

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

OXYLABS, UAB,
Petitioner

v.

BRIGHT DATA LTD.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2023-01425
Patent 11,206,317

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 11,206,317**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION15

II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....15

III. TECHNICAL BACKGROUND15

IV. THE CHALLENGED PATENT17

 A. Overview of Shribman17

 B. Prosecution History20

 C. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art20

V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION21

 A. “Client device” (claims 16 and 29) and “server” (claims 1 and 29).....21

VI. OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART23

VII. GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY.....25

 A. Ground 1: claims 1, 8, 16, 17, 22, 29, and 30 are rendered obvious by Goodell26

 1. Overview: Goodell discloses a system by which users can view the Internet from a chosen location.....26

 2. Independent claim 134

 a. Preamble34

 b. First “identifying” step35

 c. First “sending” step36

 d. First “receiving” step38

 e. Second “identifying” step.....41

 f. Second “sending” step42

g.	Second “receiving” step.....	43
3.	Dependent claim 8	44
4.	Dependent claim 16	44
5.	Dependent claim 17	45
6.	Dependent claim 22	46
7.	Dependent claim 29	46
a.	“HTTP server” limitation	46
b.	“Same geographical location” limitation.....	47
8.	Dependent claim 30	48
VIII.	DISCRETIONARY FACTORS.....	48
A.	<i>General Plastic</i> does not support denying institution.....	48
B.	<i>Finitiv</i> does not support denying institution.....	56
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	59

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Am. Well Corp. v. Teladoc Health, Inc.</i> , IPR2022-00038, Paper 10 (PTAB Apr. 21, 2022).....	53
<i>Apple v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB Mar. 20, 2020)	56
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Centripetal Networks, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-01760, Paper 9 (PTAB May 20, 2019).....	26
<i>Commscope Techs. LLC v. Dali Wireless, Inc.</i> , IPR2022-01242, Paper 23 (PTAB Feb. 27, 2023).....	56
<i>General Plastic Indus. Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisa</i> , IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017).....	48-49, 51, 53
<i>In re Hall</i> , 781 F.2d 897 (Fed. Cir. 1986).....	24
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC</i> , IPR2019-01251, Paper 7 (PTAB Dec. 20, 2019).....	51
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2015) (en banc).....	21
<i>Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc. v. Uniloc Lux’g S.A.</i> IPR2017-01797, Paper 8 (PTAB Feb. 6, 2018).....	53
<i>Samsung Elecs. Co. v. CardWare Inc.</i> , No. PGR2023-00012, Paper 14 (PTAB Aug. 11, 2023).....	58
<i>SK Hynix Inc. v. Netlist, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-00561, Paper 36 (PTAB July 5, 2018).....	26
<i>Valve Corp. v. Ironburg Inventions, Ltd.</i> , 8 F.4th 1364, 1374-75 (Fed. Cir. 2021).....	25

List of Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 11,206,317 (“Shribman”)
1002	Shribman’s prosecution history
1003	Declaration of Kevin C. Almeroth
1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Kevin C. Almeroth
1005	“Perspective Access Networks,” by Geoffrey Goodell, dated July 2006 (“Goodell”).
1006	Network Working Group Request for Comments 2616 (“RFC 2616”) entitled “Hypertext Transfer Protocol – HTTP/1.1,” dated June 1999.
1007	Order dated February 8, 2021, from <i>Luminati Networks Ltd. v. Code200</i> , No. 2:19-CV-396 (E.D. Tex.), ECF No. 97
1008	Catalog entry from Harvard University’s HOLLIS system entitled “Perspective access networks.”
1009	Record from the ProQuest SciTech Premium Collection database entitled “Perspective access networks.”
1010	Excerpts from Volume 65, Number 5 of Dissertation Abstracts International B (Sciences and Engineering), dated November 2004
1011	“Design of a blocking-resistant anonymity system” by Roger Dingledine and Nick Mathewson, Tor Tech Report 2006-11-001, November 2006.
1012	Printout of https://research.torproject.org/techreports .
1013	Printout of 2006 capture history of afs.eecs.harvard.edu/~goodell/thesis.pdf from the Internet Archive’s Wayback Machine
1014	Printout of 2007 capture history of afs.eecs.harvard.edu/~goodell/thesis.pdf from the Internet Archive’s Wayback Machine
1015	Printout of capture of http://serifos.eecs.harvard.edu/cgi-bin/blossom.pl dated September 5, 2006, from the Internet Archive’s Wayback Machine
1016	Printout of https://ethanzuckerman.com/2006/04/06/blossom-tor-and-touring-the-internets/ , a blog post entitled “Blossom, Tor and touring the Internets,” dated April 6, 2006.
1017	Printout of search on Google Scholar for “Perspective Access Networks.”

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.