## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TELEBRANDS CORP., Petitioner,

v.

TINNUS ENTERPRISES, LLC, Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_

Case PGR2017-00015 Patent 9,527,612 B2

\_\_\_\_\_

Record of Oral Hearing Held: August 31, 2018

Before MICHAEL W. KIM, FRANCES L. IPPOLITO and KEVIN W. CHERRY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



Case PGR2017-00015 Patent 9,527,612 B2

#### **APPEARANCES:**

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER: (via telephone)

TONIA SAYOUR, ESQUIRE Cooper & Dunham, LLP 30 Rockefeller Plaza Floor 20 New York, NY 10112

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Friday, August 31, 2018, commencing at 1:00 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



## PROCEEDINGS

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | JUDGE CHERRY: Good afternoon. This is Judge Cherry, and                        |
| 3   | with me in the room here in Alexandria is Judge Kim. There are no              |
| 4   | attendees from the public.   |
| 5   | MS. SAYOUR: Okay. Good afternoon.  |
| 6   | JUDGE CHERRY: Will the parties please make their                               |
| 7   | appearances. And Judge Ippolito is here as well. She's on the phone.           |
| 8   | MS. SAYOUR: On the phone, right, okay.   |
| 9   | Judge Ippolito, can you hear me?   |
| 10  | JUDGE IPPOLITO: I can.   |
| l 1 | MS. SAYOUR: Okay, good. I just wanted to make sure I                           |
| 12  | could hear you as well.  |
| 13  | Appearances, this is Tonia Sayour. I'm with Cooper &                           |
| 14  | Dunham. I'm here for the Petitioner, Telebrands Corp.                          |
| 15  | JUDGE CHERRY: Good afternoon, Ms. Sayour.                                      |
| 16  | Do you know if anyone is anyone from the Patent Owner                          |
| 17  | here?  |
| 18  | (No response.)   |
| 19  | JUDGE CHERRY: Did you Ms. Sayour, did you hear                                 |
| 20  | anything from them?  |
| 21  | MS. SAYOUR: No, I have not heard from them. I'm                                |
| 22  | presuming that in line with what they previously stated, they are not going to |
| 23  | be joining. So but I have not heard from them, and I didn't know by the        |
| 24  | silence whether they were on the line, but I don't I don't think they are.     |
| 25  | JUDGE CHERRY: All right. I just wanted to confirm.                             |



# Case PGR2017-00015 Patent 9,527,612 B2

| 1  | All right, this is the hearing in Telebrands Corporation versus                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | Tinnus, PGR2017-00015. Counsel for Petitioner, if you want to begin.               |
| 3  | MS. SAYOUR: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to start                          |
| 4  | off by thanking the Board for accommodating us after our delayed arrival a         |
| 5  | couple of weeks ago and apologize for any confusion. I, for one, sincerely         |
| 6  | appreciate not having to travel today, as it is a day before a holiday             |
| 7  | weekend. So I just wanted to thank you for that.                                   |
| 8  | JUDGE CHERRY: Oh, you're welcome, and we wanted to                                 |
| 9  | apologize for the we had gotten a miscommunication, and we would have              |
| 10 | waited had we known if you were coming, but I'm glad you could make it             |
| 11 | today, and please go ahead.  |
| 12 | MS. SAYOUR: Thank you. We're here today to speak about                             |
| 13 | the '612 patent. It's a patent that relates to a device for filling multiple self- |
| 14 | sealing balloons with water. It's a device that's no stranger to the Board as      |
| 15 | there have been other proceedings relating to other patents in the same            |
| 16 | family. But as we'll get to, this is a different patent. It has different claim    |
| 17 | limitations, and there certainly is a different record here.                       |
| 18 | Noticeably absent today is counsel for Patent Owner, who,                          |
| 19 | quite frankly, has a client that seems to have given up on these proceedings.      |
| 20 | The Patent Owner was free to make its case, so to rely on its preliminary          |
| 21 | patent owner response, and it's my hope that once we spend some time               |
| 22 | going over the evidence that's of record in this proceeding and the claims         |
| 23 | that are at issue in this proceeding that you will find that this patent is more   |
| 24 | likely than not invalid.   |
| 25 | So I know I'm not there, and I guess you have all the slides in                    |
| 26 | front of you.  |



# Case PGR2017-00015 Patent 9,527,612 B2

| 1  | JUDGE CHERRY: Yes.   |
|----|--|
| 2  | MS. SAYOUR: Okay. That's easy to assume. I'd ask that we                       |
| 3  | turn to Slide 4, if that's okay.   |
| 4  | JUDGE KIM: And, Counsel, this is Judge Kim. Yes, I was                         |
| 5  | hoping you could you sort of alluded to it, but, yes, if you could please      |
| 6  | emphasize any differences in the language between this case and the other      |
| 7  | cases, I think that would be helpful for us.                                   |
| 8  | MS. SAYOUR: Sure. In terms of the claim language, you                          |
| 9  | mean?  |
| 10 | JUDGE KIM: That is correct. And evidence, too, but I think                     |
| 11 | primarily claim language.  |
| 12 | MS. SAYOUR: Do you want me to do that now, or do you                           |
| 13 | want me to do that as I go through?  |
| 14 | JUDGE KIM: No, no. You can feel free to do it as you're                        |
| 15 | going along.   |
| 16 | MS. SAYOUR: Sure, sure.  |
| 17 | JUDGE KIM: I just wanted to make   |
| 18 | MS. SAYOUR: Okay, of course. Thank you.  |
| 19 | So if we look at Slide 4, the alleged invention here is simple                 |
| 20 | and basic. It's not particularly complex, as this Board has pointed out in its |
| 21 | institution decision, and it relates to a system and method for filling        |
| 22 | containers with fluid, it's worth mentioning that the patent contemplates not  |
| 23 | only toys, shown in the figure, but also medical applications. Figure 5 is on  |
| 24 | the screen, and it has an embodiment where someone is using the device to      |
| 25 | collect blood.   |



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

