

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MICROSOFT CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

CYPRESS LAKE SOFTWARE, INC.  
Patent Owner.

---

Case: PGR2017-\_\_\_\_\_

U.S. Patent No. 9,423,938

---

**PETITION FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8).....	3
A. Real Parties-in-Interest.....	3
B. Related Matters .....	5
C. Notice of Counsel and Service Information.....	6
III. Eligibility for Post-Grant Review.....	6
IV. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.204(a)).....	9
V. Identification of Challenged Claims and Specific Statutory Grounds (37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b)(1)-(2)).....	9
VI. Background and Summary of the '938 Patent.....	9
A. Summary of the '938 Patent Written Description.....	10
B. Summary of Prosecution History .....	18
C. Summary of Related Matters .....	19
VII. Claim Construction.....	21
A. “Form of a first input or a second input” .....	24
B. “First” / “Second”.....	28
VIII. Grounds of Unpatentability (37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b)(4)-(5)) .....	30
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-87 Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) For Lack Of Written Description .....	30
1. Written Description Legal Standard .....	30
2. Independent Claims 1 and 47 Lack Written Description Support in the '938 Patent. ....	33
a. The '938 Patent Fails to Disclose Presenting a Menu in Different Locations in Response to Different “Forms” of User Input. ....	34

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
b. Because the Written Description Must Support the Entire Scope of the Claim, Section 112 is Not Satisfied If the “Form” of User Input Is Interpreted to Include, But Not Be Limited To, The Input’s Location. ....	38
c. The Written Description Requirement is Not Satisfied If Cypress Lake’s Claims Are Merely Obvious Over the Specification.....	42
3. Dependent claims 2-46 and 48-87 .....	44
B. Ground 2: Claims 47-73, 75-81, 83-87 Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 In View Of The Public Use and Sale of Microsoft’s Windows 10 Operating System and Devices Running Windows 10.....	44
1. Dependent Claims 48-49, 52-53, 55-62, 64-75, 78-79, 81, 83-84, 85, and 87 .....	52
2. Dependent Claims 50-51, 54, 63, 76-77 .....	77
3. Dependent Claims 80 and 86 .....	82
IX. Conclusion .....	88

## EXHIBIT LIST

- Ex. 1001 U.S. Patent No. 9,423,938 (“the ’938 patent”)
- Ex. 1002 Declaration of Loren Terveen, Ph.D. (“Terveen Dec.”)
- Ex. 1003 *Bae Sys. Info. and Elec. Sys. Integration, Inc. v. Cheetah Omni, LLC*, IPR2013-00175, Paper 15 (July 3, 2013)
- Ex. 1004 *Brinkmann Corp. v. A&J Mfg., LLC*, IPR2015-00056, Paper 10 (March 23, 2015)
- Ex. 1005 *US Endodontics, LLC v. Gold Standard Instruments, LLC*, PGR2015-00019, Paper 54 (Dec. 28, 2016)
- Ex. 1006 Excerpts from File History of U.S. Patent No. 9,423,938
- Ex. 1007 U.S. Pat. No. 8,780,130 (“the ’130 patent”)
- Ex. 1008 Declaration of Nils Sundelin (“Sundelin Dec.”)
- Ex. 1009 Excerpt from American Heritage Dictionary, Fifth Edition, 2011
- Ex. 1010 Infringement Claim Chart for the ’938 Patent served in *Cypress Lake Software, Inc. v. Acer America Corp.*, no. 6:16-cv-01246 (E.D. Tex.)
- Ex. 1011 U.S. Pat. No. 9,358,454
- Ex. 1012 U.S. Pat. No. 8,949,245
- Ex. 1013 U.S. Pat. No. 5,625,814
- Ex. 1014 Video file illustrating Windows 10 functionality accused in Ex. 1010, Cypress Lake’s Infringement Claim Chart for the ’938 Patent
- Ex. 1015 (Reserved)
- Ex. 1016 U.S. Patent Application No. 12/956,008 (filed Nov. 30, 2010)
- Ex. 1017 U.S. Patent Application No. 14/955,993 (filed Nov. 30, 2010)

- Ex. 1018 U.S. Patent Application No. 12/868,767 (filed Aug. 26, 2010)
- Ex. 1019 1019 U.S. Patent Application No. 14/173,806 (filed Feb. 5, 2014)
- Ex. 1020 Microsoft Windows 1.0 Operating Environment User's Guide (1985)
- Ex. 1021 Microsoft Windows 7 Product Guide — “Welcome to Windows 7” (2009)
- Ex. 1022 Microsoft Windows 8 Product Guide — “Meet the new Windows” (2009)
- Ex. 1023 “Windows 8 Demo (All Things Digital, D9)” (June 1, 2011) — PDF of website video page on YouTube.com
- Ex. 1024 “Windows 8 Demo (All Things Digital, D9)” (June 1, 2011) – excerpt (3:08 to 3:47) of video from webpage in Ex. 1022.
- Ex. 1025 Microsoft Windows 8.1 Product Guide (2014)
- Ex. 1026 “Windows 8.1 Tips - Using the Improved Split Screen Functions” — PDF of website video page on YouTube.com
- Ex. 1027 “Windows 8.1 Tips - Using the Improved Split Screen Functions” — excerpt (3:08 to 3:39) of video from webpage in Ex. 1022
- Ex. 1028 Windows 10 Product Guide — “Windows Technical Preview Quick Guide”
- Ex. 1029 PC World, “Hands-on with Microsoft's new Windows 10: UI changes that look great at first blush” (Sept. 30, 2014), *available at* <http://www.pcworld.com/article/2689230/hands-on-with-microsofts-new-windows-10-ui-changes-that-look-great-at-first-blush.html>
- Ex. 1030 Microsoft, “Announcing Windows 10 Insider Preview Build 10547” (September 18, 2015), *available at*

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.