IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

SMART RF INC., Plaintiff, v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC, Defendant,	<pre>\$ \$ \$ CASE NO. 2:24-cv-00195-JRG \$ (Lead Case) \$ \$</pre>
SMART RF INC., Plaintiff, v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS, Defendant,	\$
SMART RF INC., Plaintiff, v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. et al., Defendants,	\$ \$ CASE NO. 2:24-cv-00197-JRG \$ (Member Case)

ORDER

Before the Court is Movant-Intervenor Ericsson Inc.'s ("Ericsson") Unopposed Motion for Leave to Intervene (the "Motion"). (Dkt. No. 46.) In the Motion, Ericsson requests leave to intervene in the above-captioned case pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. ("Rule") 24(a) or, in the alternative, Rule 24(b). (*Id.* at 1-2.) Ericsson further represents that this Motion is unopposed. (*Id.* at 1.) Ericsson filed with the Motion Ericsson's Answer in Intervention (Dkt. Nos. 47, 48, 49) in compliance with Rule 24(c).



Having considered the Motion, and noting its unopposed nature, the Court finds that it should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that Ericsson is permitted to intervene in the above-captioned case pursuant to Rule 24(a) or, in the alternative, Rule 24(b).

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 8th day of August, 2024.

RODNEY GILSTRAP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE