UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMAZON.COM, INC.,
AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, and
AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

SOUNDCLEAR TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2025-00565
Patent No. 11,069,337

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF CLAIMS 1-5 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 11,069,337

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION ---------- 1

II. BACKGROUND ---------- 2

III. THE ’337 PATENT ---------- 3

A. Overview ---------- 3

B. Prosecution History ---------- 5

IV. RELIEF REQUESTED ---------- 6

A. Grounds ---------- 6

B. The References Are Analogous Prior Art ---------- 6

V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL ---------- 7

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ---------- 8

VII. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-5 ARE ANTICIPATED BY SHIN ---------- 8

A. Claim 1 ---------- 8

1. 1[pre]: Voice-Content Control Device ---------- 8

2. 1[a]: Proximity Sensor ---------- 9

3. 1[b]: Voice Classifying Unit ---------- 11

4. 1[c]: Process Executing Unit ---------- 15

5. 1[d]: Voice-Content Generating Unit ---------- 16

6. 1[e]: Output Controller ---------- 18

7. 1[f]: Generate a First Output Sentence ---------- 18

8. 1[g]: Generate a Second Output Sentence ---------- 20

9. 1[h]: Output Controller Adjusts Volume of Voice Data ---------- 22

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

B. Claim 2 ---------- 24

1. 2[a]: Process Executing Unit ---------- 24

2. 2[b]: Voice-Content Generating Unit ---------- 27

C. Claim 3 ---------- 27

D. Claims 4 and 5 ---------- 29

VIII. GROUND 2: CLAIMS 1-5 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER SHIN ---------- 30

A. Claim 1 ---------- 30

B. Claim 2 ---------- 32

C. Claim 3 ---------- 33

D. Claims 4 and 5 ---------- 35

IX. GROUND 3: CLAIMS 1-5 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER SHIMOMURA ---------- 35

A. Claim 1 ---------- 35

1. 1[pre]: Voice-Content Control Device ---------- 35

2. 1[a]: Proximity Sensor ---------- 37

3. 1[b]: Voice Classifying Unit ---------- 37

4. 1[c]: Process Executing Unit ---------- 40

5. 1[d]: Voice-Content Generating Unit ---------- 41

6. 1[e]: Output Controller ---------- 42

7. 1[f]: Generate a First Output Sentence ---------- 43

8. 1[g]: Generate a Second Output Sentence ---------- 44

9. 1[h]: Output Controller Adjusts Volume of Voice Data ---------- 46

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

B. Claim 2 ---------- 53

1. 2[a]: Process Executing Unit ---------- 53

2. 2[b]: Voice-Content Generating Unit ---------- 56

C. Claim 3 ---------- 56

D. Claims 4 and 5 ---------- 59

X. GROUND 4: CLAIMS 1-5 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER SHIMOMURA AND SHIN ---------- 59

A. Claim 1 ---------- 59

B. Claim 2 ---------- 62

C. Claim 3 ---------- 62

D. Claims 4 and 5 ---------- 64

XI. GROUND 5: CLAIMS 1-5 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER SHIN AND/OR SHIMOMURA IN VIEW OF KRISTJANSSON ---------- 65

XII. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NONOBVIOUSNESS ---------- 68

XIII. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL UNDER §314(A) IS NOT APPROPRIATE ---------- 68

A. Petitioner's *Sotera* Stipulation ---------- 69

B. The Petition Presents Compelling Evidence of Unpatentability ---------- 69

XIV. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL UNDER §325(D) IS NOT APPROPRIATE ---------- 70

XV. MANDATORY NOTICES, GROUNDS FOR STANDING, AND FEE PAYMENT ---------- 72

A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1)) ---------- 72

B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2)) ---------- 72

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

C. Lead and Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(3)) ---------- 73

D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(4)) ---------- 74

E. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. §42.104) ---------- 74

F. Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. §42.15(a)) ---------- 74

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.