

Filed On Behalf Of:

Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

By:

Scott K. Reed
sreed@venable.com
212-218-2100

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ALNYLAM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
Petitioner,
v.
SILENCE THERAPEUTICS GMBH,
Patent Owner.

Case PGR2018-00075
U.S. Patent No. 9,783,802

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 327(a)

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 327 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, Petitioner Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary Alnylam U.S., Inc. (collectively, “Alnylam” or “Petitioner”) and Patent Owner Silence Therapeutics GmbH (“Patent Owner”) jointly and respectfully request termination of PGR2018-00075, which is directed to U.S. Patent No. 9,783,802 (the “’802 patent”), due to settlement between Petitioner and Patent Owner. The Board authorized the parties to file this motion by e-mail on December 17, 2018.

I. Brief Explanation as to Why Termination Is Appropriate

On July 9, 2018, Petitioner filed its petition for post-grant review of the ’802 patent (Paper No. 2). On July 24, 2018, the Board issued a Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition (Paper No. 4). Patent Owner filed its Preliminary Response on October 24, 2018 (Paper No. 7). On December 9, 2018, Petitioner and Patent Owner reached a settlement that resolves all disputes between them with respect to this proceeding and the parties’ related district court litigation. A Stipulation and Order of Dismissal agreed to by the parties in the related district court litigation has been filed in the district court.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), the parties’ confidential settlement agreement is in writing, and a true and correct copy is being filed concurrently herewith as Exhibit 1087. The parties are also filing concurrently herewith a joint

request to treat the confidential settlement agreement as business confidential information and keep it separate from the files of this proceeding in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) and 35 U.S.C. § 327(b). The parties hereby certify that there are no other agreements, oral or written, between the parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of the proceeding.

Termination of this proceeding is proper under 35 U.S.C. § 327(a) because the Board has not issued a final written decision and thus, has not yet decided the ultimate merits of this proceeding. Accordingly, Petitioner and Patent Owner respectfully request that the Board terminate PGR2018-00075, due to settlement between Petitioner and Patent Owner.

II. Related Litigations Involving The Patent At Issue

There is currently no litigation involving the patent at issue in this proceeding. The only related litigation is *Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Silence Therapeutics PLC*, No. 1:18-cv-10613-MLW (D. Mass. filed Mar. 29, 2018) involving five of Patent Owner's related patents: U.S. Patent Nos. 7,893,245, 8,324,370, 8,933,215, 9,222,092, and 9,695,423. The complaint in that case was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on March 29, 2018. The parties to that litigation are: Petitioner Alnylam Pharmaceuticals, Inc. as the plaintiff; and Patent Owner Silence Therapeutics GmbH and its

exclusive licensee, Silence Therapeutics plc, as the defendants. The parties to that litigation have filed a Stipulation and Order of Dismissal in the district court to discontinue that action.

III. Related Proceedings Currently Before the Patent Office

There are no other related proceedings before the Patent Office involving the patent at issue. Petitioner and Patent Owner are likewise concurrently filing similar motions to terminate with respect to Case Nos. PGR2018-00059 (U.S. Patent No. 9,695,423), PGR2018-00067 (U.S. Patent No. 9,758,784), PGR2018-00088 (U.S. Patent No. 9,790,505) and PGR2018-00089 (U.S. Patent No. 9,790,501).

IV. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner and Patent Owner respectfully request termination of this post-grant review of the '802 patent.

Dated: December 18, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Scott K. Reed

Scott K. Reed (Reg. No. 32,433)
Prajakta A. Sonalker (Reg. No. 65,406)
VENABLE LLP
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10104-3800
Tel: (212) 218-2100

Counsel for Petitioner Alnylam
Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Dated: December 18, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew Kreeger

Matthew Kreeger (Reg. No. 56,398)
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, California 94105-2482
Tel: (415) 268-6468
Fax: (415) 268-7522

Jian Xiao (Reg. No. 55,748)
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
755 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Tel: (650) 813-5736
Fax: 650) 494-0792

Counsel for Patent Owner Silence
Therapeutics GmbH

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.