

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GRÜNENTHAL GMBH,  
Petitioner,

v.

ANTECIP BIOVENTURES II LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case PGR2018-00062  
Patent 9,707,245 B2

---

Before TONI R. SCHEINER, GRACE KARAFFA OBERMANN, and  
SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

OBERMANN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER  
Oral Hearing  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.70*

Petitioner and Patent Owner request an oral hearing pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70. Papers 23, 24. Petitioner requests sixty (60) minutes per side to present argument. Paper 23, 1. Patent Owner requests forty-five (45) minutes of total time to present argument. Paper 24, 2. The requests are *granted* to the extent set forth below and subject to the following conditions.

Oral argument shall commence at 10:00 AM Eastern Time on July 31, 2019, in Hearing Room A on the 9<sup>th</sup> floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. Each party will have sixty (60) minutes of total time to present argument. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that the patent claims at issue are unpatentable. Therefore, Petitioner will open the hearing by presenting its case regarding the challenged claims for which we instituted trial. Petitioner may reserve some of its argument time for rebuttal. Thereafter, Patent Owner will respond to Petitioner's presentation and present the issues for which it bears the ultimate burden. Patent Owner may also reserve some of its argument time for rebuttal. To the extent Petitioner reserves rebuttal time, Petitioner then may make use of its rebuttal time responding to Patent Owner. To the extent Patent Owner reserves rebuttal time, Patent Owner then may make use of its rebuttal time responding to Petitioner.

The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing and the reporter's transcript shall constitute the official record of the hearing. The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance that will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. If the parties have any concern about disclosing confidential information, they are requested to contact the Board at least five (5) business days in advance of the hearing to discuss the matter.

Demonstrative exhibits are not evidence sufficient to prove underlying facts, but, rather, serve as visual aids to facilitate the presentation of argument at an oral hearing. *See Duncan v. Dep't of the Air Force*, 674 F.3d 1359, 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2012) (discussing the nature and proper purpose of demonstrative exhibits). Demonstrative exhibits must not include new evidence and each must include citations to the record sufficient to establish that the exhibit contains no new argument or evidence not already of record in the proceeding(s) in which it is offered. The parties are directed to serve demonstrative exhibits on opposing counsel at least seven (7) business days before the hearing date. Please also provide a courtesy copy of any demonstrative exhibits to the Board at least five (5) business days prior to the hearing by emailing them to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov). **The parties are directed to refrain from filing demonstrative exhibits in the record of this proceeding.**

The Board expects that the parties will meet and confer in good faith to resolve any objections to demonstrative exhibits, but if such objections cannot be resolved, the parties may file any objections to demonstrative exhibits with the Board at least two (2) business days before the hearing. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not timely presented will be considered waived. The objections should identify with particularity which demonstratives are subject to objection and include a short (one sentence or less) statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider the objections and schedule a conference call if deemed necessary. Otherwise, the Board will reserve ruling on the objections until the oral argument. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, IPR2013-00041 (PTAB January 27,

2014) (Paper 65), for guidance regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits.

The parties should be prepared for the possibility that at least one member of the panel may attend the hearing electronically from a remote location and may not be able to view the projection screen in the hearing room. If a demonstrative exhibit is not made available or visible to the judge(s) presiding over the hearing remotely, that demonstrative will not be considered. Counsel must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript and for the benefit of the judge(s) presiding over the hearing remotely.

No live testimony from any witness will be taken at the oral argument. The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present in person at the oral hearing. However, any counsel of record may present the party's argument. If either party expects that its lead counsel will not attend the oral hearing, the parties should initiate a joint telephone conference with the Board no later than two (2) business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

A party may request remote video attendance for one or more of its other attendees to view the hearing from any USPTO location. The available locations include the Texas Regional Office in Dallas, Texas; the Rocky Mountain Regional Office in Denver, Colorado; the Elijah J. McCoy Midwest Regional Office in Detroit, Michigan; and the Silicon Valley Office in San Jose, CA. To request remote video viewing, a party must send an email message to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov) ten (10) business days prior to the hearing, indicating the requested location and the number planning to view the hearing from the remote location. The Board will notify the parties if the

request for video viewing is granted. Note that it may not be possible to grant the request due to the availability of resources.

Per the recent update to the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, either party may request a pre-hearing conference (Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, August 2018 Update, 83 Fed. Reg. 39,989 (Aug. 13, 2018) (found at the following link to the USPTO website: <https://go.usa.gov/xU7GP>)). Requests for a pre-hearing conference must be made by July 17, 2019. To request such a conference, an email should be sent to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov) including several dates and times of availability for one or both parties, as appropriate, that are generally no later than three (3) business days prior to the oral hearing. Please refer to the Guide for more information on the prehearing conference.

Both parties request permission to use certain audio/visual equipment to display exhibits during the oral hearing. Paper 23, 1; Paper 24, 2. Those requests, and any other special requests for audiovisual equipment, should be directed to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov). A party may also indicate any special requests related to appearing at an in-person oral hearing, such as a request to accommodate physical needs that limit mobility or visual or hearing impairments, and indicate how the PTAB may accommodate the special request. Any special requests will not be honored unless presented in a separate communication not less than five (5) days before the hearing, directed to the above email address.

It is

ORDERED that the parties' requests for oral hearing are *granted* subject to the conditions set forth in this Order; and

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.