#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

PROXENSE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 6:24-cv-00143

JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

#### AGREED JOINT MOTION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER

Plaintiff Proxense, LLC ("Proxense") and Defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple") hereby move this Court to amend the Scheduling Order to extend the deadline for Apple to file its opening claim construction brief by six weeks from September 24, 2024 to November 5, 2024 and extend all future case deadlines consistent with the six-week extension, including the *Markman* hearing, pretrial conference, and trial dates as set forth in the proposed amended scheduling order attached to this motion.

Good cause exists for the proposed extension because Proxense intends to move to amend its complaint to assert a new patent<sup>1</sup> and Apple has agreed to not oppose that motion to amend contingent on the Court extending the current scheduling deadlines. The extension will minimize the prejudice of asserting a new patent against Apple at this stage in the proceedings by allowing for additional time to evaluate claim construction, noninfringement, and invalidity issues for the newly asserted patent. Given the impending claim constructing briefing deadlines,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Specifically, Proxense intends to dismiss, with prejudice, all allegations that Apple infringes U.S. Patent No. 9,049,188 and substitute in allegations that Apple infringes U.S. Patent No. 9,679,289,



#### Case 6:24-cv-00143-ADA Document 38 Filed 09/26/24 Page 2 of 4

this joint request will promote judicial efficiency by requiring only one *Markman* hearing, rather than multiple rounds of claim construction briefing and a second *Markman* hearing. This extension request is not being made to unduly delay the proceedings and reflects a compressed schedule for Apple to investigate and conduct pre-*Markman* activities for the newly asserted patent. Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the Court enter the proposed First Amended Scheduling Order attached to this motion.

Dated: September 26, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Michael Saulnier</u> John M. Guaragna Texas Bar No 24043308 Michael Saulnier DLA PIPER LLP (US) 303 Colorado, Suite 3000 Austin, TX 78701 Tel: (512) 457-7125 Fax: (512) 457-7001 john.guaragna@us.dlapiper.com michael.saulnier@us.dlapiper.com

Sean Cunningham (pro hac vice) Erin Gibson (pro hac vice) Robert Williams (pro hac vice) Peter Maggiore (pro hac vice) DLA PIPER LLP (US) 4365 Executive Drive, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92121 Tel: (858) 677-1400 Fax: (858) 677-1401 sean.cunningham@us.dlapiper.com erin.gibson@us.dlapiper.com robert.williams@us.dlapiper.com

Nandan R. Padmanabhan (pro hac vice) Benjamin Yaghoubian (pro hac vice)

#### **APPLE EXHIBIT 1036**

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Martin M. Ellison (pro hac vice) DLA PIPER LLP (US) 2000 Avenue of the Stars Suite 400, North Tower Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 595-3000 Fax: (310) 595-3300 nandan.padmanabhan@us.dlapiper.com benjamin.yaghoubian@us.dlapiper.com

Jessica Hannah (pro hac vice) DLA PIPER LLP (US) 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 836-2500 Fax: (415) 659-7370 jessica.hannah@us.dlapiper.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT APPLE INC.

By: <u>/s/ David L. Hecht</u> David L. Hecht (Co-Lead Counsel) <u>dhecht@hechtpartners.com</u> HECHT PARTNERS LLP 125 Park Avenue, 25th Floor New York, New York 10017 Telephone: (212) 851-6821

Brian D. Melton (Co-Lead Counsel) bmelton@susmangodfrey.com 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100 Houston, Texas 77002-5096 Telephone: (713) 653-7807 Facsimile: (713) 654-6666

Lear Jiang <u>ljiang@susmangodfrey.com</u> SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

#### **APPLE EXHIBIT 1036**

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, California 90067-6029 Telephone: (310) 789-3100 Facsimile: (310) 789-3150

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF PROXENSE, LLC

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been served on September 26, 2024, to all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(b)(1). Any other counsel of record will be served by a facsimile and/or first-class mail.

<u>/s/Michael Saulnier</u> Michael Saulnier

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

#### PROXENSE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 6:24-cv-00143-ADA

#### JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

#### AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, the Court hereby ORDERS that

the following schedule will govern deadlines up to and including the trial of this matter.

Current	New Deadline	Item
Deadline	10/15/24	Parties exchange claim terms and proposed claim constructions as to U.S. Patent No. 9,697,289 ("the '289 Patent").
	10/18/24	Parties disclose extrinsic evidence as to the '289 Patent. The parties shall disclose any extrinsic evidence, including the identity of any expert witness they may rely upon with respect to claim construction or indefiniteness. With respect to any expert identified, the parties shall identify the scope of the topics for the witness's expected testimony. <sup>1</sup> With respect to items of extrinsic evidence, the parties shall identify each such item by production number or produce a copy of any such item if not previously produced.
9/17/24	10/22/24	Deadline to meet and confer to narrow terms in dispute and exchange revised list of terms/constructions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Any party may utilize a rebuttal expert in response to a brief where expert testimony is relied upon by



#### **APPLE EXHIBIT 1036**

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.