

TRIPLE PLUS LTD.,

Petitioner

v.

MORDECHAI BEN OLD,

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 9,671,031

Case No.: PGR2018-00038

PETITIONER'S REPLY



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABI	LE O	F CONTENTS	ii
LIST	OF I	EXHIBITS	iii
I.	INT	RODUCTION	1
II.		TENT OWNER HAS REFUSED TO PARTICIPATE IN THESE OCEEDINGS SINCE APPROXIMATELY SEPTMEBER 2018	2
III.	In P	Triple+ NWL Is Prior Art Under AIA § 102(a)(1) Because It Was Public Use, On Sale, Or Otherwise Available To The Public More in One Year Before The Effective Filing Date Of The '031 Patent	4
A	٠.	Sale of 4,000 Units of Triple+ NWL in September 2014	6
В		Public Installations of the Triple+ NWL on or about August 4, 2014	6
C	·•	Offers for Sale of Triple+ NWL to Insurance Company Customers on or about August 4, 2014	10
D).	Public Use on YouTube Videos published in March, April and October 2018	14
Е	•	The Triple+ NWL was "otherwise available to the public" more than one year before the critical date	17
IV.	CO	NCLUSION	18
CERT	TIFIC	CATE OF COMPLIANCE	19
CERT	TIFI(CATE OF SERVICE ON PATENT OWNER	20



LIST OF EXHIBITS

ıst
ast
ast
4-0



I. INTRODUCTION

Patent Owner's failure to file a Response or to appear for cross-examination cannot derail these proceedings, and his lack of cooperation or participation cannot save his patent. The sole claim of the '031 patent is indisputably obvious in view of Petitioner's wireless, electronical shutoff valve for a main water line, the Triple+ nleak NWLTM Integrated Shutoff Unit, NWL-34-0 (3/4 inch valve) ("Triple+ NWL").

First, the Triple+ NWL is prior art to the '031 patent under AIA 35 U.S.C § 102(a)(1). The evidence clearly shows that the Triple+ NWL valve product was "in public use, on sale, or otherwise available to the public" more than one year before the effective filing date in November 2014. Specifically, the Triple+ NWL was: 1) publicly used and disclosed at least as early as March 2014, 2) on sale and in public use at least as early as August 2014, and 3) sold as early as September 2014. These public uses, sales and other public disclosures are fully supported in the Petition by the testimony of Petitioner's Chairman, Michael Attali, and corroborating evidence. *See, e.g.*, Exs. 1010-1018.

Second, as the Board recognized in its Decision¹ to institute, Triple+ NWL renders obvious the sole claim of the '031 patent. Indeed, it is clear that Patent Owner based the '031 patent on the Triple+ NWL—copying not only the

¹ Institution of Post-Grant Review, Paper 8 ("Decision").



numerous physical components and arrangements from Triple+ NWL for his patent, but even using the Triple+ NWL product drawings as figures in the patent. The sole difference between the patent and product—substituting one well-known quarter-turn, rotary valve (butterfly) for another (ball)—is the epitome of a simple, obvious design choice to one of skill in the art. This is supported by the testimony of Petitioner's expert, Dr. Johnson, and corroborated with an exemplary prior art patent reference in which ball and butterfly valves are interchangeable for use in water line valves. *See, e.g.*, Exs. 1003 and 1019.

II. PATENT OWNER HAS REFUSED TO PARTICIPATE IN THESE PROCEEDINGS SINCE APPROXIMATELY SEPTMEBER 2018

Patent Owner appeared in these proceedings and filed a Preliminary Response, in the form of a declaration.² The Preliminary Response did not dispute that the '031 patent was based on the Triple+ NWL, that substitution of a butterfly and ball valve was obvious to one of skill in the art at the time, or that claim 1 was obvious in view of the Triple+ NWL. Instead, the Preliminary Response raised assorted arguments as to why the various prior displays, usages, and sales of the Triple+ NWL were not "public" under § 102(a).

However, shortly after institution Patent Owner ceased all communications and has refused to participate in these proceedings—he did not submit a Response,

DOCKET A L A R M

² Paper 7.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

