UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SLAYBACK PHARMALLC, Petitioner,

v.

EYE THERAPIES, LLC, Patent Owner.

IPR2022-00142 Patent 8,293,742 B2

Before TINA E. HULSE, ROBERT A. POLLOCK, and RYAN H. FLAX, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HULSE, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKET

Δ

ORDER Conduct of the Proceeding 37 C.F.R. § 42.5

L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

IPR2022-00142 Patent 8,293,742 B2

On February 27, 2023, oral argument was held in this proceeding. After the hearing, the panel has decided further briefing would be helpful on the following issues:

- Should the preamble of the claims, "A method for reducing eye redness," be construed as limited to a "statement of the intentional purpose for which the method must be performed," *see Jansen v. Rexall Sundown, Inc.*, 342 F.3d 1329, 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003), and, if so, what impact does that construction have on inherent anticipation?
- 2. What impact does the transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" have on the claims? Is there a temporal aspect to the term (e.g., for drugs that are administered before or after brimonidine, but not together)? Is there an intent aspect to the term (e.g., for drugs that are administered for a different purpose)?

In addition to the claim construction issues above, the parties may address the impact of either or both of these issues on the substantive grounds of this proceeding. However, no additional evidence shall be permitted without prior authorization from the Board.

ORDER

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the parties shall file simultaneous opening briefs on the issues set forth in this Order by no later than 5 p.m. ET on March 20, 2023;

FURTHER ORDERED that the opening briefs shall be no longer than 15 pages;

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties may file simultaneous responsive briefs by no later than 5 p.m. ET on March 27, 2023;

FURTHER ORDERED that the responsive briefs shall be no longer than 10

IPR2022-00142 Patent 8,293,742 B2

pages; and

FURTHER ORDERED that no additional evidence shall be permitted with any briefing without prior authorization from the Board.

FOR PETITIONER:

Linnea P. Cipriano Louis H. Weinstein Patrick G. Pollard WINDELS MARX LANE & MITTENDORF, LLP lcipriano@goodwinlaw.com lweinstein@windelsmarx.com ppollard@windelsmarx.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Bryan C. Diner Justin J. Hasford Caitlin E. O'Connell Christina Ji-Hye Yang FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. bryan.diner@finnegan.com justin.hasford@finnegan.com caitlin.o'connell@finnegan.com christina.yang@finnegan.com

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.