Patent No. 9,770,664 — Petition for Post Grant Review

Filed on behalf of Supercell Oy

By:

JENNIFER R. BUSH, Reg. No 50,784 MICHAEL J. SACKSTEDER FENWICK & WEST LLP 801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041

Telephone: 650.988.8500 Facsimile: 650.938.5200

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SUPERCELL OY, Petitioner

V.

GREE, INC., Patent Owner.

Post Grant Review No.
Patent 9,770,664 B2

PETITION FOR POST GRANT REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT 9,770,664



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page			
I.	INTF	RODUCTION	1			
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES (37 CFR § 42.8(A)(1))					
	A.	Real Party-In-Interest (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(1))				
	B.	Notice of Related Matters (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(2))	1			
	C.	Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(3))1				
	D.	Service of Information (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(4))	1			
III.	ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS					
	A.	Timing				
	B.	Grounds for Standing (37 CFR § 42.204(a))	2			
IV.	DESCRIPTION OF THE '664 PATENT					
	A.	Specification	3			
		1. Functionality	3			
		2. System Description	6			
	B.	Prosecution History	9			
V.		NTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 CFR § 42.204(B) RELIEF REQUESTED	10			
	A.	Effective Filing Date of the Challenged Claims	10			
	В.	Claims for Which PGR Is Requested, Precise Relief Requested, and Specific Statutory Grounds on Which the Challenge Is Based [37 CFR § 42.204(b)(1) & 37 CFR § 42.204(b)(2)]				
	C.	Claim Construction (37 CFR § 42.204(b)(3))	10			
		1. The Claimed Invention	11			



TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

			Pag	e			
VI.	CHA	IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '664 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE					
	A.		ims 1-19 of the '664 Patent Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 for ling to Be Directed Toward Patent-Eligible Subject Matter18				
	B.	Leg	gal Standard19)			
		1.	35 U.S.C. § 101 Bars Claims that Recite Abstract Ideas and Lack an Inventive Concept.)			
	C.	Sec	tion 101 Was Not Addressed During Prosecution24	1			
	D.	Ene	ce Step 1: The '664 Patent Claims the Abstract Idea of Detecting my Characters Within a Range and Determining an Enemy tracter for Tracking.				
		1.	The '664 Patent Recites Only Generalized Steps and Fails to Claim a Technological Improvement	5			
		2.	Detecting and Tracking Enemies in a Shooting Game Is a Manually Achievable Purpose. 32	2			
	E.	"In	ce Step 2: Claims 1-19 of the '664 Patent Do Not Disclose an ventive Concept' Sufficient to Transform Their Ineligible Abstrace into a Patent-Eligible Invention				
		1.	The independent claims fail to disclose an "inventive concept" because the purported improvement over prior art is not captured in the claim language.				
		2.	The claim limitations, individually and as an ordered combination, are well-understood, routine, and conventional37	7			
	F	The	Dependent Claims Add Nothing Inventive 41	1			



Patent No. 9,770,664 — Petition for Post Grant Review

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

		Page
V.	CONCLUSION	45



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. DIRECTV, LLC, 838 F.3d 1253 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	5, 29, 44
Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l, 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014)	passim
Apple, Inc. v. Ameranth, Inc., 842 F.3d 1229 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	39
Audatex N.A., Inc. v. Mitchell Intl., Inc., 703 Fed. App'x. 986 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	33
BASCOM Global Internet Services, Inc. v. AT&T Mobility LLC, 827 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	21, 24
Berkheimer v. HP Inc., 881 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	passim
Content Extraction & Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	22
Elec. Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A., 830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	27, 30
Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp., 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	0, 29, 30
In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC, 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015), affirmed, Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee, 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016)	10
<i>In re Nuijten</i> , 500 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	31
<i>In re Paulsen</i> , 30 F.3d 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	11



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

