

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RIMFROST AS

Petitioner

v.

AKER BIOMARINE ANTARCTIC AS

Patent Owner

Case No.: PGR2018-00033

U.S. Patent 9,644,170

Issue Date: May 9, 2017

Title: Bioeffective Krill Oil Compositions

PETITION FOR POST GRANT REVIEW

UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 321-329 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.200 *ET SEQ.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. THE PETITION1
- II. MANDATORY NOTICES.....1
 - A. Real Parties-In-Interest.....1
 - B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....2
 - C. Counsel (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3) and 42.10(a)).....3
 - D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))4
- III. PAYMENT OF FEES5
- IV. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW5
 - A. Grounds For Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.204(a))5
 - B. Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....6
 - C. Identification Of Challenge And Relief Requested
(37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(1))6
 - 1. Claims for which Post-Grant Review is Requested
(37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b)(2))6
 - 2. Specific Statutory Grounds on which the Challenge is Based
(37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b)(2))7
 - 3. Earliest Effective Priority Date7

- 4. Prior Art References.....8
- D. Claim Construction-Broadest Reasonable Interpretation (“BRI”) (37 C.F.R. § 42.204(B)(3)).....9
- V. SUMMARY OF THE ‘170 PATENT (EXHIBIT 1001)10
 - A. Background Of The ‘170 Patent.....10
 - B. Prosecution History Of The ‘170 Patent12
 - C. Construction of the ‘170 Patent Claim Terms.....14
 - 1. Claims 1-20 - “krill oil”15
 - 2. Claims 6 and 17 - “phytonutrient”16
 - 3. Claims 1, 3, 11, and 13 – “astaxanthin esters”17
- VI. EFFECTIVE FILING DATE, FITF AND PGR.....19
 - A. The ‘170 Patent Family Chart19
 - B. “Transition Patents” Which Fail To Comply With Section 112(a) Are PGR Eligible.....21
 - C. Section 112(a)’s Requirements.24
 - 1. Possession of the Invention.....24
 - 2. Enablement of the Invention.....24

- D. Failure To find Section 112(a) Support In The ‘170 Patent Specification Is The Same As Finding Lack Of Section 112(a) Support For Its Parent (The ‘453 Patent) And Its Grandparent (The ‘388 Patent).....27
- E. The ‘170 Patent Is Eligible For Post Grant Review28
 - 1. There is no Section 112(a) support for ether phospholipids in the upper portion of the recited range of 3% to 15% w/w krill oil *i.e.*, 8% to 15%, as required at least by ‘170 patent claims 1 and 11.31
 - 2. There is no Section 112(a) support for astaxanthin esters for most of the claim limitation of astaxanthin esters in amount of about 100 mg/kg of said krill oil to at least 85% w/w of krill oil as required by at least ‘170 patent claims 1 and 11.36
 - 3. There is no Section 112(a) support for ‘170 patent application’s original claim 1’s “trimethylamine in an amount of less than 1 mg/kg of said krill oil”.....40
- VII. THE ‘388 PATENT PUBLICATION (EXHIBIT 1043) BRUHEIM I, PUBLISHED NOVEMBER 6, 2008, QUALIFIES AS PRIOR ART UNDER AIA 35 U.S.C. § 102(A)(1)44
- VIII. IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT EACH CLAIM OF THE ‘170 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....45
 - A. Ground 1: Section 112(A) Unpatentable Under For Lack Of Possession And Enablement.....46
 - 1. No possession or enablement of entire ether phospholipid ranges.46
 - 2. No possession or enablement of entire astaxanthin esters ranges.46
 - B. Ground 2: Section 101 – Non-Patentable Subject Matter [Claims 1-20].....47

C. Ground 3: Section 101 – Lack Of Inventorship [Claims 1-20]56

D. Ground 4: Section 102(a) – Bruheim I (Exhibit 1043)
[Claims 1-20].....62

E. Ground 5: Section 103(a) – Bruheim II And Neptune’s GRAS
[Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 9-11, 13-16, 18 And 20]69

F. Ground 6: Section 103(a) – Neptune’s GRAS, Bruheim II And
Sampalis III [Claims 2 And 12]81

G. Ground 7: Section 103(a) – Neptune’s GRAS, Bruheim II And
Randolph [Claims 6 And 17]82

H. Ground 8: Section 103(a) – Neptune’s GRAS, Bruheim II And
Bottino I [Claims 8 And 19].....86

IX. CLAIM CHART90

X. CONCLUSION118

XI. CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....119

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.