

Filed on behalf of Bridgeport Fittings, Inc. by:

Mark E. Ungerman (Reg. No. 32,070)
Ungerman IP PLLC
2305 Calvert Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20008
(202) 461-3200
mungerman@ungermanip.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BRIDGEPORT FITTINGS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ARLINGTON INDUSTRIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 9,553,415
Issued: January 24, 2017

**PETITION FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321-328 AND C.F.R. § 42.200 *et seq.***

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	3
	A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	3
	B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	3
	C. Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	4
	D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	4
III.	ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS	5
	A. Payment of Fees Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.15.....	5
	B. Timing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.202	5
	C. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.204(a).....	5
IV.	U.S. Patent No. 9,553,415 – 37 C.F.R. § 42.22(c).....	7
	A. General Description.....	7
	B. Effective Filing Date Under AIA.....	10
V.	37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b): IDENTIFICATION OF THE CHALLENGE.....	12
	A. Statement Of Requested Relief 37 C.F.R. § 42.22(b).....	12
	B. 37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b)(3): Claim Construction	17
	i. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	19
	ii. Claim Terms.....	20
VI.	37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b)(4)-(5): IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT CLAIMS 1-9 OF THE '415 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE	25
	A. Brief Description of the Prior Art	25
	i. U.S. 6,521,831.....	25
	ii. U.S. 6,352,439 (the '439 Patent)	31
	iii. Bridgeport 3838ASP Connectors.....	32
	iv. U.S. 1,328,290 (“’290 Patent”).....	33
	v. UL Standard for Safety for Fittings for Cable and Conduit (UL 514B).....	34

Petition for Post-Grant Review
of U.S. Patent No. 9,553,415

B.	Claims 1-9 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 112(b).....	34
C.	All Claims Of The '415 Patent Are Unpatentable In View Of U.S. 6,521,831 Alone Or In Combination With Other Prior Art.....	38
D.	Ground 2 – Claims 1, 2, And 4-7 Are Anticipated By The '831 Patent.	38
E.	Claims 3, 8 And 9 Would Have Been Obvious In View Of The '831 patent And In Further View of U.S. Patent No. 6,352,439.....	46
F.	All Claims Of The '415 Patent Are Unpatentable In View Of Prior Sales of Bridgeport Fittings Model No. 3838ASP Connectors Prior To The Critical Date, Alone, Or In Combination With The '439 And '831 Patents.....	49
G.	Claims 1, 2, 6, And 7 Are Anticipated By The Sale Of The Bridgeport 3838ASP Connector.....	50
H.	Claims 3, 8 And 9 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious In View Of Sales Of 3838ASP More Than One Year Before Filing Date And In Further View Of U.S. 6,352,439.....	56
I.	Claims 4 And 5 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious In View Of Sales Of 3838ASP More Than One Year Before Filing Date And U.S. 6,352,439 (The “'439 Patent”) In Further View Of The '831 Patent.....	60
J.	Ground 4 - Claims 1, 2 And 4-7 Are Obvious In View Of U.S. Patent No. 1,328,290 (The “'290 Patent”) And Further In View Of The '831 Patent.....	62
K.	Ground 4A – Claims 3, 8 And 9 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Being Obvious In View U.S. 1,328,290 (The “'290 Patent”) And The '831 Patent And In Further View Of U.S. 6,352,439 (The “'439 Patent”).....	72
L.	Ground 5 – Claims 1-9 Would Have Been Obvious Under An Alternate Construction Of The Claim.....	75
M.	Secondary Considerations Do Not Overcome The Strong Showing Of Obviousness.....	77
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	80

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elec. Co., Ltd.,
816 F.3d 788 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... 78, 79

CuozzoSpeed Techs., LLC v. Lee,
136 S.Ct. 2131 (2016)17

Halliburton Energy Services, Inc. v. M-I LLC,
514 F.3d 1244 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....34

In re Huang,
100 F.3d 135 (Fed. Cir. 1996)78

In re Packard,
751 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....34

In re Paulsen,
30 F.3d 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....18

In re Translogic Tech., Inc.,
504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....18

Interval Licensing, LLC v. AOL, Inc.,
766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....34

*Liberty Mutual Insurance Co., Petitioner v. Progressive Casualty Insurance Co.,
Patent Owner*,
CBM-2012-00003 (PTAB Order, Oct. 25, 2012).....15

Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.,
789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....18

PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.,
522 F.3d 1229 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....6

Research Corp. Techs., Inc. v Microsoft Corp.,
627 F.3d 859 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....6

Ritchie v. Vast Res., Inc.,
563 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 78, 79

The W. Union Co. v. Moneygram Payment Sys., Inc.,
626 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....78

Trivascular, Inc. v. Samuels,
812 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....18

Wyers v. Master Lock Co.,
616 F.3d 1231 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... 78, 79

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102..... 12, 77, 80

35 U.S.C. § 103..... 12, 80

35 U.S.C. § 112..... passim

35 U.S.C. § 112(b) 12, 34, 35

35 U.S.C. § 324.....25

35 U.S.C. § 324(a)12

35 U.S.C. §§ 321-32812

AIA § 3(n)(1)6

Rules

37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)4

37 C.F.R. § 42.200(b)17

37 C.F.R. § 42.202(a).....5

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....3

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....3

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....4

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....4

37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(b)(1)-(4).....5

37 C.F.R. §§ 42.200-42.224.....12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.