

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION

IGT and IGT CANADA SOLUTIONS ULC,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	C.A. No. 6:21-CV-00331-ADA
)	
v.)	Judge: Honorable Alan D. Albright
)	
ZYNGA INC.,)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)	
Defendant.)	

IGT'S RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....1

II. The '089 Patent Terms.....4

 A. “software authorization agent”4

 B. “gaming software”9

 C. “gaming machine”11

III. The '473 Patent Terms.....14

 A. “website server”14

 B. “first gaming server”16

 C. “second gaming server”18

IV. The '212 Patent Terms.....18

 A. “publishing”18

 B. “high-level function”23

 C. “node”28

V. The '791 Patent Terms.....29

 A. “determine instances of probable collusion between players”29

VI. The '189 Patent Terms.....32

 A. “establishing” and “re-established” “communications link”32

IV. Conclusion35

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>3M Co. v. Kerr Corp.</i> , No. CV 17-1730-LPS, 2019 WL 2411736 (D. Del. June 7, 2019).....	23
<i>Al-Site Corp. v. VSI Int’l, Inc.</i> , 174 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	27
<i>Aylus Networks, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 856 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	7
<i>Baker Hughes Oilfield Ops., Inc. v. Prod. Tool Sol., Inc.</i> , No. 1-17-CV-291-LY, 2020 WL 1916691 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 17, 2020)	1, 9, 12, 28
<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	34
<i>Blackboard, Inc. v. Desire2Learn, Inc.</i> , 574 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	5, 6
<i>Commscope Techs. LLC v. Rosenberger Site Sols., LLC</i> , No. 20-1053-RGA, slip op. (D. Del. Nov. 4, 2021).....	23
<i>Cook Biotech Inc. v. Acell, Inc.</i> , 460 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	29
<i>Data Engine Techs. LLC v. Google LLC</i> , 10 F.4th 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	11, 16, 22
<i>eCeipt, LLC v. Victoria’s Secret Stores, LLC</i> , No. 6:20-CV-747-ADA, 2021 WL 4037599 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 3, 2021)	30
<i>Ecolab, Inc. v. FMC Corp.</i> , 569 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	6
<i>Ethicon LLC v. Intuitive Surgical, Inc.</i> , 847 F. App’x 901 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	10, 13, 19
<i>FloodBreak, LLC v. Art Metal Indus., LLC</i> , No. 3:18-cv-503 (SRU), 2020 WL 4548084 (D. Conn. Aug. 6, 2020)	23
<i>Function Media, L.L.C. v. Google, Inc.</i> , No. 207-CV-279, 2009 WL 3260566 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 9, 2009)	23

Innovative Display Techs. LLC v. Acer Inc.,
 No. 2:13-CV-522-JRG, 2014 WL 4230037 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 26, 2014).....27

Iscar Ltd. v. Sandvik AB,
 243 F.3d 558 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....5

MBO Labs., Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.,
 474 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....13, 20, 28

Mission Pharmacal Co. v. Virtus Pharm., LLC,
 No. SA-13-CA-176-OG, 2014 WL 12480013 (W.D. Tex. Feb. 26, 2014)19, 21

Motiva Patents, LLC v. Sony Corp.,
 No. 9:18-CV-180-JRG-KFG, 2019 WL 3933670 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 20, 2019)6

Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.,
 572 U.S. 898 (2014).....24

One-E-Way, Inc. v. ITC,
 859 F.3d 1059 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....24

Oxygenator Water Techs., Inc. v. Tennant Co.,
 No. 20-CV-358, 2021 WL 3661587 (D. Minn. Aug. 18, 2021)5

Patriot Universal Holdings, LLC v. Formax, Inc.,
 No. 10-C-355, 2011 WL 2224688 (E.D. Wis. June 7, 2011)30

Personalized Media Commc'ns v. Apple Inc.,
 952 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....7

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....18

Polycom, Inc. v. Codian Ltd.,
 No. CIV.A. 2:05-CV-520DF, 2007 WL 5688763 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 19, 2007)34

Power Integrations, Inc. v. ON Semiconductor Corp.,
 396 F. Supp. 3d 851 (N.D. Cal. 2019)6

Power-One, Inc. v. Artesyn Techs., Inc.,
 599 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....35

Pulse Elecs., Inc. v. U.D. Elec. Corp.,
 860 F. App'x 735 (Fed. Cir. 2021)4

In re Sang Su Lee,
 277 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....26

Southwall Techs., Inc. v. Cardinal IG Co.,
54 F.3d 1570 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....7

Tech. Innovations, LLC v. Nstein Techs., Inc.,
No. 2:10-CV-341-FTM, 2015 WL 1910434 (M.D. Fla. Apr. 27, 2015)30

Tinnus Enterps., LLC v. Telebrands Corp.,
733 F. App'x 1011 (Fed. Cir. 2018)26

Traxis, L.P. v. Hobbico, Inc.,
No. 2:16-CV-00768-JRG-RSP, 2017 WL 4347709 (E.D. Tex. Sep. 29, 2017).....35

U.S. Surgical Corp. v. Ethicon, Inc.,
103 F.3d 1554 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....35

Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Google LLC,
No. 2:18-CV-00492-JRG-RSP, 2020 WL 569858 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 5, 2020).....35

Vertical Tank, Inc. v. BakerCorp,
No. 1:18-CV-00145-LJO-JLT, 2019 WL 2207668 (E.D. Cal. May 22, 2019)6

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.