

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.,
Petitioner,
v.

ATI TECHNOLOGIES ULC
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2023-00564

U.S. Patent No. 7,742,053

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S
RESPONSE TO PETITION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	1
A. “command threads”	1
B. “at least one memory device ... operative to store a plurality of pixel command threads and ... operative to store a plurality of vertex command threads”	2
C. “select a command thread from either of the plurality of pixel command threads and the plurality of vertex command threads”	3
D. “command processing engine”	3
III. GROUND 1: STUTTARD IN VIEW OF THE KNOWLEDGE OF A POSITA	4
A. “At The Same Time” Is Not A Claim Limitation	4
1. Stuttard Is Not Limited to Phased Operation.....	6
2. Stuttard Operates on More Than One Instruction at a Time	7
3. Stuttard Need Not Care About Command Type to Run Multiple Types.....	10
4. “Operative to” Requires Only “Capability”	10
B. Stuttard Discloses And Renders Obvious Storing “Complete” Command Threads Even Though Not Required by the Claims	11
C. Stuttard Renders Obvious the Arbitrator Claim Limitation	12
D. Stuttard Renders Obvious A Texture Processing Engine	14
IV. GROUND 2: STUTTARD IN VIEW OF WILLIAMS AND THE KNOWLEDGE OF A POSITA.....	14
A. Storage of command threads in first and second portions of memory	15
B. Stuttard Stores “command threads”	17
C. Stuttard Satisfies the Claimed Arbitrator.....	18
D. A Second Memory is an Obvious Design Choice Within the Knowledge of Skill in the Art, Which Williams Supports	18
E. Motivation to Combine and Reasonable Expectation of Success.....	18

V.	GROUND 3: WILLIAMS IN VIEW OF WHITTAKER AND THE KNOWLEDGE OF A POSITA.....	20
A.	Storage of Pixel or Vertex Command Threads	20
B.	Williams Discloses or Renders Obvious the Claimed Arbiter.....	20
C.	Claims 2, 5-9 – Williams’ Command Processing Engines Process the Command Thread They Receive from the Claimed Arbiter.....	22
D.	Claim 6 – Williams’ Disclosure Renders Claim 6 Obvious	23
E.	Claim 7 – Williams Renders Obvious a Texture Processing Engine..	23
F.	Motivation to combine and reasonable expectation of success	23

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit #	Reference Name
1001	U.S. Patent 7,742,053 to Lefebvre et al.
1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent 7,742,053
1003	[Intentionally Omitted]
1004	Declaration of Massoud Pedram, Ph.D.
1005	PCT Pub. No. WO00/62182A2 to Stuttard et al.
1006	PCT Pub. No. WO00/63770A1 to Williams et al.
1007	U.S. Patent 5,968,167 to Whittaker et al.
1008	Curriculum Vitae of Massoud Pedram, Ph.D.
1009	[Intentionally Omitted]
1010	Certain Graphics Systems, Components Thereof, and Digital Televisions Containing The Same, Inv. No. 337-TA-1318 (Order No. 31, Oct. 18, 2022)
1011	LG Elecs., Inc. v. ATI Techs. ULC, IPR2015-00325, Paper 62 (Final Written Decision) (PTAB Apr. 14, 2016)
1012	U.S. Patent 7,116,332 to Boyd et al.
1013	U.S. Patent 7,038,685 to Lindholm et al.
1014	H. Selzer, Dynamic Load Balancing Within a High Performance Graphics System, Proceedings of the Sixth Eurographics Conference on Advances in Computer Graphics Hardware: Rendering, Visualization and Rasterization Hardware (Sept. 1, 1991)
1015	Declaration of Dr. Pedram
1016	Deposition Transcript of Dr. John Hart

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

In re Imes,
778 F.3d 1250 (Fed. Cir. 2015)10

Intel Corp. v. Qualcomm Inc.,
21 F.4th 801 (Fed. Cir. 2021)3

ParkerVision, Inc., v. Qualcomm Inc.,
903 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2018)10

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a).....27

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e).....26

37 C.F.R. § 42.24(a).....27

ATI Techs. ULC v. Iancu.....4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.