

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JENNEWEIN BIOTECHNOLOGIE GmbH,
Petitioner,

v.

GLYCOSYN LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case PGR2019-00023
U.S. Patent No. 9,970,018

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION	3
A. The '018 Patent.....	3
B. Overview of the Claimed Technology Relevant to the Petition.....	5
C. Claim Construction	8
D. Admissions Made by Petitioner’s Expert at Deposition in Related ITC Proceedings.....	9
III. ARGUMENT.....	12
A. The '018 Patent is not PGR eligible.	12
B. The Board should exercise its discretion under Section 325(d) to decline institution of the Petition.	14
C. Petitioner has failed to show a reasonable likelihood that one or more claims of the '018 Patent are invalid.	17
1. Jennewein fails to establish any reason why a POSA could not practice the claims of the '018 Patent in light of Dr. McCoy’s declaration.	18
2. The claims of the '018 Patent are enabled for the entire claimed range of β-galactosidase activity.....	22
3. None of the <i>Wands</i> Factors supports a lack of enablement.....	29
a. <i>Wands</i> Factor 1	30
b. <i>Wands</i> Factors 2 and 3.....	31
c. <i>Wands</i> Factor 4.....	33
d. <i>Wands</i> Factors 5, 6, and 7.....	34
e. <i>Wands</i> Factor 8.....	35
4. The claims of the '018 Patent are not indefinite.	36
a. Claims 1-28 of the '018 Patent are not indefinite for failing to specify how to measure β-galactosidase activity.	37
b. Claims 1-28 of the '018 Patent are not indefinite for reciting that the activity level “comprises” a range.....	41
IV. CONCLUSION.....	42

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Becton, Dickinson and Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG</i> , IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2017)	16-17
<i>Chiron Corp. v. Genentech Inc.</i> , 363 F.3d 1247 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	26
<i>Elbit Sys. of Am., LLC v. Thales Visionix, Inc.</i> , 881 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	31
<i>Fox Factory Inc. v. SRAM LLC</i> , PGR2016-00043, Paper 9 (PTAB Apr. 3, 2017)	20, 25
<i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	14
<i>Hospira, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.</i> , Case IPR2017-00739, slip op. (PTAB July 27, 2017).....	14-15
<i>In re Wands</i> , 858 F.2d 731 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Inguran LLC v. Premium Genetics (UK) Ltd.</i> , PGR 2015-00017 (PTAB Dec. 22, 2015).....	13
<i>Kayak Software Corp. v. International Business Machines Corp.</i> , CBM2016-00075, Paper 16 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2016).....	16
<i>United States Steel Corp. v. Phillips Petroleum Co.</i> , 865 F.2d 1247 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	26
<i>Velander v. Garner</i> , 348 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	20
Federal Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	14
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	2, 14, 17
America Invents Act, 125 Stat. 284, 311 § 6(f)(2)(A) (2011)	12-13
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.65	20

37 C.F.R. § 42.200(b)37
37 C.F.R. § 42.204(a).....13
Other Authorities
MPEP § 2164.05(a).....26
MPEP § 2111.0341

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
2001	<i>Certain Human Milk Oligosaccharides</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-1120, Order No. 22 (Dec. 18, 2018)
2002	Excerpts of the December 14, 2018 Deposition Transcript of Dr. Gregory Stephanopoulos in ITC Proceeding No. 337-TA-1120.
2003	Court, D.L., et al., <i>Genetic engineering using homologous recombination</i> . Annual review of genetics 36.1 (2002): 361-388.
2004	Thomason, L., et al., <i>E. coli genome manipulation by P1 transduction</i> . Current protocols in molecular biology 79.1 (2007): 1-17.
2005	Reply to communication from the Examining Division in Foreign Counterpart EP2675899 (Dec. 11, 2017)
2006	U.S. Patent No. 8,110,672
2007	Taylor, R., <i>Interpretation of the correlation coefficient: a basic review</i> . Journal of diagnostic medical sonography, 6(1), (1990) pp. 35-39.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.