

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC.
Petitioner

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2024-01226
Patent No. 7,646,835 B1

**DECLARATION OF R. JACOB BAKER, P.E., PH.D.
IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,646,835 B1**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| I. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| A. | Background and Qualifications | 2 |
| 1. | Industry Experience..... | 3 |
| 2. | Academic Experience | 7 |
| 3. | Other Relevant Experience | 9 |
| B. | Summary of Opinions and Materials Reviewed..... | 10 |
| II. | LEGAL STANDARDS FOR PATENTABILITY | 13 |
| A. | Anticipation | 13 |
| B. | Obviousness..... | 13 |
| C. | Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art..... | 17 |
| D. | Claim Construction..... | 19 |
| 1. | Preambles (Claims 1, 7, 12, 20, and 23)..... | 20 |
| 2. | “the valid operating range includes an optimal operation point for the integrated circuit device” (Claims 1 and 7)..... | 20 |
| III. | OPINION | 21 |
| A. | Background of the Technology | 21 |
| 1. | Dynamic Random-Access Memory (DRAM)..... | 21 |
| 2. | DDR DRAM and SDRAM..... | 24 |
| 3. | Memory Controllers..... | 27 |
| B. | Overview of the '835 Patent..... | 31 |
| C. | Overview of the '835 Patent's File History..... | 39 |
| D. | Summary of the Prior Art References | 43 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 1. Johnson (Ex. 1004)..... | 43 |
| 2. Jeddeloh (Ex. 1005)..... | 49 |
| 3. Keeth (Ex. 1006)..... | 56 |
| E. Summary of the Grounds..... | 64 |
| F. Ground 1: Claims 1-23 are Obvious Over <i>Johnson</i> in View of <i>Jeddeloh</i> | 66 |
| 1. Claim 1 | 66 |
| 2. Claim 2 – The method of claim 1, wherein the integrated circuit device comprises a DRAM component. | 97 |
| 3. Claim 3 – The method of claim 2, wherein said altering is performed by a memory controller coupled to the DRAM component. | 97 |
| 4. Claim 4 – The method of claim 2, wherein the DRAM component comprises a DDR DRAM component..... | 103 |
| 5. Claim 5 – The method of claim 4, wherein the data signals comprise a plurality of data bus (DQ) signals for the DDR DRAM component. | 106 |
| 6. Claim 6 – The method of claim 5, wherein the sampling signals comprise a plurality of sampling bus (DQS) signals for the DDR DRAM component..... | 108 |
| 7. Claim 7..... | 112 |
| 8. Claim 8 – The system of claim 7, wherein the integrated circuit device comprises a DRAM component. | 123 |
| 9. Claim 9 – The system of claim 8, wherein the DRAM component comprises a DDR DRAM component..... | 124 |
| 10. Claim 10 – The system of claim 9, wherein the data signals comprise a plurality of DQ signals for the DDR DRAM component. | 124 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 11. Claim 11 – The system of claim 10, wherein the sampling signals comprise a plurality of DQS signals for the DDR DRAM component. 125 | |
| 12. Claim 12 | 125 |
| 13. Claim 13 | 127 |
| 14. Claim 14 – The method of claim 13, wherein said performing a coarse calibration comprises simultaneously varying each of the phase shift of the command signal, the phase shift of the data signal, and the phase shift of the sampling signal by a five percent step increase... | 134 |
| 15. Claim 15 – The method of claim 13, wherein said performing a fine calibration comprises varying each of the phase shift of the command signal, the phase shift of the data signal, and the phase shift of the sampling signal one at a time by a two percent step increase. | 136 |
| 16. Claim 16 – The method of claim 13, further comprising configuring the memory controller to operate the DRAM component in the optimal operating mode..... | 139 |
| 17. Claim 17 – The method of claim 12, wherein the DRAM component comprises a DDR DRAM component..... | 140 |
| 18. Claim 18 – The method of claim 17, wherein the data signals comprise a plurality of DQ signals for the DDR DRAM component. 140 | |
| 19. Claim 19 – The method of claim 18, wherein the sampling signals comprise a plurality of DQS signals for the DDR DRAM component. | 140 |
| 20. Claim 20 | 141 |
| 21. Claim 21 | 144 |
| 22. Claim 22 – The computer readable media of claim 21, wherein the method further comprises configuring the memory controller to operate the DRAM component in the optimal operating mode. | 146 |
| 23. Claim 23 | 147 |

...

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| G. | Ground 2: Claims 1-3, 7-8, and 12 are Obvious Over <i>Johnson</i> in View of <i>Keeth</i> | 149 |
| 1. | Claim 1 | 150 |
| 2. | Claim 2 – The method of claim 1, wherein the integrated circuit device comprises a DRAM component. | 166 |
| 3. | Claim 3 – The method of claim 2, wherein said altering is performed by a memory controller coupled to the DRAM component. | 166 |
| 7. | <i>Claim 7</i> | 169 |
| 8. | <i>Claim 8 – The system of claim 7, wherein the integrated circuit device comprises a DRAM component.</i> | 174 |
| 12. | <i>Claim 12</i> | 174 |
| H. | Ground 3: Claims 4-6, 9-11, 13-19 are Obvious Over <i>Johnson</i> in View of <i>Keeth</i> and <i>Jeddeloh</i> | 176 |
| IV. | CONCLUSION..... | 178 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.