

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC.
Petitioner,

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2023-00020
U.S. Patent No. 10,292,138

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,292,138**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Precise Relief Requested	1
	A. Claims for Which Review Is Requested	1
	B. Statutory Grounds.....	2
III.	The '138 Patent.....	2
IV.	Level of Ordinary Skill.....	5
V.	Claim Construction.....	5
VI.	Brief Overview of Prior Art References	6
	A. Peisa.....	6
	B. Lohr	8
	C. Choi	8
	D. Bucknell.....	9
VII.	The Board Should Not Exercise Discretion to Deny Institution	10
	A. The Board Need Not Reach the <i>Fintiv</i> Factors Due to Compelling Evidence of Unpatentability.....	11
	B. The <i>Fintiv</i> Factors Favor Institution	12
	C. The <i>General Plastic</i> Factors Favor Institution.....	16
	D. The <i>Advanced Bionics–Becton, Dickinson</i> Factors Favor Institution.....	18
VIII.	The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable Over the Prior Art	18
	A. Ground 1: Peisa in Combination with Lohr Renders Claims 1–4, 6–11, 13, and 14 Obvious.....	18
	1. Independent Claims 1, 8	18

2.	Dependent Claims 2, 9	40
3.	Dependent Claims 3, 10	41
4.	Dependent Claims 4, 11	42
5.	Dependent Claims 6, 13	43
6.	Dependent Claims 7, 14	45
B.	Ground 2: Peisa in Combination with Choi and Bucknell Renders Claims 1–4, 6–11, 13, and 14 Obvious	46
1.	Independent Claims 1, 8	46
2.	Dependent Claims 2, 3, 7, 9, 10 & 14	54
3.	Dependent Claims 4 & 11	54
4.	Dependent Claims 6, 13	55
C.	Ground 3: Peisa in Combination with Lohr and Bucknell Renders Claims 1–4, 6–11, 13, and 14 Obvious	56
1.	Independent Claims 1, 8	56
2.	Dependent Claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, & 14	57
3.	Dependent Claims 4 & 11	57
IX.	Grounds for Standing	58
X.	Mandatory Notices	58
A.	Real Party-in-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	58
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	58
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	59
D.	Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	60
XI.	CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.24(d)	60
XII.	CONCLUSION	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

<i>Advanced Bionics, LLC v. MED-EL Elektromedizinische Geräte GmbH,</i> IPR2019-01469, Paper 6 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 13, 2020).....	11
<i>Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc. v. Oyster Optics, LLC,</i> IPR2017-02146, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 28, 2018).....	17
<i>Align Tech., Inc., v. 3Shape A/S,</i> IPR2021-01313, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 10, 2022).....	13
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.,</i> IPR2020-00019, 2020 WL 2126495, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 20, 2020)	11, 12, 14, 16
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.,</i> IPR2020-00019, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. May 13, 2020)	12
<i>Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG,</i> IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 15, 2017)	11, 18
<i>Bose Corp. v. Koss Corp.,</i> IPR2021-00680, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 13, 2021).....	16
<i>Coolit Sys., Inc., v. Asetek Danmark A/S,</i> IPR2021-01195, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 28, 2021)	13
<i>Ford Motor Co. v. Safe Driving Techs. LLC,</i> IPR2021-01446, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. March 14, 2022)	14
<i>Gen. Plastic Indus. Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha,</i> IPR2016-01357, 2017 WL 3917706, Paper 19 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017)	16
<i>General Plastic Industrial Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha,</i> IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 6, 2017).....	11, 16

<i>Illumina, Inc. v. Ravgen, Inc.,</i> IPR2021-01271, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 26, 2022)	17
<i>Micron Technology, Inc., v. Vervain, LLC,</i> IPR2021-01550, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. April 11, 2022)	14
<i>Netnut Ltd., v. Bright Data Ltd.,</i> IPR2021-01492, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. March 21, 2022)	13, 14
<i>Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co.,</i> 868 F.3d 1013 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	5
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.,</i> 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	5
<i>Protect Animals with Satellites LLC, v. OnPoint Sys., LLC,</i> IPR2021-01483, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 4, 2022)	14
<i>Sand Revolution II LLC v. Cont'l Intermodal Grp.-Trucking LLC,</i> IPR2019-01393, 2020 WL 3273334, Paper 24 (P.T.A.B. June 16, 2020)	15
<i>STMicroelectronics, Inc., v. Ocean Semiconductor LLC,</i> IPR2021-01349, Paper 13 (P.T.A.B. March 4, 2022)	14
<i>STMicroelectronics, Inc., v. Optical Licensing, LLC,</i> IPR2021-01593, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. March 17, 2022)	14
<i>TCL Industries Holdings Co., Ltd. and Hisense Co., Ltd., v.</i> <i>Parkervision, Inc.,</i> IPR2021- 00990	16
<i>The Data Company Technologies Inc., v. Bright Data Ltd.,</i> IPR2022-00138, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. May 11, 2022)	15, 16
<i>Unified Patents, Inc. v. Certified Measurement, LLC,</i> IPR2018-00548, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 5, 2018)	17
<i>Valve Corporation v. Electronic Scripting Products, Inc.,</i> IPR2019-00063, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. April 2, 2019)	17

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.