

Petition for Post-Grant Review
of U.S. Patent No. 9,682,789

Filed on behalf of Telebrands Corp. by:

Robert T. Maldonado (Reg. No. 38,232)
Tonia A. Sayour (Reg. No. 58,404)
Elana B. Araj (Reg. No. 75,804)
Cooper & Dunham LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10122
(212)278-0400
rmaldonado@cooperdunham.com
tsayour@cooperdunham.com
earaj@cooperdunham.com

Eric J. Maurer (Reg. No. 43,782)
Boies, Schiller, & Flexner LLP
1401 New York Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 237-2727
emaurer@bsfllp.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TELEBRANDS CORP.,
Petitioner,

v.

TINNUS ENTERPRISES, LLC,
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 9,682,789
Issued: June 20, 2017

PETITION FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 321-328 AND C.F.R. § 42.200 *et seq.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	2
	A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	2
	B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	2
	C. Lead and Back Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	5
	D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	5
III.	ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS	6
	A. Payment of Fees Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.15.....	6
	B. Timing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.202.....	6
	C. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.204(a)	7
IV.	'789 PATENT BACKGROUND	7
	A. The '789 Patent Specification And Prior Art	7
	B. Prosecution History Of The '789 Patent	10
	C. Effective Filing Date Of The '789 Patent	11
	D. Final Written Decision In PGR2015-00018.....	13
	E. Institution Decisions In PGR2016-00030 And PGR2016-00031	13
V.	37 C.F.R. §42.204(b)(1)-(2): IDENTIFICATION OF THE CHALLENGE.....	14
	A. Statement of Requested Relief	14
	B. Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	17
VI.	37 C.F.R. §42.204(b)(3): CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	17
VII.	37 C.F.R. §42.204(b)(4)-(5): IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT CLAIMS 1-23 OF THE '789 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	18
	A. It Is More Likely Than Not That Claims 5, 12 and 13 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §112(a)	18
	i. Claims 5 and 13	19
	ii. Claim 12.....	21
	B. It Is More Likely Than Not That Claims 1-7, 12, 17, and 18 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §112(b).....	24
	i. Claims 1-7.....	25

ii. Claims 17 and 18	27
iii. Claim 12.....	27
C. It is More Likely Than Not That Claims 1-23 Of The '789 Patent Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §103	28
i. Description of the Prior Art.....	29
a. Saggio (Ex.1012)	29
b. Donaldson (Ex.1014)	30
c. Cooper (Ex.1030).....	31
d. Weir (Ex.1016).....	32
e. Pomeranz (Ex.1032).....	33
f. Zorbz (Exs.1033-1034)	33
g. Mead (Ex.1038)	34
ii. It Is More Likely Than Not That Claims 1-2, 4, 6-9, 11-12, 14-20, and 22-23 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §103 As Obvious Over Saggio In View Of Donaldson or Mead	34
1. Independent Claims 1, 8, and 16	43
i. Independent Claim 1	43
ii. Independent Claim 8.....	52
iii. Independent Claim 16.....	53
2. Claims 2, 9, and 19	55
3. Claims 4 and 11	57
4. Claims 6 and 14.....	58
5. Claims 7, 15, and 23.....	59
6. Claims 12 and 20	59
7. Claims 17 and 18.....	60
8. Claim 22	61
iii. It Is More Likely Than Not That Claims 5 and 13 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Obvious Over Saggio, Cooper, And Donaldson Or Mead ...	61
iv. It Is More Likely Than Not That Claims 2, 9, 12, and 19-20 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §103 As Obvious Over Saggio, Cooper or Weir, and Donaldson Or Mead.....	63

Petition for Post-Grant Review
of U.S. Patent No. 9,682,789

v. It Is More Likely Than Not That Claims 3, 10, and 21 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §103 As Obvious Over Saggio, Donaldson Or Mead, And Pomerantz	66
vi. It Is More Likely Than Not That Claims 3, 10, and 21 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §103 As Obvious Over (1) Saggio, (2) Donaldson Or Mead, (3) Cooper Or Weir, And (4) Pomerantz	68
vii. It Is More Likely Than Not That Claims 1-23 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. §103 As Obvious Over Zorbz In View Of Donaldson Or Mead	69
1. Independent Claims 1, 8, and 16	79
i. Independent Claim 1	79
ii. Independent Claim 8	80
iii. Independent Claim 16	81
2. Claims 2, 9, and 19	82
3. Claims 3, 10, and 21	83
4. Claims 4 and 11	84
5. Claims 5 and 13	85
6. Claims 6 and 14	85
7. Claims 7, 15, and 23	85
8. Claims 12 and 20	86
9. Claims 17 and 18	86
10. Claim 22	87
D. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS DO NOT OVERCOME THE STRONG SHOWING OF OBVIOUSNESS	87
E. THIS PETITION CONTAINS NEW ARGUMENTS NOT PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED TO THE USPTO	88
F. CONCLUSION	89

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

ABT Systems, LLC v. Emerson Elec. Co.,
797 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2015)52

Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elec. Co., Ltd.,
816 F.3d 788 (Fed. Cir. 2016).91

Ariad Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Eli Lilly and Co.,
598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010)18

Auto. Techs. Int’l v. BMW of N. Am., Inc.,
501 F.3d 1274 (Fed. Cir. 2007)9

Beckman Instruments v. LKB Produkter AB,
892 F.2d 1547 (Fed. Cir. 1989)52

DyStar Textilfarben GmbH & Co. v. CH Patrick Co.,
464 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2006) 53, 54, 77

Halliburton Energy Services, Inc. v. M-I LLC,
514 F.3d 1244 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 25, 27, 28

In re Packard,
751 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2014)25

In re Schreiber,
128F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1997).)47

In re Swinehart,
439 F.2d 210 (CCPA 1971)48

Interval Licensing, LLC v. AOL, Inc.,
766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014)25

KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....29

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.