

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AVEPOINT, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ONETRUST, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case PGR2018-00056
Patent 9,691,090 B1

Before BART A. GERSTENBLITH, CARL M. DEFRANCO, and
MATTHEW S. MEYERS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

DEFRANCO, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

SCHEDULING ORDER

37 C.F.R. § 42.5(a)

This Order sets a schedule for trial, including due dates for the parties to take action upon entry of the Decision to Institute. *See* Appendix. The trial will be administered in a just, speedy, and inexpensive manner such that pendency before the Board is no more than one year after institution. 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1(b) and 42.200(c).

A. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

1. *Initial Conference Call*

An initial conference call will be scheduled only upon request by either party within thirty (30) days of this Order. To request a conference call, the parties should consult with each other and submit a list of proposed dates and times for the call. If an initial conference call is scheduled, the parties are directed to the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,765–66 (Aug. 14, 2012) (“Trial Practice Guide”), for guidance in preparing for the call, and should be prepared to discuss any proposed changes to the schedule and any motions the parties anticipate filing during the trial.

2. *Meet and Confer Requirement*

The parties are encouraged to engage in meaningful discussions before seeking authorization under 37 C.F.R. § 42.20(b) to file a motion for relief with the Board. At a minimum, before requesting authorization, the parties shall confer with each other in a good-faith effort to resolve the issue for which relief is to be sought. Only if the parties cannot resolve the issue on their own may a party request a conference call with the Board in order to seek authorization to move for relief. In any request for a conference call with the Board, the requesting party shall: (1) certify that it has in good-faith conferred (or attempted to confer, if the request is a time-sensitive emergency) with the other party in an effort to resolve the issue; (2) identify with specificity, but without argument, the issue for which agreement has not been reached; (3) state the precise relief to be sought; and (4) propose specific dates and times for which *both parties* are available for the conference call.

3. Protective Order

No protective order shall apply to this proceeding until the Board enters one. If either party files a motion to seal before entry of a protective order, a jointly proposed protective order should be presented as an exhibit to the motion. The Board encourages the parties to adopt the Board's default protective order if they conclude that a protective order is necessary. *See* Default Protective Order, Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,756 (App. B). If the parties choose to propose a protective order deviating from the default protective order, they must submit the proposed protective order jointly, along with a marked-up comparison of the proposed and default protective orders showing the differences between the two and explain why good cause exists to deviate from the default protective order.

The Board has a strong interest in the public availability of trial proceedings. Redactions to documents filed in this proceeding should be limited to the minimum amount necessary to protect confidential information, and the thrust of the underlying argument or evidence must be clearly discernible from the redacted versions. We also advise the parties that information subject to a protective order may become public if identified in a final written decision in this proceeding, and that a motion to expunge the information will not necessarily prevail over the public interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history. *See* Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,761.

4. Cross-Examination Testimony

The parties are reminded that the Testimony Guidelines appended to the Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,772 (App. D), apply to this proceeding. The Board may impose an appropriate sanction on any party

who fails to adhere to the Testimony Guidelines, including reasonable expenses and attorney fees incurred by a party affected by another party's misconduct. 37 C.F.R. § 42.12.

Except as the parties might otherwise agree, for each due date— (1) cross-examination of a witness begins after any supplemental evidence is due; and (2) cross-examination ends no later than a week before the filing date for any paper in which the cross-examination testimony is expected to be used. 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2). Should a party submit a deposition transcript of a witness's testimony as an exhibit in this proceeding, the submitting party shall file the full transcript of the testimony rather than excerpts of only those portions being cited. After a deposition transcript has been submitted as an exhibit, all parties who subsequently cite to portions of the transcript shall cite to the first-filed exhibit rather than submitting another copy of the same transcript.

5. Oral Argument

Requests for oral argument must comply with 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a). To permit the Board sufficient time to schedule the oral argument, the parties may not stipulate to an extension of the request for oral argument beyond the date set forth in the Appendix hereto.

Unless the Board notifies the parties otherwise, oral argument, if requested, will be held at the United States Patent and Trademark Office headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia. Seating in the Board's hearing rooms may be limited, and will be available on a first-come, first-served basis. If either party anticipates that more than five (5) individuals will attend the argument on its behalf, the party should notify the Board as soon as possible, and no later than the request for oral argument. The parties should note that

the earlier a request for accommodation is made, the more likely the Board will be able to accommodate additional individuals.

B. DUE DATES

The Appendix specifies due dates for the parties to take action in this proceeding. The parties may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 1 through 5 (earlier or later, but no later than DUE DATE 6). A notice of any stipulation, specifically identifying the changed due dates, must be filed promptly with the Board. Stipulating to a different DUE DATE 4, however, does not modify the deadline, set in this Order, for requesting oral argument. That deadline may not be modified by the parties. Additionally, the parties may not stipulate to an extension of DUE DATES 6 and 7.

In stipulating to different times, the parties should consider the effect of the stipulation on times to object to evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)), to supplement evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2)), to conduct cross-examination (37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2)), and to draft papers depending on the evidence and cross-examination testimony.

1. Due Date 1

The patent owner may file—

- a. A response to the petition (37 C.F.R. § 42.220), and
- b. A motion to amend the patent (37 C.F.R. § 42.221).

The patent owner must file any such response or motion to amend by DUE DATE 1. If the patent owner elects not to file anything, the patent owner must arrange a conference call with the parties and the Board. *The patent owner is cautioned that any arguments for patentability not raised and fully briefed in the response may be deemed waived.*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.