

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and
CHOICE HOTELS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Petitioners

v.

FALL LINE PATENTS, LLC.

Patent Owner

Case: IPR2018-00535

Patent 9,454,748

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,454,748**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(A))	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES	1
A. Real Parties-in-Interest	1
B. Related Matters	1
C. Lead and back-up counsel	3
D. Service Information	4
III. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING	4
IV. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED	4
A. GROUND 1: Claims 1, 9, 11, 13, and 15-22 are obvious under § 103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,961,586 to Barbosa et al. (“Barbosa”) (Ex. 1002) alone in view of the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill in the art.	4
B. GROUND 2: Claims 1, 9, 11, 13, and 15-22 are obvious under § 103(a) over Barbosa (Ex. 1002) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,332,127 to Bandera et al. (“Bandera”) (Ex. 1004).	5
C. GROUND 3: Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 11, 13, and 15-22 are obvious under § 103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,202,023 to Hancock et al. (“Hancock”) (Ex. 1003) alone in view of the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill in the art.	5
D. GROUND 4: Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 11, 13, and 15-22 are obvious under § 103(a) over Hancock (Ex. 1003) in view of Bandera (Ex. 1004).	5
V. OVERVIEW	5
A. The Board Should Not Exercise Its Discretion to Deny Institution	5
B. The ’748 Patent	8
(i) Summary of Alleged Invention of the ’748 Patent	8
(ii) ’748 Prosecution History	10
(iii) Effective Filing Date Of The Challenged Claims	11
C. The Primary Prior Art References	11
(i) Barbosa	11
(ii) Hancock	12
(iii) Bandera	12
VI. RELEVANT INFORMATION CONCERNING THE ’748 PATENT	12

A. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	12
B. Construction of Terms Used in the Claims	13
(i) “GPS integral thereto”	13
(ii) “token”	14
(iii) “questionnaire”	17
(iv) “originating computer” / “recipient computer” / “central computer”	17
VII. SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION	18
A. Barbosa Renders Obvious Claims 1, 9, 11, 13, and 15-22	18
(i) Independent Claim 19	18
(A) “A method for managing data comprising the steps of:”	18
(B) “(a) establishing communications between a handheld computing device and an originating computer wherein said handheld computing device has a GPS integral thereto”	19
(C) “(b) receiving within said handheld computing device a transmission of a tokenized questionnaire from said originating computer,”	21
(D) “said tokenized questionnaire including at least one question requesting location identifying information,”	24
(E) “said tokenized questionnaire comprising a plurality of device independent tokens;”	24
(F) “(c) ending said communications between said handheld computing device and said originating computer;”	27
(G) “(d) after said communications has been ended, (d1) executing at least a portion of said plurality of tokens comprising said questionnaire on said handheld computing device to collect at least one response from a first user, and,”	27
(H) (d2) storing within said computing device said at least one response from the first user;	28
(I) “(d3) using said GPS to automatically obtain said location identifying information in response to said at least one question that requests location identifying information;”	29

- (J) “(e) establishing communications between said handheld computing device and a recipient computer;” 29
- (K) “(f) transmitting a value representative of each of said at least one response stored within said handheld computing device to said recipient computer; and,” 30
- (L) “(g) after receipt of said transmission of step (f), transmitting a notice of said received value representative of each of said at least one response to a second user.” 30
- (ii) Claim 20** 32
- (iii) Independent Claim 21** 32
- (A) “A method for managing data comprising the steps of:” 32
- (B) “(a) within a central computer, accessing at least one user data item stored in a recipient computer, wherein said at least one data item is obtained via the steps of:” 32
- (C) “(1) establishing communications between a handheld computing device and an originating computer wherein said handheld computing device has a GPS integral thereto;” 33
- (D) “(2) receiving within said handheld computing device a transmission of a tokenized questionnaire, including at least one question requesting GPS coordinates and at least one additional question, said tokenized questionnaire comprising a plurality of device independent tokens;” 33
- (E) “(3) ending said communications between said handheld computing device and said originating computer;” 34
- (F) “(4) after said communications has been ended, (i) executing at least a portion of said plurality of tokens comprising said questionnaire on said handheld computing device,” 34
- (G) “(ii) automatically entering the GPS coordinates into said questionnaire:” 34
- (H) “(iii) presenting said at least one additional question to a user; (iv) receiving at least one response from the user to each of said presented at least one additional question,” 34

- (I) “(v) storing at least one value representative of said GPS coordinates and said at least one response within said handheld computing device;” 35
- (J) “(5) establishing a communications link between said handheld computing device and a recipient computer;” 35
- (K) “(6) transmitting said stored at least one value representative of said GPS coordinates and said at least one response stored within said handheld computing device to said recipient computer; and,” 35
- (L) “(7) storing within said recipient computer any of said transmitted GPS coordinates and said at least one value representative of said at least one response, thereby creating said at least one user data item stored in said recipient computer; and,” 36
- (M) “(b) forming a visually perceptible report from any of said at least one stored user data item.” 36
- (iv) Claim 22 37**
- (v) Independent Claim 1 37**
- (A) “A method for managing data including the steps of:” 37
- (B) “(a) creating a questionnaire comprising a series of questions customized for a location;” 37
- (C) “(b) said questionnaire including at least one question requesting GPS coordinates;” 38
- (D) “(c) tokenizing said questionnaire, thereby producing a plurality of device indifferent tokens representing said questionnaire;” 38
- (E) “(d) transmitting said plurality of tokens to a remote computing device;” 38
- (F) “(e) when said remote computing device is at said location, executing at least a portion of said plurality of tokens representing said questionnaire at within said remote computing device to collect a response from a user;” 38
- (G) “(f) automatically entering the GPS coordinates into said questionnaire;” 39
- (H) “(g) transmitting at least a portion of said response from the user to a server in real time via a network; and” 39

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.