UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MAN WAH HOLDINGS LIMITED,

Petitioner,

v.

RAFFEL SYSTEMS, LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case PGR2019-00029 Patent D821,986 S

Record of Oral Hearing Held: April 2, 2020

Before GRACE K. OBERMANN, CHRISTOPHER G. PAULRAJ and RYAN H. FLAX, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

Case PGR2019-00029 Patent D821,986S

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

SHEN WANG Arch & Lake LLP 203 North La Salle Street Suite 2100 Chicago, IL 60601

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

DAVID A. CASIMIR, Ph.D. Casimir Jones S.C. 2275 Deming Way Middleton, WI 53562

CLARK BAKEWELL, Esq. Mayer Brown 1999 K Street NW Washington, D.C. 20006

DOCKET

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, April 2, 2020, commencing at 1:00 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia, 22314.

Case PGR2019-00029 Patent D821,986 S

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 JUDGE FLAX: Looks like everyone is here. So, we can begin. Hi there everybody. I'm Judge Flax. I'm here with Judges Obermann and 4 Paulraj. And we are here via video for PGR2019-00029 concerning patent 5 number D821,986. If you're not here for that you're on the wrong video 6 7 conference. And I want to first thank everybody for your flexibility in 8 9 conducting this video hearing today. We know that this is a departure from our typical practice. Given that, we wanted to start off by clarifying a few 10 11 items. First, our primary concern is your right to be heard. If at any 12 13 time during this proceeding you encounter technical or other difficulties that you feel fundamentally undermines your ability to adequately represent your 14 15 client, please let us know immediately. For example, by contacting the team members who provided you with connection information. 16 Second, when not speaking please mute yourself. We on the 17 18 panel will be doing likewise. Third, please identify yourself each time you speak. This helps 19 20 the court reporter, who is on the line as we speak, prepare an accurate 21 transcript. 22 Fourth, we have the entire record including your 23 demonstratives. When referring to demonstratives, papers, or exhibits 24 please do so clearly and explicitly by Slide number, exhibit number, and 25 page number, or by whatever reference you need to identify what you're talking about. Please also pause a few seconds after identifying it to provide 26

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case PGR2019-00029 Patent D821,986 S

1 us time to find it. This helps the preparation of an accurate transcript of the

2 hearing.

3 I'm going to presume that when you set up the video conference 4 call you had all the conversation you needed regarding the contact in case of 5 technical difficulties, so I won't go over that. 6 Okay, so each side has requested an hour, which you will have. If you want to reserve any time for rebuttal, please let me know when you 7 stand up to speak for the first time, or when you start speaking for the first 8 9 time. 10 So, we can begin with the Petitioner's case if you're ready. 11 MR. WANG: Yes, Your Honor, we are ready. My name's 12 Shen Wang. I'm from Arch and Lake. I'm here for Petitioner. So, I -- let me introduce Mr. Bakewell. He's going to argue on our behalf. 13 14 JUDGE FLAX: Okay. MR. BAKEWELL: Good afternoon, Your Honors. My name 15 is Clark Bakewell of Mayer Brown of -- on behalf of Petitioner Man Wah 16 17 Holdings Limited. If I can, I would like to reserve 15 minutes for a rebuttal. JUDGE FLAX: Okay, I've got it. You can go ahead and begin. 18 19 MR. BAKEWELL: Thank you. In the Board's Institution 20 decision the Board institutes its PGR on two grounds. Under \$102(a)(1) to 21 view of the Raffel Sample which is Exhibit 1004 as evidence supporting an 22 on-sale bar, or as an anticipatory reference available to the public. Also, under §103, as obvious, due to the Kintec Solution which is Exhibit 1006, as 23 a primary reference and Hua-Dali which is Exhibit 1007 as a secondary 24 (phonetic) reference. This or al presentation will focus on the on-sale bar and 25 26 §103 obviousness grounds, but all grounds of institution have been briefed

Case PGR2019-00029 Patent D821,986 S

1	and have to take questions on its behalf (phonetic).
2	Turning to Slide 2 of the demonstratives and the on-sale bar,
3	the finding here is Exhibit 1001, which is the 986 patent to Exhibit 1004
4	which is the Songemail, which is the reference that shows the
5	Raffel_Sample. As will be quickly apparent, the product offered in the Song
6	email in the design shown in the nine extra patents are pretty much identical.
7	Raffelsent the exact design that a later patent
8	JUDGEFLAX: When you say pretty much identical, Counsel.
9	This is Judge Flax.
10	MR. BAKEWELL: Yes.
11	JUDGEFLAX: When you, when you say pretty much
12	identical. Is there anything about them that is not identical?
13	MR. BAKEWELL: Thanks, Your Honor. They are obviously
14	a different image of what appears to be a computer rendering, and one is a
15	very sketch done by hand with dotted lines more appropriate for a patent.
16	So, they are not absolutely identical, but I do not think that there's any
17	meaningful differences beyond that between the two images. I think the
18	Board and Institution is essentially the same.
19	Raffel sent this exact design that is later patented to a customer
20	one year and two months before filing a patent application which surpasses
21	the outer limits of the one-year until bar principle period. This matters the
22	on-sale bar prevents even a tenth profit from a later claims invention more
23	than one year before filing to the patent application. There's then an on-sale
24	bar in a patent statutes ever since 1836. The Patent Owner clearly violated
25	this requirement and attached (inaudible).
26	My presentation will first discuss the facts of the offer in the

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.