

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SLAYBACK PHARMA LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

EYE THERAPIES, LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2022-00142
U.S. Patent No. 8,293,742

**PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY REGARDING DISCRETIONARY
DENIAL UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 314(a) AND 325(d)**

(Filed Pursuant to Paper 9)

Table of Contents

I. The Board Should Deny Institution Under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a)1

II. The Board Should Deny Institution Under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d).....3

A. The Board can deny institution even if the exact references or disclosures were not before the Examiner3

B. The '553 patent contains substantially the same disclosure as the references before the Examiner during prosecution.....4

C. Norden 2002 contains substantially the same disclosure as the references before the Examiner during prosecution.....5

D. Petitioner’s arguments regarding Ground 3 are unavailing7

Table of Abbreviations

Petition (Paper 2)	Pet.
Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (Paper 7)	P.R.
Petitioner's Pre-Institution Reply (Paper 10)	Reply
Slayback action	<i>Bausch & Lomb, Inc. et al. v. Slayback Pharma LLC et al.</i> , Civil Action No. 21-16766 (D.N.J.)
Lupin action	<i>Bausch & Lomb, Inc. et al. v. Lupin Ltd.</i> , Civil Action No. 22-534 (D.N.J.)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper No. 15 (P.T.A.B. May 13, 2020)	2
<i>Apple Inc. v. Pinn, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00999, Paper No. 15 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 8, 2020)	2
<i>BMW of N. Am., LLC v. Stragent, LLC</i> , IPR2021-00427, Paper No. 13 (P.T.A.B. July 19, 2021)	4-5
<i>Dropworks, Inc. v. The Univ. of Chicago</i> , IPR2021-00100, Paper No. 9 (P.T.A.B. May 14, 2021)	4
<i>Mylan Labs. Ltd. v. Janssen Pharmaceutica NV</i> , IPR2020-00440, Paper No. 17 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 16, 2020).....	1
<i>Regents of the Univ. of California v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 119 F.3d 1559 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	4
<i>Semiconductor Energy Lab. Co., Ltd., v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.</i> , 204 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	3, 4
<i>SK Innovation Co., Ltd. v. LG Chem, Ltd.</i> , IPR No. 2020-00982, Paper No. 14 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 30, 2020).....	2
Federal Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	1
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	3, 4
Other Authorities	
MPEP § 707.07(g).....	7
MPEP § 904.03	7

PTAB Standard Operating Procedure 22

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.