

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RIGEL PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

Petitioner,

v.

SERVIER PHARMACEUTICALS LLC

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2022-01423

Patent: 10,610,125

**PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF
HASSEN A. SAYEED, M.D.**

Mail Stop **PATENT BOARD**
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Declaration of Vanessa Y. Yen in Support of Motion for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
2002	Vanessa Y. Yen Biography
2003	Declaration of Evan D. Diamond in Support of Motion for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
2004	Evan D. Diamond Biography
2005	Declaration of Hassen A. Sayeed, M.D. in Support of Motion for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
2006	Hassen A. Sayeed, M.D. Biography

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Patent Owner, Servier Pharmaceuticals LLC (“Patent Owner”) respectfully requests the *pro hac vice* admission of attorney Hassen A. Sayeed, M.D. for purposes of the above-captioned *inter partes* review proceeding. Patent Owner has conferred with counsel for Rigel Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Petitioner”), and Petitioner does not oppose this motion.

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS SHOWING GOOD CAUSE FOR THE BOARD TO RECOGNIZE HASSEN A. SAYEED, M.D. *PRO HAC VICE* IN THIS PROCEEDING

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during an inter partes review proceeding upon a showing of good cause, “subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). “For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” *Id.*

As set forth below and in the accompanying Declaration of Hassen A. Sayeed, M.D. in Support of Motion to Appear *Pro Hac Vice* (“Sayeed Decl.,” Exhibit 2005), the facts here establish good cause for the Board to recognize Dr. Hassen A. Sayeed *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

1. Dr. Sayeed is a member in good standing of the State Bar of New York (Bar No. 4325213). *See* Exhibit 2005, ¶ 2.
2. Dr. Sayeed has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. *See* Exhibit 2005, ¶ 3.
3. None of Dr. Sayeed's applications for admission to practice before any court or administrative body have ever been denied. *See* Exhibit 2005, ¶ 4.
4. Dr. Sayeed has not been sanctioned nor has he had a contempt citation imposed on her by any court or administrative body. *See* Exhibit 2005, ¶ 5.
5. Dr. Sayeed has declared that he has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trial set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R. *See* Exhibit 2005, ¶ 6.
6. Dr. Sayeed has acknowledged and agrees that he will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 11.101 et. seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). *See* Exhibit 2005, ¶ 7.
7. Dr. Sayeed is a Partner in the Intellectual Property & Technology practice of O'Melveny & Myers LLP, and is an experienced patent litigation attorney in the fields of pharmaceuticals and biotechnology, in federal district court patent infringement suits across the country. *See* Exhibit 2005, ¶ 8. Dr. Sayeed has a technical background pertinent to the issues in this proceeding, including an M.D. from Brown University Medical School. *See* Exhibit 2005, ¶ 9.

8. Dr. Sayeed has studied and analyzed the field of technology relevant to the '125 patent, as well as the claims, specification and prosecution history of the '125 patent. Accordingly, Dr. Sayeed has become very familiar with both the particular subject matter and substantive issues concerning the '125 patent. He is also familiar with the alleged prior art references asserted by Petitioner in this *inter partes* review proceeding. See Exhibit 2005, ¶ 10.

In view of Dr. Sayeed's knowledge of the subject matter at issue in this proceeding, Patent Owner has a substantial need for Dr. Sayeed's *pro hac vice* admission and his involvement in this proceeding.

II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board admit Hassen A. Sayeed, M.D. *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.