

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

**AMAZON.COM, INC.,
AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC,
AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC., and
AUDIBLE, INC.,**
Petitioners,

v.

AUDIO POD IP, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2025-00768
U.S. Patent No. 10,805,111

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,805,111**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION -----	1
II.	BACKGROUND AND STATE OF THE ART -----	1
III.	THE '111 PATENT -----	3
	A. Overview -----	3
	B. Claims -----	3
	C. Prosecution -----	5
	D. Priority -----	5
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART -----	5
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION -----	6
VI.	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED -----	6
	A. Grounds -----	6
	B. Status of References as Prior Art -----	7
VII.	GROUND 1A: CLAIMS 1-2, 4-5, AND 16 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF PRABHAKARAN AND DUNCAN -----	8
	A. Claim 1 -----	8
	1. Preamble -----	8
	2. 1[a]: Network Accessible Library -----	8
	3. 1[b]: Downloading Image Associated with Time Information -----	9
	4. 1[c]: Assembling a First Page -----	11

5.	1[d]: Assigning Time Information to First Page-----	15
a.	Assembling a Page-----	16
b.	Changing the Presentation Speed -----	18
c.	Translating Synchronization Times into Presentation Times-----	20
6.	1[e]: Downloading Audio-----	21
7.	1[f]: Simultaneously Rendering Images and Audio -----	23
B.	Claim 2-----	24
C.	Claim 4-----	25
D.	Claim 5-----	25
E.	Claim 16 -----	27
VIII.	GROUND 1B: CLAIM 3 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF PRABHAKARAN, DUNCAN, AND TEMPLEMAN -----	27
IX.	GROUND 1C: CLAIM 6 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF PRABHAKARAN, DUNCAN, AND OPTIONALLY HAY -----	30
X.	GROUND 1D: CLAIM 7 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF PRABHAKARAN, DUNCAN, AND OPTIONALLY HAY AND/OR HECKERMAN -----	33
XI.	GROUND 1E: CLAIMS 8-11 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF PRABHAKARAN, DUNCAN, AND DTB-----	36
A.	Claim 8-----	36
B.	Claim 9-----	39

C.	Claims 10 and 11 -----	40
XII.	GROUND 1F: CLAIMS 12-15 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF PRABHAKARAN, DUNCAN, AND DR. SEUSS -----	43
A.	Claim 12 -----	43
B.	Claim 13 -----	47
1.	13[a]: Rendering Text Passages-----	47
2.	13[b]: Assigning Time Information -----	48
C.	Claim 14 -----	49
D.	Claim 15 -----	50
XIII.	GROUND 2A: CLAIMS 1-2, 4-5, 8-11, AND 16 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF DTB, STEELE, AND DUNCAN -----	51
A.	Claim 1-----	51
1.	Preamble -----	51
2.	1[a]: Network Accessible Library -----	52
3.	1[b]: Downloading Images Associated with Time Information -----	55
4.	1[c]: Assembling a First Page -----	57
5.	1[d]: Assigning Time Information to First Page-----	60
a.	Assigning Time Information by Assembling a Page -----	61
b.	Assigning Time Information by Changing the Presentation Speed-----	62

6.	1[e]: Downloading Audio-----	62
7.	1[f]: Simultaneously Rendering Images and Audio-----	63
B.	Claim 2-----	63
C.	Claim 4-----	64
D.	Claim 5-----	64
E.	Claims 8-11 -----	65
F.	Claim 16 -----	65
XIV.	GROUND 2B: CLAIM 3 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF DTB, STEELE, DUNCAN, AND TEMPLEMAN -----	65
XV.	GROUND 2C: CLAIM 6 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF DTB, STEELE, DUNCAN, AND HAY -----	65
XVI.	GROUND 2D: CLAIM 7 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF DTB, STEELE, DUNCAN, HAY, AND PRABHAKARAN -----	67
XVII.	GROUND 2E: CLAIMS 12-15 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF DTB, STEELE, DUNCAN, AND DR. SEUSS -----	68
XVIII.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NONOBVIOUSNESS -----	69
XIX.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL UNDER §314(A) IS NOT APPROPRIATE -----	69
A.	Factor 1: Potential Stay -----	69
B.	Factor 2: Proximity of Trial to FWD-----	70
C.	Factor 3: Investment in Parallel Proceeding -----	71



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.