Paper # 32 Entered: March 18, 2020

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_\_

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_\_

DONG GUAN LEAFY WINDOWARE CO. LTD., Petitioner,

V.

ANIL SPRING CO., LTD. and HSIEN-TE HUANG, Patent Owner.

PGR2020-00001 Patent 10,174,547 B2

----

Record of Oral Hearing Held Virtually: Monday, March 1, 2021

Before: GEORGE R. HOSKINS, MICHAEL L. WOODS, and SCOTT C. MOORE, Administrative Patent Judges.



### APPEARANCES

### ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

HAO TAN, JD, PhD ARCH & LAKE, LLP 203 North LaSalle Street, Suite 2100 Chicago, Illinois 60601

### ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

MEKA MEHTA, ESQUIRE MICHAEL C. JONES, ESQUIRE PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH, LLP 1117 South California Avenue, Suite 200 Palo Alto, California 94304

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Monday, March 1, 2021, commencing at 1:01 p.m., EST by video/by telephone.



1	(Proceedings begin at 1:01 p.m.)
2	JUDGE HOSKINS: This is an oral hearing in
3	PGR No. 2020-00001.
4	This case is captioned Dong Guan Leafy
5	Windoware Company, Ltd. v. ANLI Spring Company, Ltd
6	and Hsien-Te Huang. It concerns U.S. Patent No.
7	10,174,547 B2.
8	The panel of APJs here today are myself, I'm
9	George Hoskins, and my colleagues, Michael Woods and
10	Scott Moore, are also participating today on behalf of
11	the Board.
12	So now, let me ask for formal appearances by
13	our two counsels, and we'll start with petitioner,
14	please.
15	MR. TAN: Your Honors, this is Hao Tan from
16	Arch & Lake for petitioner, Dong Guan Leafy Windoware
17	Company, Ltd.
18	JUDGE HOSKINS: Thank you, Mr. Tan.
19	And for patent owner, please.
20	MR. JONES: Meka, you're muted.
21	This is Michael Jones for ANLI Spring, patent
22	owner, with Meka Mehta, also for patent owner.
23	JUDGE HOSKINS: Thank you, Mr. Jones.
24	So today, as set forth in the oral hearing



- 1 orders that we have previously issued in this case,
- 2 we're going to hear first from petitioner. Petitioner
- 3 is invited to address whatever issues petitioner would
- 4 like to address with respect to the issued claims in
- 5 the patent and also then the proposed substitute
- 6 claims in the motion to amend all as part of the case
- 7 in chief.
- 8 Then we'll hear from patent owner on those
- 9 same issues.
- And petitioner is then given an opportunity
- 11 for rebuttal, if Mr. Tan wants some rebuttal, and then
- 12 Mr. Jones also has an opportunity for some
- 13 sur-rebuttal.
- So Mr. Tan -- let me make sure I'm pronouncing
- 15 your name correctly. Is it Tan or Tan?
- MR. TAN: That's good. Just Tan is good.
- 17 JUDGE HOSKINS: Mr. Tan. Thank you. Sorry
- 18 about that.
- 19 So would you like to reserve time for rebuttal
- 20 here at the beginning of your argument?
- MR. TAN: Yes, Your Honor, I would like to
- 22 reserve five minutes.
- JUDGE HOSKINS: Five minutes?
- MR. TAN: I think the total that of our
- argument is 30 minutes, right?



1	JUDGE HOSKINS: You actually have 60 minutes
2	today.
3	MR. TAN: For each side of the
4	JUDGE HOSKINS: Correct.
5	MR. TAN: Okay. Then I would like to reserve
6	30 minutes, yes.
7	JUDGE HOSKINS: 30 minutes. Okay.
8	And Mr. Jones, would you like to reserve time
9	upfront for sur-rebuttal?
10	MR. JONES: Yes, we'd like to reserve 15
11	minutes for sur-rebuttal.
12	JUDGE HOSKINS: Thank you, Mr. Jones.
13	I will keep time during both of your
14	presentations and endeavor to give you a little bit of
15	warning as you approach the ending of your initially
16	set time for your case in chief but, you know, those
17	are not your reserve times are not set in stone.
18	If you get to that point of your argument, you're
19	welcome to change whatever allocation you want between
20	case in chief and rebuttal.
21	So the last point before I turn it over to
22	Mr. Tan for his case in chief is, I believe we have a
23	member of the public, at least one member of the
24	public, listening in today to our webcast, but we do
25	have some materials, three exhibits, that were filed



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

