Paper No. 48 Entered: July 13, 2023

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CODE200, UAB, TESO LT, UAB, METACLUSTER LT, UAB, and OXYSALES, UAB, AND CORETECH LT, UAB, Petitioner,

v.

BRIGHT DATA LTD., Patent Owner.

IPR2021-01492 (Patent 10,257,319 B2) IPR2021-01493 (Patent 10,484,510 B2)

MAJOR DATA UAB, Petitioner,

v.

BRIGHT DATA LTD., Patent Owner.

IPR2022-00915 (Patent 10,257,319 B2) IPR2022-00916 (Patent 10,484,510 B2)

> Record of Oral Hearing Held: June 9, 2023



Before THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, KEVIN C. TROCK, SHEILA F. McSHANE, and RUSSELL E. CASS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

### APPEARANCES:

## ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER, CODE200:

CRAIG TOLLIVER, ESQ.
Charhon Callahan Robson & Garza, PLLC
3333 Lee Parkway
Suite 460
Dallas, Texas 75219
(469) 587-7263
ctolliver@ccrglaw.com

## ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER, MAJOR DATA:

JASON BARTLETT, ESQ.
LIANG (RAY) HUANG, ESQ.
WENSHENG (VINCENT) MA, ESQ.
Mauriel Kapouytian Woods, LLP
450 Sansome Street
Suite 1005
San Francisco, California 94111
(415) 738-6228
rhuang@mkwllp.com
vma@mkwllp.com



## ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

THOMAS M. DUNHAM, ESQ. ROBERT M. HARKINS, ESQ. ELIZABETH A. O'BRIEN Cherian, LLP 1901 L Street NW Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 837-1726 tom@dunham.cc elizabetho@cherianllp.com

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Friday, June 9, 2023, commencing at 1:00 p.m. EDT, via Video-conference.



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	1:00 p.m.
4	JUDGE McSHANE: Good afternoon. We are here to conduct the
5	hearing in Code200 v. Bright Data. That's IPR2021-001492 and 001493,
6	which IPR2022-00861 and 00862 have been joined into. We're also going to
7	hear the cases Major Data UAB v. Bright Data those are IPR2022-00915
8	and 00916 at this hearing.
9	I'm Judge McShane. And Judges Giannetti, Cass, and Trock should be
10	visible to you. We are convening these cases in a single hearing at the
11	request of the parties because many of the issues overlap, and it will be more
12	efficient to proceed in this manner.
13	We have two separate Petitioners. The Petitioners have agreed to split
14	their argument time.
15	So can we have appearances, please? First, who do we have
16	representing Petitioners? Let's start with the Code200 Petitioners.
17	MR. TOLLIVER: Thank you, Your Honor. Craig Tolliver
18	representing the Code200 Petitioners in IPR2021-001492 and 001493.
19	JUDGE McSHANE: Thank you and welcome.
20	And who do we have for Major Data?
21	MR. HUANG: Yes, Your Honor. This is Liang Huang. I'm here with
22	my colleagues, Mr. Jason Bartlett and Mr. Wensheng Ma. We represent
23	Major Data in IPR2022-00915 and 00916.
24	IUDGE McSHANE: Thank you and welcome



1	And who do we have for Patent Owner, please?
2	MR. DUNHAM: For Patent Owner, Your Honors, you have Tom
3	Dunham. And with me my colleagues, Bob Harkins and Elizabeth O'Brien.
4	JUDGE McSHANE: Thank you and welcome to you.
5	Just as a matter of housekeeping, we received an email this morning
6	from the Petitioners in both sets of cases. They wanted to withdraw some
7	motions to exclude that had been filed. The email indicated that the Patent
8	Owner did not object to the withdrawal of the motions.
9	Just to confirm, does Patent Owner agree to that?
10	MR. DUNHAM: Yes, Your Honor. Patent Owner agrees.
11	JUDGE McSHANE: Okay. Thank you.
12	And with that, the motions to exclude in all the cases are withdrawn.
13	So let me proceed with some general guidance. If during this
14	proceeding you have any technical problems or audio problems, please let us
15	know or contact the team members who provided you with the connection
16	information.
17	We have the entire record including the demonstratives. When
18	referring to the demonstratives, please refer to the slide numbers. It really
19	helps us follow along and it makes a much clearer record if you can do that.
20	The oral hearing order indicated that each side would have 60 minutes
21	to present its arguments, and, again, with the Petitioner splitting their time.



22

23

The order also noted that there are some portions of the record which appear

to contain confidential information.

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

