Trials@uspto.gov

Paper 6

Tel: 571-272-7822 Entered: March 14, 2018

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RIMFROST AS Petitioner,

v.

AKER BIOMARINE ANTARCTIC AS Patent Owner.

Case PGR2018-00033 Patent 9,644,170

ERRATA

The Notice of Filing Date, issued March 9, 2018, contained an error. The email addresses for Petitioner were listed as "bhiplaw.com" when in fact, the correct suffix is "hbiplaw."

/Amy Kattula/
Paralegal Specialist



Case PGR2018-00033 Patent No. 9,644,170

PETITIONER:

James Harrington jfhdocket@hpiplaw.com

Michael Chakansky mchakansky@hbiplaw.com

Ronald Baron rbaron@hbiplaw.com

John Gallagher jgallagher@hbiplaw.com

PATENT OWNER:

David Casimir dacasimir@casimirjones.com

John Jones jmjones@casimirjones.com



NOTICE CONCERNING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)

The Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) strongly encourages parties who are considering settlement to consider alternative dispute resolution as a means of settling the issues that may be raised in an AIA trial proceeding. Many AIA trials are settled prior to a Final Written Decision. Those considering settlement may wish to consider alternative dispute resolution techniques early in a proceeding to produce a quicker, mutually agreeable resolution of a dispute or to at least narrow the scope of matters in dispute. Alternative dispute resolution has the potential to save parties time and money.

Many non-profit organizations, both inside and outside the intellectual property field, offer alternative dispute resolution services. Listed below are the names and addresses of several such organizations. The listings are provided for the convenience of parties involved in cases before the PTAB; the PTAB does not sponsor or endorse any particular organization's alternative dispute resolution services. In addition, consideration may be given to utilizing independent alternative dispute resolution firms. Such firms may be located through a standard keyword Internet search.

| CPR INSTITUTE FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION | AMERICAN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW ASSOCIATION (AIPLA) | AMERICAN ARBITRATIO N ASSOCIATIO N (AAA) | WORLD INTELLECTUA L PROPERTY ORGANIZATI ON (WIPO) | AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION (ABA) |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Telephone: | Telephone: | Telephone: | Telephone: | Telephone : |
| (212) 949-6490 | (703) 415-0780 | (212) 484-3266 | 41 22 338 9111 | (202) 662-1000 |
| Fax: (212) 949-8859 | Fax: (703) 415-0786 | Fax: (212) 307-4387 | Fax: 41 22 733 5428 | N/A |
| | 241 18th Street, South, | 140 West 51st | 34, chemin des | 1050 Connecticut Ave, |
| 575 Lexington Ave | Suite 700 | Street | Colombettes | NW |
| New York, NY 10022 | Arlington, VA 22202 | New York, NY 10020 | CH-1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland | Washington D.C. 20036 |
| www.cpradr.org | www.aipla.org | www.adr.org | www.wipo.int | www.americanbar.org |

If parties to an AIA trial proceeding consider using alternative dispute resolution, the PTAB would like to know whether the parties ultimately decided to engage in alternative dispute resolution and the reasons why or why not. If the parties actually engage in alternative dispute resolution, the PTAB would be interested to learn what mechanism (e.g., arbitration,



Case PGR2018-00033 Patent No. 9,644,170

mediation, etc.) was used and the general result. Such a statement from the parties is not required but would be helpful to the PTAB in assessing the value of alternative dispute resolution to parties involved in AIA trial proceedings. To report an experience with ADR, please forward a summary of the particulars to the following email address: PTAB_ADR_Comments@uspto.gov

