

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Intel Corporation
Petitioner

v.

ACQIS LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2021-01107

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 9,529,768
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 4-6 AND 10-12
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Mandatory Notices.....	1
	A. Related Matters.....	1
	B. Real Parties-in-Interest.....	8
	C. Counsel.....	8
	D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)).....	9
III.	Fees.....	10
IV.	Grounds for Standing.....	10
V.	Identification of Challenge and Relief Requested.....	10
	A. Identification of Prior Art.....	10
	B. Statutory Grounds of Unpatentability.....	11
VI.	Overview of the '768 Patent.....	11
VII.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	22
VIII.	Claim Construction.....	23
	A. Constructions from Other Proceedings.....	23
	B. Peripheral Bridge.....	25
IX.	The '768 Patent Is Not Entitled To A Priority Date Before April 15, 2011.....	30
	A. The Challenged Claims Recite Limitations Not Supported By Parent Applications That Precede The '436 Patent.....	32

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
U.S. Patent No. 9,529,768 (Claims 4-6, 10-12)

1.	The Purported Written Description Support For The “CPU-Peripheral Bridge” Limitations Was First Introduced In The ’436 Patent Through A Preliminary Amendment	34
B.	The ’436 Patent Introduced Subject Matter Not Found In Its Parent Applications	36
1.	Materials Incorporated By Reference Must Be Identified “With Detailed Particularity” and “Specific[ity]”	37
2.	The ’436 Patent’s Parent Applications Never Incorporated By Reference the ’886 Provisional.....	39
C.	“Peripheral Bridge” Encompassing Components Beyond a “South Bridge” Constitutes New Matter.....	43
D.	The Challenged Claims Are Not Entitled To An Earlier Priority Date.....	48
X.	Prosecution History of the ’768 Patent.....	50
XI.	Specific Grounds for Challenge	50
A.	Ground I: Chu330 in combination with Peleg and Helms Renders Obvious Claims 4-6.....	50
1.	Prior Art	50
2.	Claim 4	71
3.	Claim 5	104
4.	Claim 6.....	104
B.	Ground II: Chu330 in combination with Peleg, Helms, and Chu8415 Renders Obvious Claims 10-12.....	110
1.	Claim 10.....	110
2.	Claim 11	117

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
U.S. Patent No. 9,529,768 (Claims 4-6, 10-12)

3.	Claim 12	118
XII.	Discretionary Factors	119
A.	35 U.S.C. § 325(d) – Prosecution History	119
B.	35 U.S.C. § 314(a) – General Plastic (GP) Factors and Valve Factor	120
C.	35 U.S.C. § 314(a) – <i>Fintiv</i> Factors	121
1.	Factor 1	122
2.	Factor 2	122
3.	Factor 3	123
4.	Factor 4	123
5.	Factor 5	125
6.	Factor 6	125
XIII.	Conclusion	125

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<u>Cases</u>	
<i>Advanced Bionics, LLC v. Med-El Elektromedizinische Gerate GmbH</i> , IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (PTAB Feb. 13, 2020)	119
<i>Advanced Display System, Inc. v. Kent State University</i> , 212 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	41
<i>ALZA Corp. v. Andrx Pharms., LLC</i> , 603 F.3d 935 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	49
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (March 20, 2020)	121
<i>Ariad Pharms., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	49
<i>Auto. Techs. Int’l, Inc. v. BMW of N. Am., Inc.</i> , 501 F.3d 1274 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	49
<i>Bd. Of Regents of the Univ. of Texas Sys. V. BENQ Am. Corp.</i> , 533 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	30
<i>Cave Consulting Grp., LLC v. OptumInsight, Inc.</i> , 725 F.App’x 988 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	47
<i>Droplets, Inc. v. E*Trade Bank</i> , 887 F.3d 1309, (Fed. Cir. 2018)	38
<i>Duodecad IT Services Luxembourg S.A.R.L, et al. v. WAG Acquisition, LLC</i> , IPR2015-01036, Paper 17 (PTAB Oct. 20, 2016).....	100
<i>Google LLC. v. Uniloc 2017</i> , IPR2020-00396, Paper 11 (PTAB Aug. 03, 2020).....	120
<i>Harari v. Hollmer</i> , 602 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	38

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.