

Document title:	The IP Rise of Waco and the Western District of Texas
Capture URL:	https://www.natlawreview.com/article/move-over-marshall-there-s-new-sheriff-town-rise-waco-and-western-district-texas
Page loaded at (UTC):	Wed, 09 Mar 2022 15:02:52 GMT
Capture timestamp (UTC):	Wed, 09 Mar 2022 15:03:28 GMT
Capture tool:	v7.13.2
Collection server IP:	54.157.181.49
Browser engine:	Chrome/77.0.3865.120
Operating system:	Microsoft Windows NT 10.0.17763.0 (10.0.17763.0)
PDF length:	6
Capture ID:	bb5d3ab4-767e-4b94-ab52-658e6c7464dc
User:	bh-sskiles

Advertisement



3 Foods That Fight Memory Loss

Eat these 3 foods daily and watch what happens.

Boston Brain Science

Open >

PUBLISH / ADVERTISE WITH US • TRENDING LEGAL NEWS • ABOUT US • CONTACT US • QUICK LINKS • ENEWSBULLETINS



61
NEW ARTICLES

5 CRITICAL ENDPOINT
SECURITY CAPABILITIES

CROWDSTRIKE

Download Now



Advertisement

Move Over Marshall, There's a New Sheriff in Town —The Rise of Waco and the Western District of Texas

Sunday, March 28, 2021

Since the mid-2000s, mention Marshall, Tyler, Sherman, Beaumont or Texarkana to an experienced patent litigator and you would get knowing nods about this string of small Texas towns, tips on their favorite BBQ or Tex-Mex restaurants, and war stories about the big patent wars fought there. The Eastern District of Texas, along with the District of Delaware and the Northern and Central Districts of California, rose to prominence as the primary battlegrounds for major technology companies and their non-practicing distant cousins ("NPEs") to determine the scope and monetary value of their innovations.

Given the Supreme Court's restatement of venue requirements in *TC Heartland*, Waco is not where you would expect the new center of US patent litigation to arise. Deep in the heart of the Texas Bible Belt, Waco lacks the abundance of technology companies commonly found in its District neighbors to the south, such as Austin and San Antonio. Even with Austin's prominence as a hi-tech hub, the Western District had only 2.5% of the patent cases filed nationwide in 2018.

That changed dramatically, however, with the appointment of District Judge Alan Albright to the Waco Courthouse in September 2018. A former patent litigator, Judge Albright intentionally adopted a combination of patent owner-friendly policies and special court rules to attract patent plaintiffs (the party with first pick on venue) to his courtroom. As a result, 792 patent cases were assigned to Judge Albright in 2020, the most of any judge in the country by a very wide margin. The caseload shifted west, but not past the I-35 corridor.

Article By

Ronald S. Lemieux
Steven M. Auvil

Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP
Global IP and Technology Law Blog

SQUIRE
PATTON BOGGS



Related Practices & Jurisdictions

Intellectual Property
Civil Procedure
Litigation / Trial Practice
Texas

- PRINTER-FRIENDLY
- EMAIL THIS ARTICLE
- DOWNLOAD PDF
- REPRINTS & PERMISSIONS

Tweet

Like 0

Advertisement

CROWDSTRIKE

THREATS
EVOLVE.
YOUR ENDPOINT
SECURITY SHOULD TOO

Get the White Paper

RELATED LEGAL HEADLINES

Venue Manipulation Obviates
Geographically Bounded Claims in
Venue Analysis

By Intellectual Property Practice Group

Move Over Marshall, There's a New
Sheriff in Town—The Rise of Waco and
the Western District of Texas

By Ronald S. Lemieux

Data-Encryption Is Patent Eligible
Despite Not Being Tied to a Particular
Machine

By Blake Wong

Texas Puts its Lone-Star Spin on Trade
Secret Protection

By Jonathan K. Hance

Advertisement




CROWDSTRIKE



By using the website, you agree to our use of cookies to analyze website traffic and improve your experience on our website. [Learn more.](#)


X



1 Simple Trick To Lower Car Fuel Consumption By 55%

EcoChip

Open >



This Clever Trick Can Lower Your Car Fuel Consumption By 55%

EcoChip



Advertisement

Join the Comedian Class Action

Contact Audet & Partners, LLP now for a free, comedian class action case evaluation.

audetlaw.com

OPEN

TRENDING LEGAL ANALYSIS

FERC Issues New Policy for Natural Gas Project Certifications, for the First Time...

By Beveridge & Diamond PC

Gold Dome Report – Legislative Day 25

By Nelson Mullins

Cyberpunk 2022: Calling all Cybersecurity Fraud Whistleblowers

By Tycko & Zavareei LLP

Supreme Court Excuses Inadvertent Legal Errors in Copyright Applications

By Proskauer Rose LLP

Advertisement



Appeal Bond Specialist - National Judicial Bond Leader

suretyone.com

Visit Site

Advertisement

Join the Comedian Class Action - Recover Royalties and Fees

Pandora and other streaming services may have knowingly denied royalty payments.

audetlaw.com

Advertisement



1 Simple Trick To Lower Car Fuel Consumption By 55%

EcoChip

P x

Open >

So why the recent rush to file in Waco? To be clear, most patent suits filed in Waco are by NPEs looking for a faster and more predictable road to settlement and not necessarily the largest possible jury award. But as the recent verdict in *VLSI v. Intel* revealed, when given the right case, juries in the Western District have no trouble granting the \$1Billion+ awards seen in the other major patent venues. For non-practicing plaintiffs, filing in Waco also has the benefits of knowing exactly who your judge will be and that the chance of an early invalidation of the asserted patent(s) by either the court or the PTAB is essentially zero.

The case assignment practice used in the Western District guarantees that as long as the case is first filed in Waco, it will be assigned to Judge Albright. This is true even if the location of facilities, witnesses and documents make the case more convenient to another Division in the District. Once assigned to Judge Albright, he retains supervision of the matter even if the case transfers later to Austin or San Antonio at the request of the litigants.

Of particular interest to non-practicing plaintiffs, Judge Albright has never granted a pleading stage motion for lack of patentable subject matter (often called "101" or "Alice" motions). Judge Albright's well-publicized judicial philosophy is that given a patent's presumption of validity, such motions are appropriate only after claim construction discovery is completed and a Markman order is entered.

Nor does Judge Albright typically grant 28 U.S.C. §1404(a) motions to transfer venue outside of the Western District for the convenience of witnesses and evidence given the large number of technology companies located in Austin. After repeated criticism from the Federal Circuit for delaying his consideration of fully briefed venue motions until after claim construction, Judge Albright this week entered **Standing Order** that requires all venue motions to be determined prior to claim construction. This Order goes into effect immediately. Whether Judge Albright's new procedure will lead to granting more transfer requests remains to be seen, however. Each time he was reprimanded by the Federal Circuit for delaying consideration of transfer until after claim construction, Judge Albright quickly issued a flat denial of the motion.

Despite Judge Albright's recent Order providing for faster determination of venue challenges, there are still multiple factors making Waco a preferred destination for patent disputes. Judge Albright's **standing Order** Governing Proceedings for Patent Cases (OGP), issued 26 February 2020, provides a very accelerated time to trial, usually within 18 months of the initial case management conference. The OGP also, uniquely, stays all discovery other than what is necessary for claim construction until after the Markman hearing and generally limits the number of terms for construction to ten, unless the parties can convince the court that more are required for that case. From the perspective of NPE's, the restrictions contained in the OGP tend to minimize discovery expenses prior to claim construction and encourage more meaningful settlement discussions once the court's constructions are known.

Free Case Evaluation

Contact Audet & Partners, LLP now for a free, comedian class action case evaluation.



audetlaw.com

In view of his streamlin pending a validity chall

CRITICAL ENDPOINT

CROWDSTRIKE

By using the website, you agree to our use of cookies to analyze website traffic and improve your experience on our website. [Learn more.](#)

X



In view of his streamlined time to trial, Judge Albright is also predisposed to deny motions to stay his proceedings pending a validity challenge via IPR before the PTAB. Judge Albright has publicly stated that he will not stay cases pending the outcome of an IPR absent special circumstances, since he believes that patent owners deserve jury trials in federal court and that he can “**get a patent trial resolved more quickly than the PTAB can.**” Without a stay, and in view of a Markman decision and likely trial date before the PTAB could issue a final written decision, the PTAB may increasingly rely on these facts to deny institution of IPRs under 35 U.S.C. §314(b) for cases pending in the Western District.

Taking these features together (ability to pick your judge, low likelihood of early invalidation of the asserted patent, low chance of transfer, lower initial discovery costs and a fast timeline to trial), it is easy to see why the Western District became the overwhelming favorite jurisdiction of plaintiffs in 2020. Will it continue in 2021? Probably, as long as Judge Albright is at the helm. In many respects, having a large portion of the lower-end NPE cases consolidated in one court with expedited procedures and limited discovery is a reasonable way to keep the cost of such nuisance suits contained. At the same, the Chief Judge in the WDTX has left whether to hold trials during the Covid pandemic to the individual discretion of each Judge. As demonstrated by the recent *VLSI v. Intel* trial, Judge Albright believes trials can proceed safely during the pandemic when the proper precautions are taken.

Should other judges in the Western District decide they want a larger slice of the patent docket, however, all it might take to throw the current system into chaos would be a change in the way patent cases are assigned (such as a move to the “wheel” approach used in the Northern District of California). Suddenly, the predictability and uniformity of Judge Albright’s approach could completely fall apart as different Judges adopt their own rules and systems. In light of Judge Albright’s enthusiasm for building the largest patent docket in the country, however, significant changes to Western District practice appear unlikely at this time. Indeed, the *VLSI* verdict likely made plaintiffs’ view of the potential pot of gold in Waco shine just a little bit brighter.

© Copyright 2022 Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP

National Law Review, Volume XI, Number 87

[f](#) [t](#) [in](#) [g+](#) [e](#) [d](#) [p](#) [v](#) / [PRINTER-FRIENDLY](#) / [EMAIL THIS ARTICLE](#) / [DOWNLOAD PDF](#) / [REPRINTS & PERMISSIONS](#)

SPONSORED FINANCIAL CONTENT

Dianomi



The Only Options Trade You Need to Build-Up A Small Account

TradeWins



The best online savings accounts of 2021 in one place

NerdWallet



12 Must-Own Dividend Stocks Everyone Needs in Their Portfolio

Wealthy Retirement



Motley Fool Issues Rare “All In” Buy Alert

The Motley Fool



Man who called 2020 crash explains why billionaires are dumping stocks

Chaikin Analytics

Advertisement

Appeal Bond Specialist - National Judicial Bond Leader


Supersedes and appeal bonds issued same-day for local, state, federal and tribal courts suretyone.com

OPEN

Advertisement



Advertisement



By using the website, you agree to our use of cookies to analyze website traffic and improve your experience on our website. [Learn more.](#)

X

HAS YOUR
ENDPOINT
SECURITY?

Get the eBook



ABOUT THIS AUTHOR

**Ronald S. Lemieux***Partner*

Ron Lemieux has served as lead counsel in more than 200 intellectual property disputes for clients such as ASUS, Sony Computer Entertainment America, PINC Solutions, MediaTek, ChipMOS Technologies, Rohm, Olympus, Konami Digital Entertainment, Silicon Graphics, Sumitronics, IDT, Winbond, FIC, Pegatron, Sakata Seeds, and Royal Sluis, among others. He has extensive trial experience and has taken more than 20 cases to final verdict in both jury and bench trials in courtrooms nationwide. Ron has also argued several successful appeals before the Federal Circuit.

...
ronald.lemieux@squirepb.com
650-843-3330
www.squirepattonboggs.com
www.squirepattonboggs.com/en/blogs

Steven M. Auvil*Partner*

Steve Auvil leads our Intellectual Property & Technology Practice Group's litigation practice in the US, and his practice is focused on litigation of intellectual property (IP) disputes. As an engineer and patent lawyer, he has been exposed to a wide variety of technologies, including control systems, power electronics, communication systems, medical devices, steel production, complex mechanical systems and software systems. Steve has been listed in *Chambers USA Leading Lawyers* since 2007 and *The Best Lawyers in America* since 2006.

In more...

steven.auvil@squirepb.com
202-626-6632
www.squirepattonboggs.com
www.squirepattonboggs.com/en/blogs

Appeal Bond Specialist - National Judicial Bond Leader

suretyone.com

Visit

Stop Paying So
Much For Fuel

Thousands in United States found a device that can lower fuel consumption by 55%

EcoChip

Open >

Advertisement



#1 Trick To Save Money On Fuel

Thousands in United States found a device that can lower fuel consumption by 55%

EcoChip

Open >

CRITICAL ENDPOINT**CROWDSTRIKE**

By using the website, you agree to our use of cookies to analyze website traffic and improve your experience on our website. [Learn more.](#)

X

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.