Paper 7

Entered: May 28, 2019

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DEVELOPMENTAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, Petitioner,

v.

E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00102 Patent 9,848,543 B2

Before SCOTT A. DANIELS, CARL M. DEFRANCO, and RYAN H. FLAX, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

DEFRANCO, Administrative Patent Judge.

SCHEDULING ORDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(a)

This Order sets a schedule for trial, including due dates for the parties to take action upon entry of the Decision to Institute. The trial will be administered in a just, speedy, and inexpensive manner such that pendency before the Board is no more than one year after institution. 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1(b) and 42.100(c).



I. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

A. Initial Conference Call

An initial conference call will be scheduled only if either party needs to discuss a proposed change to this order and/or a proposed motion not otherwise authorized by this order. To request a conference call, the parties should consult with each other and submit a list of proposed dates and times for the call and a list of proposed motions to be discussed during the call. If an initial conference call is scheduled, the parties are directed to the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,765–66 (Aug. 14, 2012) ("Trial Practice Guide"), for guidance in preparing for the call.

B. Meet and Confer Requirement

The parties must engage in meaningful discussions before seeking authorization under 37 C.F.R. § 42.20(b) to file a motion for relief with the Board. Only if the parties fail to resolve a dispute on their own may either party request a conference call with the Board to seek authorization to file a motion for relief. Any request for a conference call shall (a) certify that the parties have conferred in good-faith in an attempt to resolve the dispute on their own, (b) identify with specificity, but without argument, the nature of the dispute, (c) state the precise relief sought, and (d) propose specific dates and times when *both parties* are available for the requested conference call.

C. Protective Order

No protective order shall apply to this proceeding until the Board enters one. If either party files a motion to seal before entry of a protective order, a jointly proposed protective order should be presented as an exhibit to the motion. The Board encourages the parties to adopt the Board's default protective order if they conclude that a protective order is necessary.



See Default Protective Order, Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,756 (App'x B). If the parties choose to propose a protective order deviating from the default protective order, they must submit (a) the proposed protective order jointly, along with a marked-up comparison of the proposed and default protective orders showing the differences between the two orders and (b) explain why good cause exists to deviate from the default protective order.

The Board has a strong interest in the public availability of trial proceedings. Redactions to documents filed in this proceeding should be limited to the minimum amount necessary to protect confidential information, and the thrust of the underlying argument or evidence must be clearly discernible from the redacted versions. We also advise the parties that information subject to a protective order may become public if identified in a final written decision in this proceeding, and that a motion to expunge the information will not necessarily prevail over the public interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history. *See* Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,761.

D. Testimony

The parties are reminded that the Testimony Guidelines appended to the Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,772 (App'x D), apply to this proceeding. The Board may impose an appropriate sanction on any party who fails to adhere to the Testimony Guidelines, including reasonable expenses and attorney fees incurred by a party affected by another party's misconduct. 37 C.F.R. § 42.12.



Except as the parties might otherwise agree, for each due date—
(a) cross-examination of a witness ordinarily begins after any supplemental evidence is due, and (b) cross-examination ordinarily ends no later than a week before the filing date for any paper in which the cross-examination testimony is expected to be used. 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2). Should a party submit a deposition transcript of a witness's testimony as an exhibit in this proceeding, the submitting party shall file the full transcript of the testimony rather than excerpts of only those portions being cited. After a deposition transcript has been submitted as an exhibit, all parties who subsequently cite to portions of the transcript shall cite to the first-filed exhibit rather than submitting another copy of the same transcript.

E. Patent Owner Response

If Patent Owner elects not to file a response to the petition, Patent Owner must arrange a conference call with the Board. Under no circumstances is Patent Owner permitted to incorporate by reference arguments from other documents, such as its preliminary response, into its Patent Owner response. *Patent Owner is cautioned that any arguments for patentability not raised and fully briefed in the Patent Owner response will be deemed waived.*

F. Motion to Amend

Patent Owner may file a motion to amend without prior authorization from the Board, but nonetheless must confer with the Board before filing such a motion. 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a). To fulfill this requirement, Patent Owner should request a conference call with the Board no later than two weeks prior to DUE DATE 1.



Patent Owner has the option to receive preliminary guidance from the Board on its motion to amend. See Notice Regarding a New Pilot Program Concerning Motion to Amend Practice and Procedures in Trial Proceedings under the America Invents Act before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, 84 Fed. Reg. 9497 (Mar. 15, 2019) ("MTA Pilot Program Notice"). If Patent Owner elects to receive preliminary guidance from the Board, it must do so in its motion to amend filed on DUE DATE 1. Any motion to amend and briefing related to such a motion shall generally follow the practices and procedures described in the MTA Pilot Program Notice, unless otherwise ordered by the Board in this proceeding. The parties are further directed to the Board's guidance on motions to amend in Lectrosonics, Inc. v. Zaxcom, Inc., Case IPR2018-01129, Paper 15 (PTAB Feb. 25, 2019) (precedential).

G. Request for Oral Hearing

Any request for an oral hearing must comply with 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a). To permit the Board sufficient time to schedule the hearing, the parties may not stipulate to an extension of the request for oral hearing beyond DUE DATE 4. Unless the Board notifies the parties otherwise, a hearing, if requested, will be held at the United States Patent and Trademark Office headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia. Seating in the Board's hearing rooms may be limited, and will be available on a first-come, first-served basis. If either party anticipates that more than five (5) individuals will attend the hearing on its behalf, the party should notify the Board as soon as possible, and no later than the deadline for requesting a hearing. The parties should note that the earlier a request for accommodation is made, the more likely the Board will be able to accommodate the request.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

