

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BLUEBIRD BIO, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SLOAN KETTERING INSTITUTE FOR CANCER RESEARCH,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2023-00074
Patent No. 8,058,061

**SAN ROCCO THERAPEUTICS LLC'S
MOTION FOR ADMISSION *PRO HAC VICE*
OF HOWARD S. SUH**

Sloan Kettering Institute for Cancer Research (“SKI”) is the owner by assignment of U.S. Patent No. 8,058,061 (“the ’061 Patent”). SKI has granted San Rocco Therapeutics LLC (“SRT”) an exclusive but assignable license to—and for the entire term of—the ’061 Patent, entitling SRT to all substantial rights and “sole responsibility” to defend any challenge to the validity of the ’061 Patent. *See* Paper 5 at 1, Ex. 2001 at 1-3. SKI and SRT have entered into a joint defense agreement in connection with the present IPR proceeding. *See* Paper 5 at 1, Ex. 2005 at 1. Therefore, SRT is responding on behalf of Patent Owner SKI in this proceeding. *See* Paper 5 at 1, Paper 8 at 2.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 and the Board’s authorization to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission in Inter Partes Review Case No. IPR2023-00074, (see Paper 3 at 2), SRT requests that the Board admit Howard S. Suh *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding on a showing of good cause. “[W]here lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* may be granted upon a showing that counsel is an experienced litigation attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

Here, lead counsel Lukas D. Toft is a registered practitioner (Reg. No. 75,311), and first backup Joe Chen is also a registered practitioner (Reg. No.

70,066). Both Mr. Toft and Mr. Chen practice in the same firm as Mr. Suh. Mr. Suh has years of patent litigation experience, and he is substantially familiar with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. Accompanying this motion is the Declaration of Howard S. Suh, where Mr. Suh attests to his experience and familiarity. *See generally* Suh Decl. (Ex. 2047). Specifically, Mr. Suh attests that:

- He has been practicing intellectual property litigation for over 25 years, and specifically patent litigation for more than 20 years.
- He has been counsel on over 30 patent litigation cases.
- He is a senior member of the team representing SRT, the exclusive licensee of the '061 Patent and U.S. Patent No. 7,541,179 (“the '179 Patent”). SKI granted SRT an exclusive but assignable license to — and for the entire term of — the '179 and '061 Patents, entitling SRT to all substantial rights and the “sole responsibility” to defend any challenge to the validity of the '179 and '061 Patents. SKI and SRT have entered into a joint defense agreement in connection with the following IPR proceedings: IPR2023-00070 ('179 Patent) and IPR2023-00074 ('061 Patent).
- He is also a senior member of the team representing SRT in the following related district court cases: *San Rocco Therapeutics, LLC v. bluebird bio, Inc., et al.*, No. 1-21-cv-01478 (D. Del.); *San Rocco*

Therapeutics, LLC v. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center and Sloan Kettering Institute of Cancer Research, No. 1-21-cv-08206 (S.D.N.Y.); and *San Rocco Therapeutics, LLC v. Leschly, et al.*, No. 1:23-cv-10919 (D. Mass) (pending *pro hac vice*).

Good cause exists because (1) the Parties anticipate numerous calls with the Board in which Mr. Suh may have insight to provide or argument to assert, (2) the Parties have multiple depositions to take across the country, some of which may need two days to take, and (3) SRT anticipates additional discovery or motion practice that would necessitate Mr. Suh's involvement. Mr. Suh's involvement in these proceedings ensures the full team representing SRT can appear before the Board. Additionally, this motion and Mr. Suh's declaration meet the other requirements for *pro hac vice* admission in this matter. Moreover, Petitioner has indicated that it will not oppose this motion. Accordingly, these facts establish good cause to recognize Mr. Suh in this proceeding. Thus, SRT requests that the Board admit Mr. Suh *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Dated: May 23, 2023

By: /s/ Lukas D. Toft
Lukas D. Toft (Reg. No. 75,311)
FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
33 South Sixth Street, Suite 3600
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 607-7000
Facsimile: (612) 607-7100
ltoft@foxrothschild.com

Case IPR2023-00074
Patent 8,058,061

Joe Chen, Ph.D. (Reg. No. 70,066)
FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
997 Lenox Drive
Lawrenceville, NJ 08648
Telephone: (609) 844-3024
Facsimile: (609) 896-1469
joechen@foxrothschild.com

**Attorneys for San Rocco Therapeutics,
LLC, Responding on Behalf of Patent
Owner Sloan Kettering Institute for
Cancer Research**

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.