

Filed: March 12, 2018

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

WAGNER SPRAY TECH CORPORATION, Petitioner

v.

GRACO INC., Patent Owner

---

CASE UNASSIGNED

Patent No. 9,675,982

---

PETITION FOR POST GRANT REVIEW

Contents

List of Exhibits .....5

I. INTRODUCTION .....7

II. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS OF POST GRANT REVIEW  
 PETITION.....7

    A. Certification That Patent May Be Contested.....7

    B. Fee for Post Grant Review (37 C.F.R. §42.15(a)).....7

    C. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)) .....7

        1. Real Parties-in-Interest (§42.8(b)(1)).....7

        2. Related Matters (§42.8(b)(2)) .....8

        3. Lead and Backup Lead Counsel (§42.8(b)(3)).....8

        4. Service Information (§42.8(b)(4)).....8

        5. Power of Attorney (§42.10(b)).....8

    D. Word Count Compliance (§42.24(a)(1)(i)) .....9

III. IDENTIFICATION OF CLAIMS BEING CHALLENGED .....9

    A. Identification of Prior Art and Challenged Claims .....9

IV. OVERVIEW OF THE '982 PATENT .....10

    A. Brief Description of the '982 Patent.....10

    B. '982 Patent Prosecution History .....11

    C. Effective Filing Date of the '982 Patent.....13

    D. Proposed Claim Constructions .....17

    E. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....21

V. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR OBVIOUSNESS .....21

    A. Ground 1 – Obviousness of Claims 1-17 based on Carey, Torntore, Calder  
 and Johnson.....21

        1. Identification and Qualification of Prior Art .....21

**i. Carey, Exhibit 1005** .....21

**ii. Torntore, Exhibit 1006**.....22

**iii. Calder, Exhibit 1007** .....23

<b>iv. Johnson, Exhibit 1008</b> .....	24
2. Motivation to Combine Prior Art .....	25
<b>i. The USPTO’s Motivation to Combine Carey, Torntore and Calder was Unchallenged in Prosecution and is Still Valid.</b> .....	26
<b>ii. Combining Johnson with the Unchallenged USPTO Combination would have been Obvious</b> .....	27
<b>iii. These References All Originate in the Field of Airless Spray Tips</b> .....	28
3. Teachings of the Combination.....	28
B. Ground 2 – Obviousness of Claims 1-17 based on Liska, Torntore and Johnson. ....	62
1. Identification and Qualification of Prior Art .....	62
<b>i. Liska, Exhibit 1009</b> .....	62
<b>ii. Torntore, Exhibits 1006</b> .....	64
2. Motivation to Combine Prior Art .....	64
3. Teachings of the Combination.....	64
C. Ground 3 – Obviousness of Claims 1-5, 9-12 and 16-17 Based on Johnson and UMN. ....	100
1. Identification and Qualification of Prior Art .....	100
<b>i. Johnson, Exhibit 1008</b> .....	100
<b>ii. UMN, Exhibits 1010, 1018-1019</b> .....	101
2. Motivation to Combine Prior Art .....	104
3. Teachings of the Combination.....	105
D. Ground 4 – Obviousness of Claims 6-8 and 14-15 based on Johnson, UMN and Leisi.....	145
1. Identification and Qualification of Prior Art .....	145
<b>i. Johnson, Exhibit 1008</b> .....	145
<b>ii. UMN, Exhibit 1010</b> .....	145
<b>iii. Leisi, Exhibit 1011</b> .....	146
2. Motivation to Combine Prior Art .....	146
3. Teachings of the Combination.....	147

E. Ground 5 – Obviousness of Claim 13 based on Johnson, UMN and TeeJet. 150

1. Identification and Qualification of Prior Art .....150

**i. TeeJet, Exhibit 1012**.....150

2. Motivation to Combine Prior Art .....150

3. Teachings of the Combination.....151

VI. CONCLUSION.....152

## List of Exhibits

- Ex.1001 U.S. Patent No. 9,675,982 (“the ‘982 Patent”)
- Ex.1002 Declaration of Dr. Pinhas Ben-Tzvi
- Ex.1003 the ’982 Patent December 29, 2016 Non-Final Office Action
- Ex.1004 the ’982 Patent March 30, 2017 Notice of Allowance
- Ex.1005 U.S. Patent No. 5,749,528 A (“Carey”)
- Ex.1006 U.S. Patent No. 5,505,381 A (“Torntore”)
- Ex.1007 U.S. Patent No. 4,074,857 (“Calder”)
- Ex.1008 U.S. Patent Publication No. 2012/0298771 A1 (“Johnson”)
- Ex.1009 U.S. Patent No. 6,264,115 B1 (“Liska”)
- Ex.1010 UMN Design Show Figures (“UMN”)
- Ex.1011 U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0195354 A1 (“Leisi”)
- Ex.1012 Dultmeir Sales Liquid Handling 2013 Product Catalog (“TeeJet”)
- Ex.1013 U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 61/878,191 (“the ’191 application”)
- Ex.1014 U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 61/929,403 (“the ’403 application”)
- Ex.1015 U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 61/987,654 (“the ’654 application”)
- Ex.1016 U.S. Patent No. 9,010,658 B2 (“Johnson Patent”)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.