Case IPR2022-00142 U.S. Patent No. 8,293,742

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

### SLAYBACK PHARMA LLC

Petitioner

v.

EYE THERAPIES LLC

Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2022-00142 U.S. Patent No. 8,293,742

### **PETITIONER'S REPLY**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION1	
II.	CLAI	M CONSTRUCTION1
	A.	Ocular Condition1
	B.	"About 0.025%"2
III.	THE	'553 PATENT ANTICIPATES CLAIMS 1 AND 27
IV.	THE	CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS9
	A.	The Prior Art Taught Toward Brimonidine, Not Away10
	В.	A POSA Would Not Have Understood Brimonidine's Ability to Reduce Redness to Be Concentration Dependent15
	C.	POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Use pH Within the Claimed Range
		1. There Was No Recognized "Progression" Toward Higher pH 18
		2. Eye Redness Relievers Work on the Surface of the Eye
		3. There Is a Range of pH That Is Tolerable to Patients20
	D.	A POSA Would Have Been Able to Make the Claimed Formulations With Routine Skill
	E.	Claims 4-6 Are Also Obvious
	F.	Secondary Considerations Are Insufficient to Overcome an Obviousness Finding

### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

### Cases

<i>ABT Sys., LLC v. Emerson Elec. Co.,</i> 797 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2015)
Amgen Inc. v. Hoeschst Marion Roussel, Inc., 314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003)9, 11
Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc., 752 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 2014)
C.R. Bard, Inc. v. Medline Indus., Inc., No. 2020-1900, 2021 WL 3574043 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 13, 2021)12, 13
<i>Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. v. Covidien LP,</i> 812 F.3d 1023 (Fed. Cir. 2016)
<i>Fox Factory, Inc. v. SRAM, LLC,</i> 944 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2019)23
<i>In re Fulton</i> , 391 F.3d 1195 (Fed. Cir. 2004)17
<i>Hill-Rom Serv., Inc. v. Stryker Corp.,</i> 755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014)2
Micron Tech., Inc. v. Lone Star Silicon Innovations LLC, IPR2017-01562, 2018 WL 6602102 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 13, 2018)
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Mira Advanced Tech., Inc.,</i> IPR2017-01411, 2018 WL 6204170 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 27, 2018)
<i>Ormco Corp. v. Align Tech., Inc.,</i> 463 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2006)
Ortho-McNeil Pharm., Inc. v. Caraco Pharm. Lab'ys, 476 F.3d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2007)
Par Pharm., Inc. v. Hospira, Inc., 835 F. App'x 578 (Fed. Cir. 2020)

Case IPR2022-00142 U.S. Patent No. 8,293,742	
<i>In re Peterson</i> , 315 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	17, 24
<i>Pfizer, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 480 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	22
Schering Corp v. Geneva Pharms., 339 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	8, 9
Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc., 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	6

Exhibit	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,293,742 (filed July 27, 2009) (issued Oct. 23, 2012)
1001	('742 Patent)
1002	Expert Declaration of Neal A. Sher, M.D. (Sher)
1003	Expert Declaration of Paul A. Laskar, Ph.D. (Laskar)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 6,294,553 (filed Feb. 14, 2001) (issued Sep. 25, 2001)
1004	('553 patent)
	Walters, Thomas R., et al. "A Pilot Study of Life Efficacy and Safety of
1005	AGN 190342-Lf 0.02% And 0.08% In Patients with Elevated Intraocular
1005	Pressure." Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology, vol. 32,
	no. 4, 15 Mar. 1991, p. 988 (Walters 1991)
	Norden, Richard A. "Effect of Prophylactic Brimonidine or Bleeding
1006	Complications and Flap Adherence after Laser in Situ Keratomileusis."
1006	Journal of Refractive Surgery, vol. 18, no. 4, 2002, pp. 468-471 (Norden
	2002)
1007	U.S. Patent 6,242,442 (filed Dec. 7, 1999) (issued June 5, 2001) ('442
1007	patent)
	"ALPHAGAN® (brimonidine tartrate ophthalmic solution) 0.2%."
1008	Physicians' Desk Reference, 52th ed., Medical Economics Company, Inc.,
	1998, p. 487 (Alphagan® Label 1998)
1009	53 Fed. Reg. 7076-7093 (Mar. 4, 1988) (Federal Register 1988)
	U.S. Application 12/460,941 filed July 27, 2009, downloaded from PAIR
1010	('941 Application)
	U.S. Provisional Application 61/207,481 filed February 12, 2009,
1011	downloaded from PAIR ('481 Provisional)

### EXHIBIT LIST

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.