UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD ——————
BLUEBIRD BIO, INC. Petitioner
v.
SLOAN KETTERING INSTITUTE FOR CANCER RESEARCH, Patent Owner
Case No. IPR2023-00074 Patent No. 8,058,061

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBITS



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner submits the following objections to exhibits served by Patent Owner in Case No. IPR2023-00074.

Petitioner's objections apply equally to Patent Owner's reliance on the exhibit in any subsequently-filed documents. These objections are timely, having been served within five business days of service of evidence. 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1).

Exhibit 2048

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2048 under Rules 401, 402, and 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence ("FRE") because it is not cited in the Patent Owner Response ("POR") and is thus not relevant to the positions laid out in the POR.

Exhibit 2049

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2049 under Rules 401, 402, and 403 of the FRE because it is not cited in the POR and is thus not relevant to the positions laid out in the POR.

Exhibit 2050

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2050 under Rules 401, 402, and 403 of the FRE because it is not cited in the POR and is thus not relevant to the positions laid out in the POR.

Exhibit 2051

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2051 under Rules 401, 402, and 403 of the FRE because it is not cited in the POR and is thus not relevant to the positions laid out



in the POR. Petitioner further objects to Exhibit 2051 under FRE 602 and/or 901 for lack of foundation.

Exhibit 2056

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2056 under FRE 602 and/or 901 for lack of foundation. Petitioner further objects to Exhibit 2056 to the extent it relies on any other exhibit that is objected to.

Exhibit 2057

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2057 under Rules 401, 402, and 403 of the FRE because it is not cited in the POR and is thus not relevant to the positions laid out in the POR.

Exhibit 2059

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2059 under Rules 401, 402, and 403 of the FRE because it is not cited in the POR and is thus not relevant to the positions laid out in the POR.

Exhibit 2060

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2060 under Rules 401, 402, and 403 of the FRE because it is not cited in the POR and is thus not relevant to the positions laid out in the POR.



Exhibit 2061

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2061 under Rules 401, 402, and 403 of the FRE because it is not cited in the POR and is thus not relevant to the positions laid out in the POR.

Exhibit 2062

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2062 under Rules 401, 402, and 403 of the FRE because it is not cited in the POR and is thus not relevant to the positions laid out in the POR.

Exhibit 2063

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2063 under Rules 401, 402, and 403 of the FRE because it is not cited in the POR and is thus not relevant to the positions laid out in the POR.

Exhibit 2066

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2066 under Rules 401, 402, and 403 of the FRE because it is not cited in the POR and is thus not relevant to the positions laid out in the POR.

Exhibit 2067

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2067 under Rules 401, 402, and 403 of the FRE because it is not cited in the POR and is thus not relevant to the positions laid out in the POR.



Exhibit 2069

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2069 under FRE 106 because it is an incomplete

writing. Petitioner further objects to Exhibit 2069 under FRE 802 because it

contains out of court statements that Patent Owner relies on for their truth, thus

constituting impermissible hearsay. Petitioner also objects to Exhibit 2069 under

FRE 602 and/or 901 for lack of foundation.

Exhibit 2083

Petitioner objects to Exhibit 2083 under Rules 401, 402, and 403 of the FRE

because it is not cited in the POR and is thus not relevant to the positions laid out

in the POR.

Dated: August 8, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/Naveen Modi/

Naveen Modi

Registration No. 46,224

Counsel for Petitioner



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

