	ted States Patent	T AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22 www.uspto.gov	FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
13/071,497	03/24/2011	Daniel H. Lee	TS-02-313U3	4143
30349 JACKSON & (		EXAMINER MCEVOY, THOMAS M		
6114 LA SALI #507	LE AVENUE		MCEVOY,	THOMAS M
OAKLAND, CA 94611-2802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2013	ELECTRONIC

#### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@jacolaw.com docketing@jacolaw.com mail@jacolaw.com

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	<b>Application No.</b> 13/071,497	LEE ET AL.	Applicant(s) LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner THOMAS MCEVOY	<b>Art Unit</b> 3731	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status No	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondenc	ce address	
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro statute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of IED (35 U.S.C. § 130	this communication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under <b>37 CF</b>				
	This action is non-final.			
3) An election was made by the applicant in		t set forth durir	ng the interview on	
; the restriction requirement and el	ection have been incorporated into th	is action.		
4) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur			o the merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
5) Claim(s) 6-15 is/are pending in the applic	ation.			
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	hdrawn from consideration.			
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
7)				
<ul> <li>7)  Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>7) Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction</li> </ul>		eccution High	ueu avogrom ot o	
<ul> <li>7) Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subject to restriction.</li> </ul>	be eligible to benefit from the Patent Pr	_	<b>way</b> program at a	
<ul> <li>7) Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are subjected and the subject to restrict and the subject to restrict are subjected and the subject to restrict are subjected a</li></ul>	be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ling application. For more information, pl	ease see	<b>way</b> program at a	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at a subject to restriction at a subject to restriction at a subject to restrict a subject</li></ul>	be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ling application. For more information, pl	ease see	<b>way</b> program at a	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at any claims have been determined allowable, you may participating intellectual property office for the correspondentiation intellectual property office for the correspondent of the corresponde</li></ul>	be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plu send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u>	ease see	<b>way</b> program at a	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at any claims have been determined allowable, you may participating intellectual property office for the correspondent of the corre</li></ul>	v be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plu send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> uminer.	ease see 9. <u>gov</u> .	<b>way</b> program at a	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second structure of the correspondent of</li></ul>	y be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, ple r send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> uminer. ] accepted or b)□ objected to by the	ease see <u>gov</u> . e Examiner.		
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at any claims have been determined allowable, you may participating intellectual property office for the correspondent of the corre</li></ul>	to be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, pla send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> miner. ] accepted or b) _ objected to by the o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ease see <u>gov</u> . e Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85(	(a).	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second structure of the corresponding intellectual property office for the corresponding intellectual property office for the corresponding of the corresponding of the specification is objected to by the Example of the specification is objected to by the Example of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection at the specification of the specification of the corresponding of the drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding the drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding the drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding the drawing sheet(s) including the drawing shee</li></ul>	to be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, pla send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> miner. ] accepted or b) _ objected to by the o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ease see <u>gov</u> . e Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85(	(a).	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second structure of the correspondent of the correspondent</li></ul>	t be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plo send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> aminer. ] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S orrection is required if the drawing(s) is c	ease see <u>gov</u> . e Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85( bjected to. See 3	(a).	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second state of the correspondent of</li></ul>	t be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plo send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> aminer. ] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S orrection is required if the drawing(s) is c	ease see <u>gov</u> . e Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85( bjected to. See 3	(a).	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the set of the correspondent of the corr</li></ul>	t be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plo send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> aminer. ] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S orrection is required if the drawing(s) is c	ease see <u>gov</u> . e Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85( bjected to. See 3	(a).	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second structure of the corresponding intellectual property office for the corresponding intellectual property office for the corresponding on the specification is objected to by the Exa 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding the specification is made of a claim for for Certified copies: <ul> <li>a) ☐ All</li> <li>b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	t be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plu send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> aminer. ] accepted or b)	ease see <u>gov</u> . e Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85( bjected to. See 3	(a).	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second structure of the correspondent of the correspondent</li></ul>	t be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plu send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> aminer. ] accepted or b)	ease see <u>e.gov</u> . e Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85( bjected to. See 3 a)-(d) or (f).	'a). 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second structure of the correspondent of the corresponden</li></ul>	the eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plue send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> aminer. ] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S orrection is required if the drawing(s) is o reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119( uments have been received.	ease see <u>9.gov</u> . e Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85( bjected to. See 3 a)-(d) or (f). ation No	a). 37 CFR 1.121(d). 	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second structure of the correspondent of the corresponden</li></ul>	the eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plu- send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> atminer. ] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S orrection is required if the drawing(s) is o reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119( uments have been received. uments have been received in Applica e priority documents have been rece	ease see <u>9.gov</u> . e Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85( bjected to. See 3 a)-(d) or (f). ation No	a). 37 CFR 1.121(d). 	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second state of the second state of the corresponding intellectual property office for the corresponding intellectual property office for the corresponding on the specification is objected to by the Example of the specification is objected to by the Example of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection replacement drawing sheet(s) including the complex of the specified copies: <ul> <li>a) ☐ All</li> <li>b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doed of the specified copies of the certified copies of the priority doed of the specified copies of the certified copies of the priority doed of the specified copies of the certified copies of the priority doed of the specified copies of the certified copies of the certif</li></ul></li></ul>	the eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plu- r send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> aminer. ] accepted or b)	ease see <u>9.gov</u> . e Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85( bjected to. See 3 a)-(d) or (f). ation No	a). 37 CFR 1.121(d). 	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second structure of the corresponding intellectual property office for the corresponding intellectual property office for the corresponding on the specification is objected to by the Example of the specification is objected to by the Examplication Papers</li> <li>10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examplication Papers</li> <li>10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examplication Papers</li> <li>10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examplication may not request that any objection applicant application from the priority doed a claim for for the certified copies: <ul> <li>a) ☐ All</li> <li>b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doed application from the International E application from the International E application from the International E</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a Interim copies:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	the eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plu- send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> aminer. ] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S orrection is required if the drawing(s) is of reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119( uments have been received. uments have been received. uments have been received in Applica e priority documents have been rece ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not received.	ease see <u>e.gov</u> . e Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85( bjected to. See 3 a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this Nat	'a). 37 CFR 1.121(d).  ional Stage	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second state of the second state of the corresponding intellectual property office for the corresponding intellectual property office for the corresponding on the specification is objected to by the Example of the specification is objected to by the Example of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection replacement drawing sheet(s) including the complex of the specified copies: <ul> <li>a) ☐ All</li> <li>b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doed of the specified copies of the certified copies of the priority doed of the specified copies of the certified copies of the priority doed of the specified copies of the certified copies of the priority doed of the specified copies of the certified copies of the certif</li></ul></li></ul>	the eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plu- send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> aminer. ] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S orrection is required if the drawing(s) is of reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119( uments have been received. uments have been received. uments have been received in Applica e priority documents have been rece ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not received.	ease see <u>e.gov</u> . e Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85( bjected to. See 3 a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this Nat	'a). 37 CFR 1.121(d).  ional Stage	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second structure of the correspondent of the correspond</li></ul>	the eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plu- send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> aminer. ] accepted or b)	ease see <u>gov</u> . Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85( bjected to. See 3 a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this Nat	'a). 37 CFR 1.121(d).  ional Stage	
<ul> <li>7) ∑ Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second structure of the correspondent of the correspond</li></ul>	the eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plu- send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> aminer. ] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S orrection is required if the drawing(s) is of reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119( uments have been received. uments have been received. uments have been received in Applica e priority documents have been rece ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not received.	ease see <u>ease see</u> <u>e Examiner.</u> ee 37 CFR 1.85( bjected to. See 3 a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this Nat ents have been ry (PTO-413)	'a). 37 CFR 1.121(d).  ional Stage	
<ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>9) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction at the second structure of the correspondent of the correspond</li></ul>	the eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Pr</b> ding application. For more information, plu- send an inquiry to <u>PPHfeedback@usptc</u> aminer. ] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S orrection is required if the drawing(s) is of reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119( uments have been received. uments have been received. uments have been received. uments have been received in Applica e priority documents have been received. list of the certified copies not received. Interim copies of the priority docume 3) ☐ Interview Summa	ease see <u>ease see</u> <u>e Examiner.</u> ee 37 CFR 1.85( bjected to. See 3 a)-(d) or (f). ation No ived in this Nat ents have been ry (PTO-413)	'a). 37 CFR 1.121(d).  ional Stage	

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Application/Control Number: 13/071,497 Art Unit: 3731

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention II in the reply filed on January

14<sup>th</sup> 2013 is acknowledged.

DOCKE.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112(a):

(a) IN GENERAL.—The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor or joint inventor of carrying out the invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), first paragraph: The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(a) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA),

first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The

claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a

way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor or a joint

inventor, or for pre-AIA the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had

possession of the claimed invention. Claim 8 requires a longitudinal notch in

combination with a carrier and a sharp, and at least functionally, an inserter as well.

However, the longitudinal notch is disclosed as 6866 for receiving an inserter

Application/Control Number: 13/071,497 Art Unit: 3731

component and there does not appear to be any other carrier-like structure movably

supporting sharp 6840. Claim 13 requires a plurality of cantilever members. This

limitation cannot be found in the originally filed disclosure.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148

USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining

obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 6-8 and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Ethelfield (US 2008/0009805).

DOCKE

**Regarding claim 6**, Ethelfield disclose an apparatus for inserting a medical

device through the skin of a subject, which comprises: a housing (810) including a

cantilever member (840); a sharp (880) moveable within the housing from a retracted

position to a partially exposed position (Figures 14A-14B).

Application/Control Number: 13/071,497 Art Unit: 3731

Ethelfield fails to disclose a sensor as claimed for the Figure 14 embodiment. Ethelfield suggests that the sensor devices or infusion devices of the invention may be used with the same actuation device (paragraphs 0028 and 0063).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have used the sensor 760/761 in place of the infusion device 860/870 in order to combine the advantages of the Figure 14 actuation unit and the sensor of Figure 13.

With this combination the apparatus would further comprise: an electrochemical sensor 760/761 (paragraphs 0018 and 0019) releasably coupled to the sharp for movement with the sharp (paragraph 0091), and for subsequent insertion in the skin of a subject; and a carrier (housing at 850 in Figure 14C) for moveably supporting the sharp (Figure 14B) within the housing; wherein the cantilever member resiliently contacts the carrier (Figure 14B).

**Regarding claim 7**, the housing comprises a distal opening (opening above 850 in Figure 14C) for release of the electrochemical sensor therefrom.

**Regarding claim 8**, the housing defines a longitudinal notch for reception of a drive member of an inserter (in Figure 14A see notch holding portion at end of cantilever which can be considered as a drive member; no drive member is structurally claimed).

**Regarding claim 10**, the housing defines one or more longitudinal ridges (at 810 in Figure 14C) for aligning one of the sharp and the sensor.

**Regarding claim 11**, Ethelfield discloses an apparatus for inserting a sensor comprising: a housing (810) including a cantilever member (840); a medical device

OCKE.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.