s. EX1007 ¶¶41-45, 69-76.

"Jumping" was not novel, and the '379 patent did not improve on "jumping" in a non-obvious way. EX1007 ¶¶31-93. Accordingly, Elastic respectfully requests that the Board institute *inter partes* review and cancel the challenged claims.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

