

Patent No. 9,808,723 —Petition for Post Grant Review

Filed on behalf of Supercell Oy

By:

JENNIFER R. BUSH, Reg. No 50,784

MICHAEL J. SACKSTEDER

FENWICK & WEST LLP

801 California Street

Mountain View, CA 94041

Telephone: 650.988.8500

Facsimile: 650.938.5200

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SUPERCELL OY,
Petitioner

v.

GREE, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Post Grant Review No. _____
Patent 9,808,723 B2

**PETITION FOR POST GRANT REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT 9,808,723**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	2
II. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 CFR § 42.8(A)(1)).....	2
A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(1))	2
B. Notice of Related Matters (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(2)).....	2
C. Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(3))	2
D. Service of Information (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(4)).....	3
III. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS	3
A. Timing	3
B. Grounds for Standing (37 CFR § 42.204(a))	3
IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE '723 PATENT.....	4
A. Specification.....	4
1. Functionality	4
2. System Description	8
B. Prosecution History	11
V. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 CFR § 42.204(B) AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	12
A. Effective Filing Date of the Challenged Claims	12
B. Claims for Which PGR Is Requested, Precise Relief Requested, and Specific Statutory Grounds on Which the Challenge Is Based [37 CFR § 42.204(b)(1) & 37 CFR § 42.204(b)(2)].....	13

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
C. Claim Construction (37 CFR § 42.204(b)(3)).....	13
1. The Claimed Invention.....	14
VI. IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '723 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	29
A. Claims 1-19 of the '723 Patent Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 for Failing to Be Directed Toward Patent-Eligible Subject Matter	29
B. Legal Standard.....	30
1. 35 U.S.C. § 101 Bars Claims that Recite Abstract Ideas and Lack an Inventive Concept.	30
C. Alice Step 1: The '723 Patent Claims the Abstract Idea of Making Gameplay Less Boring.....	34
1. The Claimed Idea is a Longstanding Method of Organizing Human Activity.....	34
2. The '723 Patent Recites Only Generalized Steps and Fails to Claim a Technological Improvement.....	36
D. Alice Step 2: Claims 1-19 of the '723 Patent Do Not Disclose an “Inventive Concept” Sufficient to Transform Their Ineligible Abstract Idea into a Patent-Eligible Invention.....	43
1. The independent claims fail to disclose an “inventive concept” because the purported improvement over prior art is not captured in the claim language.....	43
2. The claim limitations, individually and as an ordered combination, are well-understood, routine, and conventional.	47

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
E. The Dependent Claims Add Nothing Inventive.....	51
F. Section 101 Was Not Properly Addressed During Prosecution.....	55
G. Claims 1-19 of the '723 Patent Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) for Lack of Written Description.	58
1. Claims 1-19 of the '723 patent are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) because the specification of the '723 patent fails to provide adequate written description of calculating a value to be applied to at least one of the first or a second game player's parameter based on a number of the game medium or a numerical value associated with the game medium.....	60
2. Claims 1-19 of the '723 patent are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) because the specification of the '723 patent fails to provide adequate written description of applying the parameter to the at least one of the first or second game player's parameter.	66
H. Claims 1-19 of the '723 Patent Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 112(b) as Indefinite	68
V. CONCLUSION.....	75

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int’l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>All. Research Mtg. Says. v. Troy</i> , 659 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	58
<i>BASCOM Global Internet Services, Inc. v. AT&T Mobility LLC</i> , 827 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	31
<i>Berkheimer v. HP Inc.</i> , 881 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Chore-Time Equipment, Inc. v. Cumberland Corp.</i> , 713 F.2d 774 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	59
<i>Content Extraction & Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.</i> , 776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	14, 15, 32, 36
<i>Elec. Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A.</i> , 830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	39, 42, 49
<i>Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Anderson</i> , 1997 U.S. App. Lexis 167 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 6, 1997)	69
<i>In re Cohn</i> , 438 F.2d 989 (CCPA 1971).....	69
<i>In re Collier</i> , 397 F.2d 1003 (CCPA 1968)	70, 74
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015), <i>affirmed</i> , <i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	13
<i>In re Hammack</i> , 427 F.2d 1378 (CCPA 1970).....	69

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.