

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION


| | | |
|------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| VOCALIFE LLC | § | |
| v. | § | |
| BOSE CORPORATION | § | CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-00128-JRG |
| | § | (Lead Case) |
| | § | |

| | | |
|--------------|---|------------------------------------|
| VOCALIFE LLC | § | |
| v. | § | |
| SONOS, INC. | § | CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-00129-JRG |
| | § | (Member Case) |
| | § | |

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendant Sonos Inc.'s ("Sonos") Motion to Stay Pending Targeted Venue Discovery and Federal Circuit Appeal in *Amazon I* (the "Motion"). (Dkt. No. 69). In the Motion, Sonos argues that this case should be stayed pending venue discovery and a decision from the Federal Circuit in *Vocalife LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, Appeal No. 21-1937 ("*Amazon Appeal*"). Having considered the Motion and the subsequent briefing, the Court finds that the Motion should be and hereby is **DENIED-WITHOUT-PREJUDICE**. Targeted venue discovery will end in approximately one month, and the Court is not persuaded that it would be more efficient to stay this case pending venue discovery and the *Amazon Appeal* at this time. However, Sonos has leave to refile its motion to stay based on the *Amazon Appeal* after the Court has decided Sonos's forthcoming venue motion (if the appeal is still outstanding and incomplete at that time).

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 7th day of January, 2022.



RODNEY GILSTRAP