UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS INC. AND LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC., Petitioners,

v.

IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC Patent Owner.

Case IPR2023-00104 U.S. Patent No. 6,959,293

PATENT OWNER IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC'S PRELIMINARY SUR-REPLY



Patent Owner's Preliminary Sur-Reply IPR2023-00104 (U.S. Patent No. 6,959,293)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | The Office Has Repeatedly Considered The Pirim References in Relation to Claim 1 of the '293 Patent | 1 |
|------|---|---|
| II. | U.S. Patent No. 5,546,475 ("Bolle," Ex-1019) Is Cumulative | 3 |
| III. | The Office Has Not Erred in the Multiple Earlier Proceedings Regarding Claim 1 | 4 |
| IV. | This Board Need Not Credit Petitioners' Prognostication of the District Court Proceedings | 4 |



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| Cases | Page(s) |
|--|---------|
| Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Estech Sys., Inc., Case No. IPR2021-00333, Paper No. 12, 2021 Pat. App. LEXIS 4508 (P.T.A.B. July 7, 2021) | 5 |
| Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Oyster Optics, LLC, Case No. IPR2021-00238, Paper No. 10, 2021 Pat. App. LEXIS 4508 (P.T.A.B. July 7, 2021) | 5 |
| Global Tel*Link Corp. v. HLFIP Holding, Inc., Case No. IPR2021-00444, Paper No. 14, 2021 Pat. App. LEXIS 4463 (P.T.A.B. July 22, 2021) | 5 |
| LG Display Co. v. Solas OLED Ltd., Case No. IPR2020-01238, Paper No. 10, 2021 Pat. App. LEXIS 3238 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 22, 2021) | 5 |
| Teso LT, UAB v. Luminati Networks Ltd., Case No. IPR2021-00249, Paper No. 12, 2021 Pat. App. LEXIS 3245 (P.T.A.B. June 2, 2021) | 5 |



Patent Owner's Preliminary Sur-Reply IPR2023-00104 (U.S. Patent No. 6,959,293)

Petitioners offer nothing but attorney argument in their Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (Paper No. 8) and variously:

- misstate the record of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office's ("the Office") multiple and detailed reviews of the validity of claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,959,293 ("the '293 Patent");
- misrepresent Patent Owner's arguments in the Patent Owner Preliminary Response; and
- misinterpret the consequence of the District Court's denial of their Motion to Stay the parallel litigation.

Petitioners' Reply simply re-argues positions that Patent Owner has already rebutted in its Preliminary Response. The Board should deny institution of *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of claim 1 of the '293 Patent.

I. THE OFFICE HAS REPEATEDLY CONSIDERED THE PIRIM REFERENCES IN RELATION TO CLAIM 1 OF THE '293 PATENT

Petitioners continue to assert that their "Petition presents new arguments and art." (Paper No. 8 at 1.) But that assertion is belied by their previous admissions. Grounds 1 and 2 of Petitioners' Petition rely on (1) Pirim¹, and (2) Pirim 2.² (Paper No. 1 at 4.) Petitioners confess that both Pirim and Pirim 2 were of record during original prosecution of claim 1 of the '293 Patent. As they wrote in their Petition:

² PCT Application Serial No. PCT/EP98/05383 (Ex-1021).



¹ WIPO International Publication No. WO 99/36893 (Ex-1018).

Patent Owner's Preliminary Sur-Reply IPR2023-00104 (U.S. Patent No. 6,959,293)

During the original prosecution, Pirim was of record Additionally, [Pirim 2], discussed further in Part VII.A.2, below) was of record

(Paper No. 1 at 4 (emphases added) (citations omitted).) In other words, Petitioners concede that their Petition *does not* present new art.

Unable to argue that their Petition actually presents any new art, Petitioners are forced to assert that they have discovered two previously unrecognized details—details that Petitioners allege escaped even their own counsel at O'Melveny & Myers LLP when that firm represented Samsung in the '336 IPR and '056 EPR. (*See* Paper No. 6 at 13 n.3.) *First*, Petitioners assert that Pirim incorporates Pirim 2. *Second*, they assert that Pirim 2 allegedly contains invalidating disclosures of the "common parameter" requirement.

As Patent Owner has already explained, the extent of any incorporation of Pirim 2 in Pirim (if any) is irrelevant in these circumstances. (Paper No. 6 at 5–6.) If Pirim incorporates Pirim 2 today as Petitioners assert, then Pirim has always incorporated Pirim 2 and the Office has considered Pirim 2 every time it previously considered Pirim in the process of confirming the validity of claim 1 of the '293 Patent. (*Id.*) Alternatively, if Pirim does not incorporate Pirim 2 as Petitioners claim, then Pirim 2 is irrelevant to either their anticipation (Ground 1) or single-reference-obviousness (Ground 2) theories. (*Id.* at 5.)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

