## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Supercell Oy, Petitioner,

v.

GREE, Inc., Patent Owner.

PGR2018-00047 Patent No. 9,770,659

## PETITIONER SUPERCELL OY'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT PURSUANT 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Pursuant to 37 C.F. R. § 42.70(a) and the Board's August 20, 2018 Scheduling Order (Paper 28), Petitioner Supercell Oy hereby requests oral argument on the issues set forth below at a time set by the Board. Pursuant to the Board's March 29, 2019 Order, Patent Owner understands that oral argument is currently scheduled for June 21, 2019. Petitioner proposes that argument in this case be consolidated with the hearing in PGR2018-00029, which is also scheduled for June 21, 2019, and involves a related patent, Patent No. 9,636,583. The patent at issue in this proceeding is a continuation of Patent No. 9,636,583 and involves overlapping subject matter and disputed issues.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Petitioner respectfully requests up to ninety (90) minutes of oral argument for both Petitioner and Patent Owner for the consolidated hearings (PGR2018-00029 and PGR2018-00047) on all issues raised in the papers in each case, including the Petition, Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, Patent Owner's Sur-Reply, the Board's Institution Decision, Patent Owner's Request for Reconsideration, Decision on Request for Rehearing, Patent Owner's Response, Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Response, and Patent Owner's Sur-Reply.

## PGR2018-00047 Patent No. 9,770,659

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board provide audio/visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits and evidence of record, including the use of a projector and screen for displaying documents.

Petitioner requests oral argument on all issues raised in the parties' filings, including but not limited to the following:

1. Whether claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 9,770,659 are unpatentable based on the grounds on which the Board instituted trial.

- 2. Any issues specified by Patent Owner in a request for oral argument.
- 3. Rebuttal to Patent Owner's presentation on all matters.

Dated: April 22, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

/Jennifer R. Bush/

JENNIFER R. BUSH Reg. No. 50,784 Attorney for Petitioner

## CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6, the undersigned certifies that on April 22, 2019, I

caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing PETITIONER SUPERCELL OY'S

REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT PURSUANT 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) to be electronically

served on Patent Owner's lead and backup counsel at the following addresses:

John C. Alemanni Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP 42088 Six Forks Road, Suite 1400 Raleigh, NC 27609

jalemanni@kilpatricktownsend.com

Scott E. Kolassa Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP 1080 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025

skolassa@kilpatricktownsend.com

Dated: April 22, 2019

DOCKE

RM

Andrew Rinehart Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP 1001 West Fourth Street Winston-Salem, NC 2710-2400

arinehart@kilpatricktownsend.com

Steven D. Moore Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 1900 San Francisco, CA 9411

smoore@kilpatricktownsend.com

FENWICK & WEST LLP

/Jennifer R. Bush/ JENNIFER R. BUSH Reg. No. 50,784 Attorney for Petitioner

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.