

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ABB INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ROBOTICVISIONTECH, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case: IPR2023-1426
U.S. Patent No. 8,095,237

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,095,237

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	1
A.	Real Party-in-Interest.....	1
B.	Related Matters	1
C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information.....	1
II.	GROUND FOR STANDING.....	2
III.	REQUESTED RELIEF	2
IV.	REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF	2
A.	Summary of the '237 Patent	2
B.	Prosecution History	4
V.	STATUTORY GROUNDS FOR CHALLENGES	5
VI.	THE CHALLENGES ARE BASED ON PRIOR ART PATENTS AND PRINTED PUBLICATIONS	6
VII.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	7
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	8
IX.	STATE OF THE PRIOR ART	9
A.	Corke.....	9
X.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-4, 6-10, 17-20, AND 24-28 ARE UNPATENTABLE AS OBVIOUS OVER CORKE IN VIEW OF THE KNOWLEDGE OF A POSITA.....	11
A.	Claim 1.....	11
B.	Claim 2.....	22
C.	Claims 3 and 4	26
D.	Claim 6.....	30
E.	Claim 7.....	31
F.	Claim 8.....	31
G.	Claim 9.....	34
H.	Claim 10.....	36
I.	Claims 17, 24, and 28	37
J.	Claim 18.....	39

IPR2023-01426

U.S. Patent No. 8,095,237

K. Claim 19.....	41
L. Claims 20 and 25	43
M. Claims 26 and 27	55
XI. GROUND 2: CLAIMS 5, 12-16, AND 21-24 ARE UNPATENTABLE AS OBVIOUS OVER CORKE IN VIEW OF WEI-I.....	56
A. Wei-I	56
B. Motivation to Combine Corke and Wei-I.....	57
C. Claims 5 and 12	59
D. Claim 13.....	64
E. Claim 14.....	65
F. Claim 15.....	67
G. Claim 16.....	68
H. Claim 21.....	73
I. Claims 22 and 23	76
XII. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL UNDER § 314 OR § 325(D) IS NOT WARRANTED.....	79
A. § 314	79
B. § 325(d).....	81

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (PTAB March 20, 2020)	79
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 15 (PTAB May 13, 2020)	79
<i>Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG</i> , IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 15, 2017)	81
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Ben Venue Lab'ys, Inc.</i> , 246 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	11
<i>Harris Corp. v. Ericsson Inc.</i> , 417 F.3d 1241 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	8
<i>Koninklijke Philips N.V. v. Google LLC</i> , 948 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	14, 15
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	14, 60, 61
<i>Sand Revolution II, LLC v. Continental Intermodal Group - Trucking LLC</i> , IPR2019-01393, Paper 24 (PTAB June 16, 2020)	80
<i>TecSec, Inc. v. Int'l Bus. Machs. Corp.</i> , 731 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	8
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. §102	6
35 U.S.C. § 103	5, 7, 14
35 U.S.C. §112(6)	9
35 U.S.C. § 314	79
35 U.S.C. §315(e)(2)	80

IPR2023-01426

U.S. Patent No. 8,095,237

35 U.S.C. §316(a)(11).....79

§ 325(d)79, 81

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.