

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION

PROXENSE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:23-cv-00319-ADA

**DEFENDANT MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S OPPOSED MOTION TO TRANSFER
VENUE TO THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. STATEMENT OF FACTS	2
A. Proxense’s Allegations of Infringement and Prior Contacts	2
B. Microsoft Products: Witnesses and Documents	3
C. Open Standards Related to Authentication: Witnesses and Documents	4
D. Proxense Company and Technology: Witnesses and Documents	6
III. LEGAL STANDARD.....	7
IV. THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON IS CLEARLY THE MORE CONVENIENT VENUE	8
A. This Action Could Have Been Brought in the WDWA.....	8
B. The Private Interest Factors Favor Transfer	8
1. Relative Ease of Access to Sources of Proof.....	8
2. Availability of Compulsory Process	9
3. Attendance of Willing Witnesses.....	10
C. The Public Interest Factors Favor Transfer	14
1. Local Interests.....	14
2. Court Congestion	15
3. Familiarity with the Governing Law and Conflicts of Law	15
V. CONCLUSION	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
Cases	
<i>10Tales, Inc. v. TikTok Inc.</i> , No. 6:20-CV-810-ADA, 2021 WL 2043978 (W.D. Tex. May 21, 2021)	11
<i>In re Acer Am. Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1252 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	10, 12
<i>Affinity Labs of Texas v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.</i> 968 F. Supp. 2d 852 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 18, 2013).....	13, 14
<i>In re Apple, Inc.</i> , 581 F. App'x 886 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	9, 10
<i>In re Apple, Inc.</i> , 979 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	8, 12, 14
<i>Correct Transmission LLC v. Adtran, Inc.</i> , No. 6:20-CV-00669-ADA, 2021 WL 1967985 (W.D. Tex. May 17, 2021)	13
<i>In re Genentech, Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	10, 15
<i>In re Google LLC</i> , No. 2021-144, 2021 WL 3378938 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 4, 2021)	12
<i>In re Hoffman-La Roche, Inc.</i> , 587 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	9, 14
<i>In re Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> , 14 F.4th 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	8
<i>In re Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> , 2021-156, 2021 WL 4519889 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 4, 2021)	15
<i>In re Netscout Systems, Inc.</i> , 2021-173, 2021 WL 4771756 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 13, 2021)	12
<i>In re Pandora Media, LLC</i> , 2021-172, 2021 WL 4772805 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	10
<i>Parus Holdings, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. 6:21-CV-570-ADA, 2022 WL 17420391 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 29, 2022).....	11
<i>Proxense, LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , No. 6:21-cv-210 (W.D. Tex.).....	<i>passim</i>

<i>Super Interconnect Techs. LLC v. Google LLC</i> , No. 6:21-cv-00259-ADA, 2021 WL 6015465 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 8, 2021)	8
<i>In re TS Tech USA Corp.</i> , 551 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	15
<i>In re Volkswagen AG</i> , 371 F.3d 201 (5th Cir. 2004)	7, 8
<i>In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008)	7
<i>Zentian Ltd. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , W-22-CV-00122-ADA, 2023 WL 4167746 (W.D. Tex. June 13, 2023)	9
<i>In re Zimmer Holdings, Inc.</i> , 609 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	13
Statutes	
28 U.S.C. § 1400(b)	8
28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)	7, 9
Familiarity with the Governing Law.....	15

I. INTRODUCTION¹

The murky reach of Proxense’s infringement allegations in this case—ranging from an undefined concept of Microsoft’s “password-less architecture” to authentication standards shaped well before the priority dates of the patents-in-suit—are firmly anchored by relevant witnesses and documents in the Western District of Washington.

Microsoft’s technologies and teams are rooted firmly in the WDWA. In its Complaint, Proxense scatters names of various Microsoft products, such as Microsoft’s Authenticator App, Windows Hello, and Azure Active Directory (now called Entra ID), without explaining how they purportedly infringe. However, if these are accused products, the overwhelming majority of Microsoft’s relevant source code, documents, and employees are in the WDWA. *See* Ex. 1 (Shah Decl.) ¶ 4 (discussing Microsoft’s Authenticator App); Ex. 2 (Gilbert Decl.) ¶ 4 (discussing Windows Hello); Ex. 3 (Dawoud Decl.) ¶ 4 (discussing Microsoft Entra). And to the extent this matter involves hardware components such as biometric sensors, that implicate historical versions of physical products and code, these are stored in the WDWA. Ex. 4 (Rosenbloom Decl.) ¶ 13.

Proxense is also based in the Pacific Northwest without a WDTX connection. Proxense’s principal place of business is in Bend, Oregon. In the section of its Complaint describing its company and technologies, Proxense only identifies ties to Oregon: Mr. John Giobbi (CEO and named inventor); Mr. Matt Davio (VP of Business Development); and Dr. Ryan Gallivan (“supporter of the technology”). Compl. ¶¶ 34-40. Proxense did not allege any evidence related to its company, products, or patents located in the WDTX.

¹ Microsoft and Proxense have conferred regarding this Motion pursuant to Local Rule CV-7(g). Proxense opposes this Motion.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.