Paper 20 Date: April 4, 2023

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TOYOTA MOTOR CORP., Petitioner,

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC, Patent Owner.

IPR2022-00708 (Patent 9,291,475 B2)

IPR2022-00709 (Patent 9,602,608 B2)

IPR2022-00710 (Patent 9,232,158 B2)

IPR2022-00857 (Patent 7,484,008 B2)

IPR2022-00970 (Patent 6,832,283 B2)

IPR2022-00971 (Patent 7,382,771 B2)

Before SCOTT A. DANIELS, FREDERICK C. LANEY, and MATTHEW S. MEYERS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

LANEY, Administrative Patent Judge.

TERMINATION
Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. § 317, 37 C.F.R. § 42.74



We previously instituted *inter partes* review proceedings with respect to the challenged claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,291,475 B2, 9,602,608 B2, 9,232,158 B2, 7,484,008 B2, 6,832,283 B2, and 7,382,771 B2 ("the subject patents"). IPR2022-00708, Paper 12; IPR2022-00709, Paper 11; IPR2022-00710, Paper 13; IPR2022-00857, Paper 8; IPR2022-00970, Paper 10; IPR2022-00971, Paper 12. On March 27, 2023, with our authorization, Petitioner Toyota Motor Corporation and Patent Owner Intellectual Ventures II LLC (collectively, "the Parties") filed joint motions to terminate the proceedings pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74. IPR2022-00708, Paper 23 ("708 Motion"); IPR2022-00709, Paper 21; IPR2022-00710, Paper 15; IPR2022-00857, Paper 15; IPR2022-00970, Paper 21; IPR2022-00971, Paper 18.

The Parties represent in the joint motions that litigation between them involving the subject patents, previously pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, was "dismissed pursuant to Court Order dated March 20, 2023 [Dkt. No. 159] on joint motion of the parties" after the Parties reached an agreement resolving their disputes. *See*, *e.g.*, 708 Mot. 1–2. The parties contend that termination is appropriate because the Board has not yet decided the merits of the proceedings and termination would preserve significant resources for the Parties and the Board, pointing out, among other considerations, that these proceedings remain "far from final disposition" because there still remains substantial briefing on a myriad of issues by the Parties, oral arguments for all of the proceeding are not scheduled to take place for several more months, and the



statutory deadlines for the Final Written Decisions are even further away. *Id.* at 5.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), the joint motions each were accompanied by a copy of a settlement agreement that the Parties represent to be a true and correct copy of the written settlement agreement that resolves the disputes between them in these proceedings. IPR2022-00708, Ex. 2027; IPR2022-00709, Ex. 2032; IPR2022-00710, Ex. 2006; IPR2022-00857, Ex. 2003; IPR2022-00970, Ex. 2006; IPR2022-00971, Ex. 2005. The Parties further represent that there are no other agreements, oral or written, between them made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of the proceedings. *See, e.g.*, 708 Mot. 2.

The Board generally expects that a case "will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits." Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide 86 (Nov. 2019), available at https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/tpgnov.pdf; see also 37 C.F.R. § 42.72 ("The Board may terminate a trial without rendering a final written decision, where appropriate, . . . ."). As noted by the Parties, these proceedings are at an early stage, oral hearing has not yet been held, and the Board has not yet decided the merits of the proceedings. See, e.g., 708 Mot. 5; IPR2022-00708, Paper 13 (Scheduling Order). Under the circumstances presented here, and in view of the Parties' representations, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate these proceedings with respect to all parties, and we, accordingly, grant the Parties' joint motions to terminate.



In a separate paper, the Parties also jointly request that the Board treat as business confidential information and keep separate from the file of the involved patents, the true and complete copy of the settlement agreement, which is referenced in the Parties' Joint Motion to Terminate in each of the proceedings. IPR2022-00708, Paper 24 ("Settlement Agreement"); IPR2022-00709, Paper 22; IPR2022-00710, Paper 16; IPR2022-00857, Paper 16; IPR2022-00970, Paper 22; IPR2022-00971, Paper 19. After reviewing the Parties' Settlement Agreement, we find that the settlement agreement contains confidential business information regarding the terms of settlement, and we determine that good cause exists to treat the settlement agreement as business confidential information. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

However, we deny the portion of the Settlement Agreement seeking that "the Board order that in the event a person or entity makes a written request, as stated in 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c)(1)–(2), for access to the settlement agreement, that any such written request be served upon the parties on the day the written request is provided to the Board." Settlement Agreement 1–2. We have no such procedure to serve upon the Parties a request for access to the Settlement Agreement, and, further, our regulations do not require us to do so.



Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the joint motions to terminate are GRANTED; and FURTHER ORDERED that Cases IPR2022-00708, IPR2022-00709, IPR2022-00710, IPR2022-00857, IPR2022-00970, and IPR2022-00971 are hereby *terminated*.

FURTHER ORDERED that the filed settlement agreement (IPR2022-00708, Ex. 2027; IPR2022-00709, Ex. 2032; IPR2022-00710, Ex. 2006; IPR2022-00857, Ex. 2003; IPR2022-00970, Ex. 2006; IPR2022-00971, Ex. 2005) be treated as business confidential information pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) and also remain designated as available only to "Parties and Board" in the Board's E2E system.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

